

1                   UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
2                   EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
3                   SOUTHERN DIVISION

4           IN THE MATTER OF,    Case No. 13-53846  
5    Detroit, Michigan  
6           CITY OF DETROIT, MI   September 8, 2014  
7    8:30 a.m.  
8    /

9    IN RE: TRIAL RE: CONFIRMATION OF CHAPTER 9 PLAN  
10    BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEVEN W. RHODES  
11    TRANSCRIPT ORDERED BY: ROBIN WYSOCKI

12    APPEARANCES:

13    For the City of Detroit, MI:                                    ROBERT W. HAMILTON, ESQ.  
14    Jones, Day  
15    325 John H. McConnell Boulevard  
16    Suite 600  
17    Columbus, OH 43215  
18    614-469-3939

19    GREGORY, SHUMAKER, ESQ.  
20    THOMAS CULLEN, ESQ.  
21    GEOFFREY STEWART, ESQ.  
22    Jones, Day  
23    51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.  
24    Washington, D.C. 20001  
25    202-879-3939

16    ROBERT HERTZBERG, ESQ. (P30261)  
17    Pepper, Hamilton  
18    4000 Town Center  
19    Suite 1800  
20    Southfield, MI 48075-1505  
21    248-359-7300

22    For Syncora Guarantee, Inc.:                                    DOUGLAS SMITH, ESQ.  
23    STEPHEN HACKNEY, ESQ.  
24    Kirkland & Ellis  
25    300 N. LaSalle  
  Chicago, IL 60654  
  312-861-2000

1 For Oakland County: JAYE QUADROZZI, ESQ. (P71646)  
2 Young & Associates  
3 27725 Stansbury Boulevard  
4 Suite 125  
Farmington Hills, MI 48334  
248-353-8620

5 For Macomb County & MIDD: DEBRA O'GORMAN, ESQ.  
6 Dechert, LLP  
1095 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10036  
212-698-3500

7 PRESENT: JAMIE FIELDS, ESQ. (P52808)  
8 555 Brush #2409  
9 Detroit, MI 48226  
313-570-3906

10 JOHN QUINN

11 Court Recorder: Kristel Trionfi

12 Transcriber: Deborah L. Kremlick

13  
14 Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript  
produced by transcription service.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1				
2			INDEX	
3	<u>WITNESSES FOR</u>	<u>Direct</u>	<u>Cross</u>	<u>Redirect</u>
4	<u>THE CITY:</u>			<u>Recross</u>
5	CHARLES MOORE		8, 17	40
6	BETH NIBLOCK	84	143	
7	CAROLINE SALLEE	164	258	
8				
9	<u>EXHIBITS:</u>			<u>ID</u>
10	CXM Disclosure statement Conway's Projections			8
11	CX482 Chart			44
12	CS560 Steps to Forecast General Operating Taxes		182	189
13	CX561 Taxable Value for City of Detroit		191	195
14	CX562 Change in Taxable Value		195	199
15	CX586 Property Taxes in Detroit		180	182
16	CX588 Restructuring and Reinvestment Analysis		232	233
17	CX589 Model		200	
18	CX590 Worksheet		242	244
19	CX593 Ten Year Financial Projections		225	227
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1 (Court in Session)

2 THE CLERK: All rise. Court is in session. Please  
3 be seated. Calling case number 13-53846, City of Detroit,  
4 Michigan.

5 MR. HACKNEY: Your Honor, good morning. Stephen  
6 Hackney of Syncora. I'm sorry to interrupt the examination,  
7 but I was wondering -- there's -- there's one issue that  
8 pertains to the examination. I also have a couple other nuts  
9 and bolts that I can either wait on or raise now.

10 THE COURT: Go ahead, sir.

11 MR. HACKNEY: The issue that relates to the  
12 examination is that on Friday when we were talking about City  
13 exhibit number 3 which is the disclosure statement and how it  
14 was in evidence, that caught me a little bit by surprise.

15 And so we went back over the weekend we found, I think,  
16 an inadvertent error that was made when the city submitted the  
17 list of exhibits to you showing exhibits. And I've worked  
18 through it with Mr. Shumaker and Ms. Hale for Jones, Day and  
19 there is no allegation here that this was done on purpose.  
20 Ms. Hale was synthesizing an enormous number of duplicated  
21 lists and there was a lot going on.

22 It -- it is agreed though that our hearsay objection to  
23 the disclosure statement was inadvertently left off. And so  
24 City Exhibit number 3 ought not to have come into evidence as  
25 an unobjectionable exhibit.

1           THE COURT: Okay.

2           MR. HACKNEY: I wanted to raise that because it was  
3 part of the Moore testimony.

4           THE COURT: Uh-huh, okay. The city agrees?

5           MR. SHUMAKER: Good morning, Your Honor. Greg  
6 Shumaker, Jones, Day for the city. We agree about the  
7 inadvertent error and I know Your Honor likes to deal with the  
8 hearsay objection in the context of the witness' testimony.

9           We really don't understand how there's not -- why this  
10 would be hearsay and the disclosure statement itself. But  
11 nonetheless we agree that the -- the inadvertent error  
12 occurred and so reinstatement of the -- of the objection would  
13 seem appropriate.

14           THE COURT: All right. The Court will order that  
15 without prejudice the disclosure statement is not admitted  
16 into evidence until it's moved and admitted.

17           MR. HACKNEY: Your Honor, I have other nuts and  
18 bolts issues, but they don't have to be raised now, so I'm at  
19 your pleasure in terms of how you'd like to handle them.

20           THE COURT: Well, I -- I leave it to you, whatever  
21 you want to do is fine with me.

22           MR. HACKNEY: Perhaps I'll wait for Mr. Moore to  
23 conclude if that's okay.

24           THE COURT: Okay.

1 Hamilton of Jones, Day on behalf of the city. In connection  
2 with the resolution of the disclosure statement.

3 With respect to Mr. Moore's testimony, the only impact I  
4 think it has is with respect to Exhibit M to the disclosure  
5 statement which -- which we discussed with Mr. Moore and which  
6 he testified that he was the one, or Conway, MacKenzie was the  
7 firm that prepared those objections and that they were the  
8 projections of DWSD's revenues and expenses over the next ten  
9 years. And on that basis I would move Exhibit M to the  
10 disclosure statement as Conway's projections into evidence  
11 based on his testimony.

12 (City's Exhibit M was identified)

13 THE COURT: And it's not a separately numbered  
14 exhibit?

15 MR. SHUMAKER: It is not. It is just Exhibit M to  
16 disclosure statement -- to the disclosure statement which is  
17 Exhibit 3 to the -- the city's exhibits.

18 THE COURT: Any objection to that?

19 MS. QUADROZZI: Well, Your Honor. Jaye Quadrozzi on  
20 behalf of Oakland County.

21 THE COURT: Really you can just sit. I don't want  
22 you to strain your back ending over into a microphone.

23 MS. QUADROZZI: The -- we don't have a foundational  
24 objection that in fact there is testimony that Mr. Moore and  
25 his firm Conway, MacKenzie was the first that actually created

1 Exhibit M. But of course as we discussed and Your Honor  
2 sustained the objection on Friday, we would certainly object  
3 that the exhibit should not be allowed for the truth of the  
4 projections because it was not something that as an expert Mr.  
5 Moore or anyone at Conway, MacKenzie testified to or offered.

6 MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, I would make -- I would  
7 have two responses. One, I know Mr. Stewart was the one that  
8 really wanted to do this, but I'm not sure how projections --  
9 how you could view it as projections as the truth of a  
10 projection. They are the projections that were prepared by  
11 Conway.

12 And second, the only objection that was made to the  
13 disclosure statement and its exhibits was hearsay by Syncora.  
14 Ms. Quadrozzi did not make an independent objection to the  
15 exhibits to the disclosure statement.

16 We had provided the foundation for Exhibit M to resolve  
17 the hearsay objection. They are Conway, MacKenzie's  
18 projections as Mr. Moore testified under oath. That was the  
19 only objection that was made to Exhibit M and on that basis  
20 we think the hearsay objection should be overruled. And the  
21 other objection is untimely and should be overruled by the  
22 Court.

23 THE COURT: Okay. Do I have the exhibit up here, or  
24 does someone have ready access to it?

1 Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Stand by, please. Anybody have any idea  
3 what page Exhibit M is?

4 MR. HAMILTON: I believe it's 796, is it -- did I  
5 get that right? 686, Your Honor, of the -- of the pdf.

6 THE COURT: Yeah. All right. The Court will  
7 overrule the objection and admit Exhibit M of Exhibit 3 into  
8 evidence.

9 (City's Exhibit M was admitted)

10 MR. HAMILTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Recall Mr.  
11 Moore to the stand.

12 (WITNESS CHARLES MOORE WAS PREVIOUSLY SWORN)

13 THE COURT: Mr. Fields, you -- you have about 30  
14 more minutes.

15 MR. FIELDS: For the record, Your Honor, Jamie  
16 Fields.

17 CROSS EXAMINATION

18 BY MR. FIELDS:

19 Q Good morning, Mr. Moore. In your Stockton -- in your  
20 expert report that you did for Stockton, you said that you  
21 discussed Stockton was subsidizing certain entertainment  
22 venues. And you said that they are particularly difficult to  
23 justify given the city's financial condition. Did you say  
24 that?

1 Q Are you aware the City of Detroit in their current budget  
2 provides a \$533,000 subsidy for the Detroit Zoo?

3 A I am not aware of that number, no.

4 Q Are you aware that Detroit in its current budget provides  
5 a \$301,000 subsidy for the Detroit Historical Museum?

6 A I am not aware of that number either.

7 Q Are you aware that currently the City of Detroit provides  
8 a \$1,308,000 subsidy for the African American Museum?

9 A I'm not aware of that number either.

10 Q Are you aware currently that the City of Detroit provides  
11 a \$171,000 subsidy to Eastern Market?

12 A No, I'm not.

13 Q In your declaration you stated that you would examine  
14 opportunities to sell what you termed excess assets, is that  
15 correct?

16 A Which declaration -- which declaration are you referring  
17 to?

18 Q This case in the City of Detroit case.

19 A Could you tell me which date?

20 Q The -- at the beginning of the case where you made a  
21 declaration and you said that you would be looking at a  
22 certain number of things including restructuring and including  
23 selling excess assets.

24 A If you're referring to my declaration from July 18<sup>th</sup> of

1 what the overall activities of Conway, MacKenzie would be in  
2 this assignment.

3 Q Okay. And that's the one I'm referring to. In your --  
4 in the city's disclosure statement, they listed that the  
5 Detroit -- they listed certain city assets they had. And one  
6 of the assets was the Detroit -- or the Veterans Memorial  
7 Building. At that time a sale was pending and there was no  
8 further information. Has that sale been consummated?

9 A That's not an activity that Conway, MacKenzie is involved  
10 in.

11 Q The City of Detroit owns three golf courses including one  
12 in Huntington Woods. Are they what you would consider excess  
13 assets?

14 A That also is not an activity that Conway, MacKenzie is  
15 involved in. That's more of an investment banking activity.

16 Q Are you -- do you know if the media reports are true that  
17 the management company that operates the golf courses owes  
18 \$478,000 in back water bills to the City of Detroit, is that  
19 true?

20 A I'm not sure which media reports you're referring to.

21 Q Okay. I'll go on. The city owns two media -- two  
22 marinas. That wouldn't be part of your analysis either as far  
23 as assets?

24 A Those type of assets and the potential for those asset

25 sales is not included within our scope.  
13-53846-tjt Doc 7472 Filed 09/14/14 Entered 09/14/14 10:46:04 Page 10 of 279

1 Q Okay. Did the -- you mentioned -- did you consider  
2 selling any of the art, was that within your scope?

3 A That is not included within our scope.

4 Q You testified that the first set of RRI is in -- in 2013  
5 would cost the city approximately 1.25 billion dollars. And  
6 now you're current RRI's would be approximately 1.7 million  
7 dollars, is that correct?

8 A No. Actually just to bridge these two numbers together.  
9 In June of 2013 there were approximately \$950,000,000 in what  
10 are referred to typically as capital expenditure type items.

11 And then there was another \$300,000,000 in additional  
12 operating expenses. And that took that amount to  
13 approximately 1.25 billion. That number was net of cost  
14 reductions. When you also factor in the revenue initiatives  
15 at that time which were about 250,000,000, it was about a  
16 \$1,000,000,000 even.

17 Now the reinvestment initiatives that are included in my  
18 expert report and to which I testified on Friday that net  
19 number after taking into account the cost reductions as well  
20 as the revenue initiatives is now \$877,000,000. So it's a  
21 reduction of approximately \$123,000,000 from June of 2013.

22 Q What would happen if the city is unable to raise the  
23 revenues through the RRI's of this plan?

24 A When you say raise the revenues through the RRI's could  
25 you be more specific?  
13-53846-tjt Doc 7472 Filed 09/14/14 Entered 09/14/14 10:46:04 Page 11 of 279

1 Q Yes. There is -- one of the reasons we got into this  
2 situation we're in now with the bankruptcy is, unrealistic  
3 assumptions made from previous administrations. When you did  
4 your long range projections you made certain assumptions.

5 For example you're assuming that for the -- for every  
6 year that we're going to save the -- the city is going to save  
7 \$2,000,000 in lawsuit settlements, if something like that  
8 doesn't come to fruition does that affect your overall  
9 projection?

10 A Well, it could. I would say that \$2,000,000 compared to  
11 the \$877,000,000 net is a fairly immaterial amount. But all  
12 of these items certainly are subject to some level of risk.  
13 And there are also opportunities as well. So it would go  
14 either way.

15 Q Well, there is a variety of assumptions. I just put for  
16 one example. Another example would be that you predicted one  
17 more -- \$1,000,000 more per year in revenue from grants. And  
18 I don't know how you can -- is it possible to predict  
19 increased revenues from grants in the future?

20 A Well, there are certain grants that the city has received  
21 in the past. And we believe that there is a strong likelihood  
22 that they'll receive in the future.

23 There are other grants that the city has not received  
24 before that the city will going for and so those ones there  
25 may be more risk as to whether the city would receive those.

1 Q After your RRI's in 2013, Mayor Duggan was elected and he  
2 asked you to open parks. That was something that was  
3 important to him, correct?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And you changed the RRI's to reflect that, correct?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Is there anything else that someone, a politician, or  
8 otherwise has asked you to consider to change the RRI's?

9 A Well, going back to when this process began in late  
10 January of 2013, this has been a collaborative process with  
11 the city's leadership throughout that entire time period.

12 And so when you refer to politicians, we are working with  
13 the city leadership. That includes the executive branch, the  
14 Mayor's office, obviously the emergency manager's office, the  
15 legislative branch, city council, as well as all the  
16 department heads.

17 So it has been a collaborative process. And a  
18 collaborative process would also involve some recommendations  
19 that would be made by Conway, MacKenzie. That may or may not  
20 be accepted by the city.

21 And other -- other items that the city may propose that  
22 in the end were not decided upon to be included. So it has  
23 been an iterative collaborative process.

24 Q Therefore in the future it's possible other politicians  
25 or new people elected would have different ideas of what the

1 money should be spent on, is that correct?

2 A I can't speculate on that.

3 Q Okay. One final thing. You talked about the cushion.

4 The city has a 1% or approximately a \$10,000,000 cushion for  
5 unforeseen circumstances, is that correct?

6 THE COURT: You probably mean reserve?

7 MR. FIELDS: A reserve, yes.

8 A There are two different items. There is a minimum cash  
9 balance which it ranges from about 80,000,000 to \$85,000,000  
10 per year and that represents about two months of employee  
11 related expenditures.

12 In addition to that there's also an annual contingency of  
13 about 1% of general fund revenues that's built into the  
14 forecast.

15 Q For example last winter was a extremely bad winter and  
16 salt was probably double. It wouldn't take much of a incident  
17 to go through the 1%, would it?

18 A Could you be more specific on your question?

19 Q Yeah. Let me rephrase that. In the -- in the event that  
20 the 1% was depleted by some unforeseen contingency, is there  
21 anything in the plan -- how would the plan replenish that  
22 money? Would it build it up, or just forget about it?

23 A The city contemplates always maintaining a minimum cash  
24 balance. And as I mentioned that's 80,000,000 to \$85,000,000  
25 throughout the ten year projection period.

1       So presumably the city is always going to be maintaining  
2 that's what a cash balance -- if it goes below that, it would  
3 replenish that cash balance. In addition to that, there are  
4 other -- there is the contingency and certainly there are  
5 anticipated opportunities as well as risks in the projections.

6 Q      In -- in the past the city has taken money meant for  
7 reinvestment initiatives and used it to backlog operational  
8 expenses in -- in the case of unforeseen contingencies. Is  
9 that the type of replenishing you would be talking about?

10 A     I'm not sure what you're referring to.

11 Q     Taking money from the reinvestments to RRI's and using it  
12 to replenish the -- the cushion or the reserve?

13 A     I'm not aware of that happening.

14 Q     Okay. Are you aware of any people being hired in the  
15 city to insure other than the elected officials to insure that  
16 the benchmarks are met that the money is used in order to meet  
17 the benchmarks?

18 A     Yes. Actually this is a quite significant effort that's  
19 underway right now. As I indicated in my testimony on Friday,  
20 the plan, the reinvestment initiatives include an additional  
21 nine people in the finance area to track and monitor  
22 reinvestment initiatives.

23           Another four people in the accounting area to improve the  
24 reporting. And then in addition to that I have had several  
25 meetings over the last couple of months with both the

1 legislative policy division of the city council as well as the  
2 auditor general where I focused specifically on how they will  
3 be tracking items. And that is on top of, or in addition to  
4 the financial review commission which will obviously be  
5 focused in on reinvestment initiatives.

6 Q Now you're talking about financial tracking. Is anybody  
7 going to be doing any operational tracking?

8 A Could you define what you mean by operational tracking?

9 Q For example I realize the financial review commission  
10 looks at city budgets. But there is many times when things  
11 happen and for example overtime.

12 Let's say the unforeseen event of the Detroit Lions  
13 winning the Super Bowl and there's a celebration. That would  
14 be -- entail a lot of police overtime. So instead of going  
15 before somebody and requesting a budget amendment they just  
16 blow through the budget, they just spend the money.

17 Is anybody there tracking to see that they actually limit  
18 themselves to their overtime budget? Based on the past  
19 they've always run deficits and it comes out at the end of the  
20 year.

21 A So I would submit to you that everything you just  
22 described has a financial impact and shows up in the  
23 financials I think as your question was indicating, and so  
24 that is specifically what's going to be tracked.

1 that appropriations are made are exactly what types of things  
2 have been discussed in my meetings with both city council,  
3 legislative policy division, the auditor general, as well as  
4 substantial meetings with the finance department on those  
5 items.

6 Q Have those people been hired yet?

7 A Which people?

8 Q The people you've mentioned in the finance, the extra  
9 accounting people?

10 A The additional nine people have not been hired yet.

11 MR. FIELDS: Okay. No further questions, Your  
12 Honor.

13 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Any further questions  
14 for the witness?

15 CROSS EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. QUINN:

17 Q Good morning, Mr. Moore.

18 A Good morning.

19 Q My name is John Quinn. I'm just representing myself.

20 First of all, I wanted to talk a little bit about Exhibit 583  
21 and one particular item on it. That was the exhibit that  
22 showed the sources and uses of cash available for payments to  
23 unsecured claims -- on unsecured claims through 2023.

24 One of the lines on there show -- I believe you said it

1 that correct?

2 A If you could please put up that exhibit, I believe the  
3 number was actually 999,000,000.

4 Q Okay, I'm sorry. It's up. Retiree payments, 999.3  
5 million. And I just wanted to clarify that is not payments to  
6 retirees, that's payments to others on -- for the benefit of  
7 retirees, is that correct?

8 A The payments specifically would involve contributions to  
9 both the GRS and PFRS retirement systems as well as  
10 contributions related to note B if you will.

11 Q Which is for the VEBA?

12 A That is correct.

13 Q Let's move on to the annuity savings plan. Now  
14 participation in the annuity savings plan has been optional  
15 since about 1982 or 1983, is that correct?

16 A I'm not sure of which year it became optional.

17 Q But it was sometime in the eighties, is that a fair  
18 statement? Is that -- do you know?

19 A I don't know.

20 Q You don't know, okay. And the way it's funded is first  
21 of all participating members make their contributions from  
22 their after tax income?

23 A For people that participate they have the option of  
24 contributing 3, 5, or 7% of their after tax pay.

1 Security wage base plus 5% of anything above that wage base,  
2 correct?

3 A I'm not familiar with the Social Security aspect.

4 Q Okay. And then member contributions are commingled with  
5 city contributions and then they're invested along with the  
6 city contributions in one big investment sum that GRS manages?

7 A Yes. The balances that are in individual annuity savings  
8 fund accounts, that's both their contributions as well as the  
9 interest that's been credited are commingled and co-invested  
10 with the GRS pension assets. And to be clear this is only  
11 with the general retirement system, the GRS pension system.

12 Q Right. Okay. And then the GRS you mentioned interest.  
13 GRS trustees have had discretion to award interest, or in some  
14 years not to award any interest on the ASF accounts of  
15 individual members, correct?

16 A Going back to 1973, I'm not aware of a year that interest  
17 was not credited to the participants.

18 Q What about 2013?

19 A That is true. In 2013 that -- there was no interest  
20 credited. That was after obviously everything that has  
21 happened with the city.

22 Q Right. Now in 2013 though that GRS did have positive  
23 returns on its investments, did it not?

24 A That's my understanding, yes.

25 Q And they were greater than 6.75%, correct?  
13-53846-tjt Doc 7472 Filed 09/14/14 Entered 09/14/14 10:46:04 Page 19 of 279

1 A Are you referring to calendar year 2013, or fiscal year  
2 2013?

3 Q Fiscal year.

4 A Fiscal year 2013 they were greater than 6.75%, yes.

5 Q Right. And they awarded 0% to the GRS fund -- to the --  
6 excuse me, to the ASF accounts, correct?

7 A That's correct.

8 Q Okay. Now the city has always had the authority if it  
9 chose to impose limits on the trustee's discretion to award  
10 interest on funds, is that correct?

11 A That's a legal question that I don't think I can respond  
12 to.

13 Q In any event until 2013 and still until 2011, the city  
14 did not impose any limits, correct?

15 A Again it's legal question. I'm not aware of any changes  
16 though.

17 Q Prior to 2011?

18 A Prior to 2011, yes.

19 Q You are aware that in 2011 by ordinance the city imposed  
20 limits saying that it could not be above the assumed rate of  
21 return in any year regardless of whatever return GRS realized  
22 on investments it could never award interest on the ASF  
23 accounts greater than the assumed rate of return, is that  
24 correct?

1 accounts could never be subtracted from or have a return of  
2 less than 0%.

3 Q And then this interest of course is compounded. The  
4 interest that's awarded to the ASF funds is compounded?

5 A Interest is credited to the annuity savings fund account  
6 balance so yes, as interest is credited, future interest would  
7 be credited on top of that.

8 Q All right. Now let's talk about how money gets out of  
9 members' ASF funds. First of all, a member -- until a member  
10 has been working for the city for 25 years he or she cannot  
11 withdraw funds from the ASF account, is that correct?

12 A Members actually can take loans from their ASF accounts.

13 Q There is a difference between a loan and a withdrawal  
14 though, isn't there?

15 A Well, I guess you could be more specific in how you use  
16 the word withdraw. I view any time funds come out of that  
17 account, whether that's through a loan, or a permanent  
18 withdrawal, as a withdrawal from the account.

19 Q All right. The -- a member with less than 25 years  
20 seniority cannot take money from the ASF account without --  
21 without any obligation ever to return it, again without 25  
22 years seniority, correct?

23 A That is my understanding is that it is at 25 years that  
24 an individual would be able to withdraw amounts in their  
25 annuity savings fund account.

1 Q So that for example in 2013 an ASF participant who sees  
2 that the -- I am only getting -- I'm getting 0% interest on my  
3 account even though the markets are doing considerably better  
4 than that, if he doesn't have 25 years seniority he cannot  
5 simply withdraw his money and put it in another investment  
6 vehicle, can he?

7 A I believe that's the case. Certainly members can halt  
8 contributions at any time.

9 Q All right. They can halt contributions, it's what's  
10 already there they can't touch until 25 years except for a  
11 loan?

12 A That's correct.

13 Q And then at the time of retirement, a member can use all  
14 or part of the money in the ASF account to buy an annuity from  
15 GRS essentially, correct?

16 A At retirement someone can either take a lump sum  
17 withdrawal, or they can have their annuity savings fund  
18 account annuitized and have that paid as a supplemental  
19 portion of their monthly pension for the rest of their lives.

20 Q Right. So that's essentially buying an annuity from GRS?

21 A I don't disagree with how you've characterized it.

22 Q And when that happens then the -- that individual's ASF  
23 account is closed and any money the member does not withdraw  
24 stays with GRS to purchase this annuity?

25 A Yes.

1 Q All right. And that decision to purchase an annuity from  
2 GRS is irrevocable. The annuitants cannot later on say, I  
3 changed my mind, I want -- I want -- I want my money back?

4 A I believe that's correct.

5 Q Okay. And then the terms of the annuity are, first it's  
6 a -- it's a fixed rate annuity, is that correct?

7 A That's my understanding.

8 Q All right. So that for example if at the time of an  
9 individual retirement the annuity he gets from GRS is at a  
10 7.9% rate. The following year GRS earns 10% on its  
11 investments, he's still just going to get 7.9%?

12 A Yes. And conversely if there's 0%, or a 20% loss, still  
13 getting 7.9%.

14 Q Which is -- that's the way fixed rate annuities work in  
15 the insurance industry in general?

16 A That's correct.

17 Q Right. And it's payable for the life of the annuity and  
18 the designated beneficiary if there is a designated  
19 beneficiary, correct -- it's annuitized, I'm sorry.

20 A That's my understanding.

21 Q All right. Now upon the death of an annuitant or the  
22 designated beneficiary, whichever happens later, there is no  
23 distribution to the heirs of the -- the annuity funds, the  
24 fund that the money that the annuitant used to buy the -- the  
25 annuity from the GRS, correct?

1 A As you had just indicated, that's typically how an  
2 annuity would work, yes.

3 Q That's how this one works in particular?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Now you say that's typical how an annuity would work.  
6 There is actually in the open market there are a great variety  
7 of annuities, are there not? I mean they're not -- for  
8 example they're not all fixed rate?

9 A That's correct. There are typically counter parties that  
10 are willing to set up almost any arrangement that you'd like  
11 to do.

12 Q Right. And it can -- you can have -- there are  
13 arrangements such that you can have for example an annuity  
14 for life with the principal then going to your heirs? That  
15 does happen in -- in the market, does it not?

16 A I'm aware of that, yes. It's similar to how this works  
17 with the GRS pension system right now for pension benefits.

18 Q Just a minute. With the pension benefits they go to --  
19 they're for the life of the retiree or the life of his  
20 designated beneficiary if any and then it stops. Nothing goes  
21 to heirs after that, isn't that correct?

22 A I'm using that as an example where people have the option  
23 of choosing a survivor spouse option if they desire.

24 Q Okay. So that for example let's say an ASF participant  
25 has \$200,000 in his account at the time he retires. He uses

1 it all to buy an annuity at a fixed rate. Let's say it's  
2 7.9%.

3       Ten years later this annuitant dies leaving no designated  
4 beneficiary. So over the ten years at 7.9% on \$200,000, would  
5 -- would have received 15,800 per year approximately, is that  
6 right?

7 A     Is that --

8 Q     It's kind of hard to ask you to do the math in your head.

9 A     Yeah. I was going to say, I'm -- I have to rely on you  
10 for those calculations. I can't comment one way or another on  
11 that.

12 Q     Okay. But in any event the principal that was in the  
13 annuity, the amount that was paid to buy the annuity is not --  
14 does -- stays with GRS at that point upon the death, is that  
15 correct?

16 A     I think the point that you're making is whether someone  
17 dies earlier, or conversely, lives longer than expected. They  
18 could get less than what was in their annuity savings fund  
19 account balance at the time that they retired. Or they could  
20 get potentially more then.

21 Q     No, frankly that's -- I'm not making a point. I'm -- I'm  
22 just asking questions. It is a fact that while -- when the  
23 annuitant leaves that money with GRS upon retirement, GRS  
24 continues to invest it, is that correct?

1 Q And invest it as it has in the past. It's commingled  
2 with all -- with the other GRS funds and invested, correct?

3 A Yes.

4 Q All right. And GRS at least in the past has assumed --  
5 had an -- an assumed rate of return. And -- and it will in  
6 the future it will just be -- under the plan at least, it  
7 would be a lower rate of return, correct?

8 A There have been many times in the past that the assumed  
9 rate of return has been less than 6.75%.

10 Q Right.

11 A It is right now for GRS 7.9% and that will pay 6.75%  
12 under the plan.

13 Q Okay. But in any event if the assumed rate of return is  
14 in the long term correct, then the expectation is that GRS  
15 will earn enough interest on the money that the annuitant  
16 needs to buy to purchase the annuity to fund all of the  
17 payments to the annuitant under the annuity, correct?

18 A Could you put numbers against the hypothetical that you  
19 were just indicating?

20 Q Okay. Let's take the -- my hypothetical is a \$200,000 in  
21 the account at the time of retirement and it's all used to  
22 purchase an annuity, okay?

23 A Okay.

24 Q Then GRS pays out to the annuitant 7.95 of that 200,000

25 every year. If he bought it at a fixed rate of 7.9%, correct?  
13-53846-tjt Doc 7472 Filed 09/14/14 Entered 09/14/14 10:46:04 Page 26 of 279

1 A They're not paying out 7.9%. They're paying an annuity  
2 which uses the 7.9% interest rate over the expected life of  
3 that participant.

4 Q So each year that annuitant would receive 7.9% of  
5 \$200,000?

6 A No, sir. 7.9% is the interest rate that's used to  
7 calculate the annuity payment. The annuity payment, the  
8 amount of the payment is based on what the anticipated life  
9 expectancy of that individual is.

10 And so if you have no actuarial variances meaning that  
11 the person lived exactly how long was anticipated, as well as  
12 no investment return variances, then that person would be paid  
13 exactly their -- the amount of their annuity savings fund  
14 account.

15 Q You're quite sure that's the way it actually works in  
16 practice? You've -- you've looked into this?

17 A We're talking about a hypothetical situation and I'm  
18 giving you a hypothetical response.

19 Q But it is your understanding if -- let me make sure we're  
20 clear on this, that the amount paid out on an annuity each  
21 year is not the interest rate -- is not the rate that the  
22 annuitant agreed to at the time, rather it is a rate that  
23 takes into account life expectancy of the annuitant and his --  
24 his or her beneficiary, is that correct? Is that what you're  
25 saying?

1 A My understanding is that the -- in calculating the  
2 annuity, that the assumed rate of return is taken into  
3 account. Right now that's 7.9%.

4 Q Right.

5 A In terms of what life expectancy is used, I don't have  
6 specific visibility to that. I don't know which mortality  
7 tables may be used, but my understanding is that a life  
8 expectancy aspect is taken into account.

9 Q Okay. That's your understanding. Have you actually  
10 researched that to make sure that's correct?

11 A I've had numerous conversations with counsel as well as  
12 individuals from the retirement system on these points.

13 Q All right. All right. Now you -- you did testify about  
14 the ways that were considered when you -- you and others were  
15 attempting to decide what to do about recouping what you  
16 believed were excess interest payments into annuity accounts  
17 during certain years. And -- and you listed several things  
18 that were considered before you -- you hit on what you finally  
19 incorporated into the plan, is that correct?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And I think one was you considered suing those who  
22 benefitted from the alleged over payments, right?

23 A I don't recall using those words exactly, suing them.  
24 But bottom line, the primary considerations were with  
25 recoupment the benefit reductions for all participants would

1      be less than if there was no recoupment.

2    Q     Okay. So another -- another approach that was considered  
3    but rejected would be an across board for all members of Class  
4    11, an across board reduction sufficient so that that would  
5    cover the amount of the alleged excessive interest, correct?

6    A     I just want to make sure that, you know, I go back to my  
7    previous response. Because I want to make sure I use more or  
8    less in the right way.

9           To the extent that there is some level of recoupment,  
10   then the across the board reduction would be less than if  
11   there was no recoupment. In which case to your follow up  
12   question, the across the board reduction would be higher.

13   Q     Right. And if there were no recoupment, what would the  
14   across the board reduction be, did you calculate that?

15   A     Yes. And the information is included in the disclosure  
16   statement.

17   Q     And you don't recall what it is?

18   A     I believe that we indicated the impact from the asset  
19   recoupment was approximately 8.8%.

20   Q     So that instead of it would -- to get what it would be  
21   across the -- across the board, if we did it -- if you did not  
22   use the recoupment to get back the allegedly excessive  
23   interest, the overall for all members of Class 11, the pension  
24   cut would be 8.8% plus 4.5%, correct?

1 Q Yes.

2 A Compared to alternative A and alternative B, that were in  
3 the plan and disclosure statement.

4 Q And then of course you considered the plan that you  
5 actually incorporated it into or -- or the approach to the  
6 alleged over payments that you actually incorporated into the  
7 plan, which is that it's taken -- that you do have recoupment  
8 of some of the alleged over payment from certain ASF  
9 participants and then but the majority of plan -- of members  
10 of Class 11 just simply have the 4.5 reduction, is that  
11 correct?

12 A I don't recall the exact split of people that are in  
13 Class 11 that have recoupment.

14 Q You don't recall?

15 A I don't recall the exact split, no.

16 Q Do you know -- you are aware though that most -- more  
17 than half of the members of Class 11 have no -- no charge for  
18 recoupment?

19 A That's the number that I can't recall. And if I --  
20 generally speaking I think, if I recall correctly, it's about  
21 half and half.

22 Q Right.

23 A Maybe it's slightly more than half that don't have  
24 recoupment, I can't recall the exact number.

1 have recoupment of less than 5%, correct?

2 A I would have to go through each individual's recoupment.

3 Q All right. Now did you also consider prosecuting a claim  
4 against the trustees and their advisors who -- who according  
5 to the city, violated their fiduciary duties by awarding the  
6 allegedly excess interest?

7 A Well, there are two things to that. And first of all,  
8 these are both legal items that I don't want to get too far  
9 into this --

10 Q I know. I'm not asking you whether you would have such a  
11 claim. Was any consideration given to pursuing such -- such  
12 claims?

13 A My understanding is that there is -- there is not a  
14 release granted in the plan. And so if that is decided upon  
15 that path, that that is still available.

16 Q Available to whom?

17 A That's a legal question that I shouldn't answer.

18 Q Now let's get clear on the purpose of the ASF recoupment.  
19 And is it to recoup for the city some of the \$450,000,000 that  
20 it had to pay GRS to make up for the alleged diversion of  
21 pension funds to pay the excess interest? Is that the  
22 purpose?

23 A To be clear, in my testimony on Friday, I indicated in  
24 that ten year period, fiscal year '03 through fiscal year '12  
25 which really extends to fiscal year '13 since there was no  
13-53846-tjt Doc 7472 Filed 09/14/14 Entered 09/14/14 10:46:04 Page 31 of 279

1 interest in fiscal year '13, that there was excess interest,  
2 not total interest credited, but excess interest credited of  
3 \$450,000,000.

4 The annuity savings fund recoupment as contemplated in  
5 the plan, recoups 190,000,000 of that \$450,000,000 of excess  
6 interest.

7 Q So it's recouping that for the city because the city had  
8 -- had to pay that into the plan to make up for the -- the  
9 money that went out -- went -- that went out of the plan to  
10 the ASF participants, is --

11 A No, sir. That recoupment goes or comes into the pension  
12 system and it stays in the pension system to be able to pay  
13 out benefits. That's the reason why there is a -- as I  
14 indicated before, without ASF recoupment, that the across the  
15 board cuts would be higher.

16 Q So that would mean that the alternative that you did  
17 suggest -- the approach that is taken in the plan, it does not  
18 result in the city recovering anything from the persons whose  
19 accounts had received the alleged excess interest, is that  
20 correct?

21 A Could you please restate that question?

22 Q Okay. Well, let me put it another way. Is it fair to  
23 say that the ASF recoupment in the plan is of no financial  
24 benefit to the city itself?

1 is because the ASF recoupment comes into the GRS plan in a  
2 sense.

3 Those GRS plan assets are used to pay beneficiary  
4 payments. And the city does not receive any portion of that  
5 ASF recoupment.

6 Q Right. And so that mean -- that means it's also of  
7 course not available for other creditors? To make payments to  
8 other creditors. It -- it just stays within the plan, it  
9 never becomes the city's money.

10 A Correct.

11 Q Okay.

12 A Correct as to it stays within the plan. In terms of  
13 being available to other creditors, that again, that's a legal  
14 question that I can't answer.

15 Q Okay. And so between these two alternatives, spreading  
16 the -- the amount of money lost through allegedly excess  
17 interest payments over all members of Class 11 and limiting it  
18 to certain members of Class 11 who allegedly benefitted from  
19 -- from the excess interest, between those two alternatives,  
20 in terms of the city's financial position, they are equal.  
They make no difference, do they?

21 A I think that's fair to say.

22 Q All right. So would I be correct in understanding that  
23 the -- the benefit to the city, if there is any benefit to the  
24 city at all of the ASF recoupment is that it allows many  
13-53846-tjt Doc 7472 Filed 09/14/14 Entered 09/14/14 10:46:04 Page 33 of 279

1 members of the -- when we compare it to the alternative of  
2 spreading it over everybody, is that it allows many members of  
3 Class 11 to take -- in addition to the loss of COLA, only a  
4 4.5% reduction in their pensions rather than 4.5% plus 8.  
5 whatever it was, is that correct?

6 A I think that's fair to say. I -- I would also put a  
7 caveat on my previous answer which is while there may not be a  
8 direct benefit to the city, or a difference I should say,  
9 between those two alternatives, it is unclear to me whether we  
10 would have reached negotiated settlements with parties that  
11 were involved with the pensions like the city did. And so  
12 that could have had an impact on the city without negotiated  
13 settlements.

14 Q All right. But once the -- once it's settled and  
15 incorporated in the -- in the plan, then it makes no  
16 difference -- the city would get the same thing if it had  
17 settled on spreading the alleged loss from excessive interest  
18 payments over all of Class 11 or having only a sub part of the  
19 people in Class 11 bear the cost of that. As far as the  
20 city's finances are -- are concerned, it makes no difference?

21 A Correct.

22 Q Okay. And so -- but -- but of course the problem is, if  
23 you ask the -- the -- the members of Class 11 to take a -- a  
24 cut in their pensions of not 4.5%, but of something over 12%  
25 or maybe 13% that seriously reduces the chance that the

1 members of Class 11 would vote in favor of the plan, correct?

2 A That would be speculating.

3 Q That -- and that thought did not enter anyone's mind when  
4 you were making the decision whether to -- whether to go this  
5 way? Whether -- whether to use ASF recoupment rather than  
6 spreading the costs over all members of the plan?

7 A Well, sir, as I indicated, these were options that were  
8 considered by the city. You see where we came out with our  
9 settlements. So that's what the end result was.

10 Q I guess what I'm asking is, to get from those options to  
11 decide on the one -- on the one you chose, was any  
12 consideration given to the effect it might have -- that the --  
13 the various options might have on the vote in Class 11?

14 A Well, sir, certainly it would seem to me you're more  
15 likely to get a vote in support of a plan if you have a  
16 settlement than if you do not. And we reached a settlement  
17 which involves ASF recoupment.

18 Q I want to briefly discuss an example you cited on Friday.  
19 I think you -- you mentioned there was one ASF participant who  
20 over the course of a 30 to 40 year career put 100,000 -- about  
21 \$100,000 into his or her ASF account and at the time of  
22 retirement had 1.4 million in the account, is that correct?  
23 Did I get your -- your numbers right?

24 A Yes. Generally speaking the numbers are correct. And

1 can provide that information at this point, or I can wait.

2       What I do want to point out in the question that you  
3 asked it made it sound like there was only one person with  
4 these types of numbers and that is not the case. I used that  
5 as an example.

6       There are many people that received an ASF withdraw or a  
7 lump sum payment that was north of a hundred --

8 Q       Right.

9 A       -- or north of \$1,000,000.

10 Q       Right. And -- and of course they had all made  
11 contributions to -- to earn that.

12 A       Presumably.

13 Q       Now you mentioned there were many people. And so I take  
14 it you selected a sample of ASF recipients and you found these  
15 people you're referring to, is that correct?

16 A       As I indicated on Friday, we worked closely with the  
17 auditor general's office during its investigation that kicked  
18 off in June of 2013. And that period focused -- or that  
19 investigation focused on a period from July 1<sup>st</sup> of 2011 through  
20 March 31<sup>st</sup> of 2013.

21       And there were certain transactions that occurred during  
22 that time that were selected as part of a sample and detailed  
23 reviews occurred as part of that. This individual and their  
24 lump sum payment occurred during that time period and I did  
25 review the detailed ledger for that individual.

1 Q And this selection you referred to to get a sample, was  
2 that done by a statistician?

3 A This is a sample that was selected by the auditor  
4 general's office.

5 Q Was it done by a statistician?

6 A I don't know what the qualifications or the background of  
7 the auditor general's office is.

8 Q Okay. In your considering -- in your study of this  
9 sample, were you at all concerned about whether it was a  
10 random or representative sample?

11 A I am a CPA by background. And so certainly I understand  
12 samples and being able to make -- or reach various conclusions  
13 based on samples. And that was not what the auditor general's  
14 office was doing.

15 The auditor general's office did not take a sample to  
16 then extrapolate results and say this is the amount of the  
17 excess interest. This was a sample to look at specific  
18 records to understand whether there were certain events that  
19 had transpired. And this is all contained within the auditor  
20 general's initial 60 day report.

21 Q And so there was no concern about whether the sample was  
22 representative or random. That -- that wasn't the point of  
23 what you were trying to do, correct?

24 A That's correct. It was not to take the results of that  
25 sample and extrapolate them to anything else. As it relates  
13-53846-tjt Doc 7472 Filed 09/14/14 Entered 09/14/14 10:46:04 Page 37 of 279

1 to the detailed ASF recoupment, that was done at a 100% level.

2 Q Right.

3 A Meaning that there were 100% of ASF participants from  
4 fiscal year 2003 through 2013 that were looked at and it was  
5 on an individual by individual basis that the \$450,000,000  
6 excess interest was calculated.

7 Q So that actually the purpose of your use of the -- of  
8 that sample, you were looking for an example -- for an example  
9 with some shock value that could be used to make a rhetorical  
10 point, correct?

11 A No, sir.

12 Q You, I'm sure, working in finance you've heard of the  
13 miracle of compound interest?

14 A I'm not familiar with that term.

15 Q That's a term that financial planners use. It's the idea  
16 that if you start investing early, do it in a disciplined  
17 manner because your returns compound year after year after  
18 year with relatively small investments you can build up a very  
19 comfortable nest egg. I'm sure you've -- you're familiar with  
20 that concept.

21 A Well, I'm not familiar with the term miracle of  
22 compounded interest. It is a relatively straightforward  
23 concept. That's the whole purpose for saving.

24 Q Right, okay. And so -- and that's essentially what

1 taking advantage of the effect of compound interest to  
2 increase their savings?

3 A Well, let's talk about the individual that I mentioned on  
4 Friday in terms of the specifics --

5 Q No, I'm not asking you about that individual. I'm asking  
6 you about the 100% sample you referred to. Those people, most  
7 of whom didn't have anywhere near \$1,000,000 in the ASF  
8 accounts when they retired, fair enough?

9 A What -- first of all, when you say most of whom --

10 Q I mean a majority.

11 A Of what?

12 Q Of all of the people who have participated in ASF during  
13 the ten year period that you have referred to.

14 A Sir, there are a substantial number of people that had  
15 north of \$1,000,000 balance.

16 Q I didn't ask you whether there are a substantial number,  
17 I asked you whether it is true that most of the participants  
18 had less than 100,000 -- less than \$1,000,000.

19 A How do you define most?

20 Q More than 50%.

21 A That's true. That more than 50% -- or I should say 50%  
22 of people did not have north of the \$1,000,000 balances.

23 Q In fact a more typical balance would be in the  
24 neighborhood of low hundred thousands, correct? Perhaps even  
25 less.

1 A What do you define as typical?

2 Q All right. Let's say we were doing a -- I'm looking for  
3 a normal distribution with a -- with a bell curve. What would  
4 be number at the top of the bell curve for the balance in the  
5 account? Do you know?

6 A Well, sir, you have to understand that some people  
7 participated in the ASF program for three years, some  
8 people --

9 THE COURT: I'm going to bring a halt to this and  
10 ask you to move on.

11 MR QUINN: Thank you, Your Honor. In that case, I  
12 have no further questions, Your Honor.

13 MR. HAMILTON: Redirect, Your Honor. Robert  
14 Hamilton, Jones, Day on behalf of the City of Detroit.

15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. HAMILTON:

17 Q Good morning, Mr. Moore.

18 A Good morning.

19 Q On Friday the Court asked you a question regarding how  
20 the recoupment worked for the ASF recoupment for individuals  
21 that selected the option of having the recoupment --  
22 recoupment annuitized and having deductions taken from their  
23 monthly pension checks going forward. Do you recall your  
24 interchange with the Court on that?

25 A Yes, I do.

1 Q Okay. Did you have any -- did you do any subsequent  
2 investigation with respect to the Court's question over the  
3 weekend on that to confirm whether or not that in fact was the  
4 terms of the settlement that the city agreed -- reached with  
5 the retirees on the other side of that settlement?

6 A Yes, I did. Over the weekend I confirmed that as part of  
7 the settlement to the extent that an individual's annuity  
8 savings fund account balance -- or I'm sorry, the recoupment  
9 amount is reached, that the recoupment payments end at that  
10 point.

11 Just to put hypothetical numbers against that. If the  
12 annuity savings fund recoupment is \$20,000, and that is  
13 annuitized using the 6.75% rate, when that \$20,000 plus the  
14 interest is recouped, the recoupment ends.

15 The other element though does still hold which is if an  
16 individual becomes deceased prior to that \$20,000 being  
17 recovered, there is no further recoupment. So the recoupment  
18 ends short of that \$20,000.

19 THE COURT: Do you have any estimation on what the  
20 cost of that limitation is?

21 A I specifically looked into that and that's impossible to  
22 determine at this point because we would have to be  
23 speculating on who would die early.

24 Q Also during your testimony on Friday, the Court asked you  
25 to over the weekend calculate the effective rate of return for  
13-53846-tjt Doc 7472 Filed 09/14/14 Entered 09/14/14 10:46:04 Page 41 of 279

1 the illustrative example of the person we talked about who had  
2 invested \$100,000 of after tax wages in their ASF account and  
3 then took a withdrawal of 1.4 million. Did you do that over  
4 the weekend?

5 A Yes, I did.

6 Q Could you describe for the Court what you came up with?

7 A Yes. The individual participated for a total of 42  
8 years. They began participating in 1970 under a predecessor  
9 to the 1973 plan to which I referred.

10 And over the course of 42 years until 2012 the person had  
11 contributed just over \$100,000 in contributions. The pay out  
12 that occurred in October of 2012 was \$1,360,000.

13 The effective rate of interest during that time was  
14 approximately 13.6% earned over a 42 year period. The ASF  
15 recoupment for that individual under alternative A is  
16 \$120,000. And so if we consider the \$120,000 coming out of  
17 that withdrawal even though that person has had access to the  
18 funds for the last two years, that would lower the effective  
19 rate of interest from 13.6% to 13.2%.

20 Q All right. Yesterday we looked at exhibit -- City  
21 Exhibit 60, Page 8 and gave us a comparison of the actual GS  
22 -- GRS rates of return. And this was on Friday not yesterday,  
23 excuse me.

24 A comparison of the actual GRS rate of return with the

1 numbers. Do you recall that?

2 A Yes, I do.

3 Q Did Conway, MacKenzie prepare a more -- or a -- a  
4 detailed chart that provided a longer period of time to  
5 compare the actual GRS rates of returns?

6 A Yes. This -- this chart here specifically gets into ASF  
7 interest crediting. Another analysis that we did was to  
8 calculate and compare the actual rates of return for both the  
9 GRS pension system and the PFRS system over the previous 15  
10 calendar years.

11 Q Can we bring up City Exhibit 482? Could you blow up the  
12 numbers just the best you can there? Thank you.

13 All right. Is this the -- the -- the information that  
14 you just testified to that Conway, MacKenzie prepared?

15 A Yes, sir.

16 (City Exhibit 482 was identified)

17 Q And this -- this is the 15 year period?

18 A Yes.

19 MR. HAMILTON: All right. Your Honor, the city  
20 would move City Exhibit 482 into evidence.

21 THE COURT: Any objections?

22 MR. HACKNEY: I have an Your Honor. I'm not sure I  
23 have enough foundation. I can't see the document precisely so  
24 I'm not sure I understand exactly what it is yet. I'm getting

1           THE COURT: Perhaps it would be good if your tech  
2 person could blow up half of it so that the individual lines  
3 are bigger. And then we can look at the other half if  
4 necessary. Ah, much better. Thank you.

5           MR. HACKNEY: Yeah. I think we maintain our  
6 objection to this document, Your Honor. We lodged a hearsay  
7 objection and I think that this is being offered for the truth  
8 and it's improper.

9           MR. HAMILTON: It's being offered for the truth,  
10 Your Honor. Conway, MacKenzie prepared this data based on  
11 their review of -- of the documents. And this is his  
12 testimony.

13           MR. HACKNEY: But --

14           THE COURT: All right. The objection is overruled  
15 and the exhibit is admitted.

16           (City's Exhibit 482 was admitted)

17 Q        And then finally, Mr. Moore, on -- on Friday you were  
18 asked a series of questions by Ms. O'Gorman on behalf of the  
19 counties with respect to DWSD. And in particular --

20           THE COURT: Hold on one second.

21           MR. HAMILTON: Sure.

22           THE COURT: Are you still asking questions about  
23 this document?

24           MR. HAMILTON: No, I'm not, Your Honor.

1                   MR. HAMILTON: Yes.

2                   THE COURT: All right. Can you explain to us what  
3 this exhibit demonstrates?

4 A       Yes, Your Honor. I received directly from both the GRS  
5 pension system and the PFRS system the actual rates of return  
6 on a calendar year basis going back to 1999.

7                   And so what this schedule shows is when you take into  
8 account, or when you lay out the actual rates of return, if  
9 you can scroll to the far right the average annual rate of  
10 return for PFRS was 5.61% over that entire time period. And  
11 for the GRS pension system it was 5.19.

12                  Now it's important to note that you can't just take the  
13 rates of return every year, add them up and divide by 15.  
14 This is based on compounding which is how asset returns work.  
15 So this is an important element when we look at over a fairly  
16 lengthy time period what the rates of return actually were.

17                  Down below we have it on a fiscal year basis,  
18 unfortunately that is only for the GRS pension system. We  
19 were not able to receive the same information for the PFRS  
20 pension system.

21                  THE COURT: Thank you.

22 Q       All right. Mr. Moore, on Friday, Ms. O'Gorman on behalf  
23 of the counties asked you some questions about the DWSD  
24 decision to retire its unfunded liability over a nine year  
25 period.

1        And in particular Ms. O'Gorman asked you whether it's  
2 true that the nine year period was selected because the city  
3 needed those funds in that time period in order to fund its  
4 reinvestment initiatives. Do you recall that question, sir?

5 A       I do.

6 Q       And do you recall generally what your answer was?

7 A       Yes. I indicated that there were two primary reasons for  
8 the nine year pay off of the unfunded amount. One was the  
9 cost of capital item, and then the other one was as part of  
10 and to facilitate the settlements that had been reached.

11 Q       Okay. And the settlement that was reached that you're  
12 referring to, could you describe just generally what that is?

13 A       Yes. The plan of adjustment contains our settlement or  
14 settlements and there are a number of items that impact DWSD  
15 that were reached as a part of the settlement.

16           Not only do we have the reductions in the GRS pension  
17 system, but also the treatment of the OPEB claims as -- as  
18 well as the swaps and then the proposed treatment for the COPS  
19 in the plan.

20 Q       Okay. So what impact did reaching the settlements with  
21 respect to pension and OPEB and the swaps have -- have for the  
22 -- for the city in connection with the legacy liabilities of  
23 DWSD?

24 A       The way that those liabilities were handled by DWSD is

25 that they would reimburse the city for those -- for amounts  
13-53846-tjt Doc 7472 Filed 09/14/14 Entered 09/14/14 10:46:04 Page 46 of 279

1 related to those items. By reaching those settlements, the  
2 amount that DWSD would have to reimburse the general fund was  
3 reduced significantly.

4 Q So did you consider in -- in deciding whether or not the  
5 city should -- or that DWSD should retire its unfunded  
6 liability over a nine year period, what the overall impact of  
7 all those settlements was on DWSD's overall legacy  
8 liabilities?

9 A Yes, sir.

10 Q All right. Can we bring up City Exhibit 201, please?  
11 And can we blow up just the chart without the footnotes.

12 Mr. Moore, is this a document that you used in connection  
13 with the city's consideration of retiring DWSD's unfunded  
14 pension liability over a nine year period?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Can you describe for the Court what this document shows?

17 A At the very top it contains the proposed payments on the  
18 various items based on what is included in the plan of  
19 adjustment. And you see here that we have under POA, pension  
20 payments. These are payments on the frozen accrued benefits.

21 Then there are professional fees that were allocated to  
22 DWSD. Pension administrative costs. OPEB --

23 Q Let me interrupt you, sir. On -- on those three if we go  
24 over to the far right under two fifteen to two twenty-three,  
25 if you total up those three, what number do you get?

1 A That's the 428.5 million dollars.

2 Q Okay. Go ahead.

3 A And the next line OPEB current retirees. Those are the  
4 proposed payments by DWSD pursuant to the treatment of OPEB  
5 claims. And then the final two are the proposed treatment  
6 pursuant to pension obligation certificates and then the  
7 swaps. These are the amounts that DWSD would pay related to  
8 the proposed treatment of these different claims.

9 Q All right. And then what's the next line under the sub  
10 total for legacy payments?

11 A Below that then there are additional contemplated costs  
12 going forward. So pension active employees represents  
13 payments for prospectively earned pension benefits for DWSD.

14 And then OPEB future retirees represents amounts that  
15 would be paid relative to new benefits that would be accrued  
16 for retiree health care.

17 Q All right. And so then you have a total of DWSD legacy  
18 payments under the plan, is that right?

19 A That's right.

20 Q What is that total for the nine year period?

21 A Over the nine year period from 20 -- fiscal year 2015  
22 through fiscal year '23, that's 502.6 million dollars.

23 Q All right. And then what's the next group of -- of rows  
24 that's titled no restructuring. What does that refer to?

1 payments would be without restructuring. Meaning based on how  
2 these costs are right now and what DWSD is paying, what DWSD  
3 would be paying during that same time period without the  
4 provisions of the plan of adjustment.

5 Q All right. So the first line is pension payments. And  
6 it has 31.3 going across. Can you describe for the Court what  
7 that refers to?

8 A Yes. What this does and this -- this is a very  
9 conservative technique that's been used here. 31.3 million  
10 dollars represents what DWSD would be contributing to the GRS  
11 pension system without any changes right now. That is based  
12 on the GRS actuarial valuation report.

13 So this is what GR -- or what DWSD would be contributing  
14 in fiscal year 15. To be very conservative that amount was  
15 then extended all the way out.

16 Q Now if -- and if -- if the total for the nine year period  
17 for that line is -- is 281.7. Do you see that, sir?

18 A Yes, sir.

19 Q An actually if you look there's total then for the  
20 following all the way through 2043. There's three totals, two  
21 eighty-one, three thirteen, and three thirteen, is that right?

22 A Yes, sir.

23 Q If DWSD made those payments over the next, is that 30  
24 years?

1 Q All right. Would DWSD have retired its unfunded  
2 liability for the pension -- for its pension obligations?

3 A The liability if it was frozen at June 30<sup>th</sup> of 2014, but  
4 there was no reduction to that liability, it would not be  
5 repaid during that time period assuming no actuarial or  
6 investment variances.

7 Q So what would the number have to be each year if DWSD  
8 wanted to completely retire its unfunded liability over the --  
9 over the next 30 years?

10 A To take the frozen accrued benefit balance as of June  
11 30<sup>th</sup>, 2014, and to pay that out over 40 years at a 6.75%  
12 interest -- I'm sorry, 7.9% interest rate, that would be  
13 \$40,000,000 per year. And so that would be instead of 31.3  
14 million dollars, \$40,000,000 per year and that would go out  
15 for 30 years.

16 Q All right. So those total come close to about -- on this  
17 current chart, total about \$900,000,000 for the 30 year  
18 period, is that right?

19 A That's right.

20 Q If it was \$40,000,000 a year what would the total be?

21 A The \$40,000,000 per year would be closer to 1.2 billion  
22 dollars.

23 Q All right. So now let's go with the other lines here.  
24 Under the -- under no --

1           MR. HAMILTON: Yes.

2           THE COURT: Before we jump ahead here, what's the  
3 basis or the assumption, whatever it is, that goes into the  
4 indication here of 31.3 million dollars per year every year?

5 A       It is a simplistic assumption, Your Honor. And so it is  
6 taking what the amount is today and assuming it's not going to  
7 grow at all. Because benefits are not -- no new benefits are  
8 being accrued under this old system.

9           THE COURT: Well, what -- what might the risks --  
10 what are the risks or the variables that might actually impact  
11 the yearly amount?

12 A       Sure. Within the GRS pension system there are various  
13 elements that come into play with future contributions. One  
14 of those is that the GRS pension system uses an asset  
15 smoothing process which takes gains and losses and smooths  
16 them out over a year -- over a certain period of years, seven  
17 years.

18           Right now there are still unrecognized losses so the  
19 actuarial value of the assets is higher than the market value  
20 of the assets. The 31.3 million dollars is based on an  
21 actuarial value of the assets.

22           As those losses are bled in, the amount will go higher.  
23 And previous projections that the pension system has done have  
24 projected contributions growing over the next several years  
25 because of that reason specifically.

1       Now conversely, if there were significantly higher than  
2 anticipated returns, that could bring the required payments  
3 down. Also if there were actuarial variances. People lived a  
4 lot -- I'm sorry, passed away sooner, those types of things  
5 could bring that -- or offset that forecasted increase.

6           THE COURT: Do you have an opinion on whether the  
7 31.3 million dollar straight line amount you have here is more  
8 likely too high, or more likely is -- the actual contribution  
9 required is more likely to be higher than that, or more likely  
10 to be lower than that?

11 A       I do, Your Honor. And my opinion is that it's more  
12 likely to be higher than 31.3 million dollars.

13 Q       Let's go down to the OPEB line under no restructuring.  
14 Can you describe what that is?

15 A       Yes. This is the anticipated amount that would have to  
16 be paid by DWSD for OPEB costs assuming no restructuring. So  
17 no treatment of te claim consistent with what's in the plan of  
18 adjustment.

19 Q       And what's the total for the nine year period?

20 A       263.7 million dollars.

21 Q       And then can you describe what the PSE and swaps lines  
22 are?

23 A       Yes. These are again amounts that DWSD would have to pay  
24 relative to these liabilities assuming no restructuring -- no  
25 restructuring or treatment of those claims as we have in the

1 plan of adjustment.

2 Q And so what is the total DWSD legacy payments for the  
3 nine year period if there is -- if the plan of adjustment and  
4 its savings are not approved by the Court?

5 A 675.3 million dollars.

6 Q All right. So if we look at the very bottom line of the  
7 last chart it says total savings/additional cost. On the nine  
8 year period you have a 172.8 circled. Do you see that?

9 A Yes, I do.

10 Q Can you tell the Court what that represents?

11 A This is the savings in the nine year period for DWSD as a  
12 result of the restructuring. So paying the pension -- paying  
13 off the unfunded amount for the pension along with the  
14 professional fee allocation and the administrative costs, plus  
15 the treatment of the OPEB certificates of participation and  
16 swap claims, will generate pursuant to the plan of adjustment,  
17 would save DWSD approximately \$173,000,000 over the nine year  
18 period versus what DWSD would be paying for those same  
19 obligations in the absence of a restructuring.

20 Q Now Ms. O'Gorman asked you in considering whether or not  
21 the four twenty-eight should be paid over nine years, whether  
22 you considered the impact of that decision on rate payers that  
23 would have to pay rates to pay that off over nine years. Do  
24 you recall that question?

25 A Yes.

1 Q Did you consider the overall savings that are achieved  
2 for DWSD over the nine year period by retiring its unfunded  
3 liability over nine years. Did you consider the overall  
4 savings impact on rate payers in making that decision?

5 A Yes. This analysis would indicate that with a nine year  
6 amortization DWSD would be paying less than it otherwise  
7 would.

8 Q And what impact would that have on the rate payers over  
9 the nine year period?

10 A It may or may not have an impact on rates themselves, but  
11 the cost structure obviously would be less which generally  
12 speaking, all else being equal would result in lower rates  
13 than if the costs were not restructured.

14 MR. HAMILTON: I have no further questions, Your  
15 Honor.

16 MR. HACKNEY: Your Honor, just a short redirect if I  
17 may.

18 RECROSS EXAMINATION

19 BY MR. HACKNEY:

20 Q Mr. Moore, good morning. Stephen Hackney on behalf of  
21 Syncora. I was wondering if I could keep that up, I'm sorry.  
22 Nice to see you again.

23 A Good to see you.

24 Q I think we sat together last December.

25 A Yes.

1 Q I just had a couple short questions about what you were  
2 just talking about with Mr. Hamilton. Do you see under the no  
3 restructuring where it talks about the pension obligation  
4 certificates, the POC and the swaps underneath that?

5 A I do, yes.

6 Q Am I correct that the source of the information that  
7 informed that projection were the financial statements of the  
8 DWSD in terms of the allocated portion of those two  
9 liabilities to the DWSD?

10 A Yes, sir.

11 Q And the footnote indicates that it is an 18.5%  
12 allocation, is that correct?

13 A That's probably right. I -- we'd have to just look at  
14 the footnotes below.

15 Q You should feel free to. I just read it. But feel free  
16 to look at Footnote 10 if -- if you want to confirm.

17 A Yes, that's correct.

18 Q And that's -- that's consistent with the historical  
19 allocation to DWSD, correct?

20 A Yes, that's my understanding.

21 MR. HACKNEY: No further questions.

22 THE COURT: Any other questions for the witness?

23 Yes, Ma'am.

24 MS. O'GORMAN: I just have one follow up question.

1 BY MS. O'GORMAN:

2 Q You talked about some settlements that --

3 THE COURT: Just so the record is clear, would you  
4 identify yourself, please?

5 MS. O'GORMAN: Yes, sorry. Debra O'Gorman for  
6 Macomb through its public works commissioner.

7 Q Now the settlements that you talked about benefit all of  
8 the city departments, not just the DWSD, correct?

9 A Yes. The settlements relate to the comprehensive plan of  
10 adjustment.

11 Q But only the DWSD is being asked to fully fund its  
12 alleged share of the UAAL over a nine year period, correct?

13 A Yes.

14 MS. O'GORMAN: Thank you.

15 THE COURT: Any other questions for the witness?  
16 All right. Stand by one moment, please.

17 I'd like to focus for a moment on the contract between  
18 Conway and the city, please.

19 A Yes, sir.

20 THE COURT: How would you generally describe the  
21 scope of that contract in terms of the work that Conway  
22 obligated itself to -- to do for the city?

23 A Yes, sir. Our role specifically was to serve as the  
24 operational restructuring advisor. And so that involved

1 actions for the short term and long term that the city should  
2 undertake relative to its operations to improve and provide  
3 adequate services.

4 THE COURT: What does the contract foresee would  
5 constitute the termination or end of your obligations under  
6 the contract?

7 A Yes, sir. Right now our contract goes through September  
8 30<sup>th</sup> of 2014. That contract has an ability for the city to  
9 cancel it, I think, at any time or maybe with 30 days notice.

10 We are in discussions right now with the city relative to  
11 an extension to that contract. And we're discussing  
12 specifically what those activities may be and what the scope  
13 in terms of our -- how significant the involvement would be.

14 THE COURT: Do you have an opinion on whether the  
15 city's present resources are adequate to permit it to execute  
16 the restructuring and revitalization initiatives that your  
17 report recommends?

18 A The resources are not sufficient. There is a substantial  
19 increase in head count that's going to be required. And while  
20 there are certain --

21 THE COURT: I may have misstated my question or you  
22 misunderstand it. My question is whether the city's resources  
23 not to execute the plan, but to monitor the execution of the  
24 plan, are adequate.

1 to be a lot of manual effort involved in the beginning,  
2 especially because of the deficiency from a system standpoint.

3 I've been very encouraged over the last couple of months  
4 with the amount of focus both within the finance area, the  
5 Mayor's area, city council, as well as the auditor general's  
6 area on how are we going to specifically monitor and track all  
7 of these items.

8 So from that standpoint there are a lot of resources that  
9 I think are actually very good that are going to be able to do  
10 it.

11 THE COURT: Okay. If you can answer this question  
12 without breaching some confidentiality requirement. What are  
13 the discussions regarding Conway, MacKenzie's continued  
14 providing of services to the city?

15 A I think I can answer that. Our goal from the beginning  
16 and especially right now, is to have it set up so that the  
17 city can operate without our involvement.

18 It's very clear and I certainly -- I don't like to speak  
19 on behalf of others, but I think Mr. Hill has indicated that  
20 point -- we have not gotten to that point yet. So what we're  
21 specifically talking about is when is that point and how do we  
22 get to that point. I think at that point -- or based on that,  
23 that's where I should probably halt my description of where  
24 the conversations stand.

1 subject. You used the phrase cultural deficiencies.

2 A Yes.

3 THE COURT: In your direct examination.

4 A Yes.

5 THE COURT: What do you mean by that phrase?

6 A This is something that actually the Court's expert  
7 touched on and I agree with. There are pockets, way too many  
8 pockets within the city that don't necessarily feel that it is  
9 their responsibility to provide a certain level of service  
10 that it kind of goes the other way around.

11 People are there to be served. And from that standpoint  
12 it is -- it's ingrained in the culture of many areas within  
13 the city within certain departments that people don't have to  
14 perform to a certain level.

15 The lack of resources to track and monitor how  
16 individuals as well as departments are performing has  
17 contributed to that and there's no question that all of the  
18 budget cuts that have been realized have also left people  
19 somewhat helpless.

20 That specifically is what I was referring to in terms of  
21 the cultural deficiency. People not understanding or perhaps  
22 not feeling that they have to perform to a certain level and  
23 not having the management or leadership that actually holds  
24 people in areas accountable.

1 say, about an aspect of the culture of the municipal  
2 government?

3 A Yes.

4 THE COURT: Not any wider sense of culture?

5 A No. And I would even characterize it as a management  
6 deficiency. Because I believe that whether you're talking  
7 about management as a department head or two levels below the  
8 department head, or all the way to the top. Those are the  
9 individuals that have to drive performance. That have to  
10 insure accountability.

11 THE COURT: Is it fair to say that you are familiar  
12 with the concepts of fraud, waste, and abuse in the government  
13 context?

14 A Yes.

15 THE COURT: Have you done any investigation of what  
16 efforts the city intends to make post-confirmation if the plan  
17 is confirmed to minimize or eliminate fraud, waste, and abuse?

18 A Yes. A very important element that I touched on Friday  
19 relates to Workers' Compensation. That is an area where there  
20 is documented evidence of substantial amounts of fraud, abuse,  
21 and waste that has occurred. That is --

22 THE COURT: Let's pause there because you did give  
23 us a number, right?

24 A Yes, I did.

1 A Actually I touched on two different areas. Generally  
2 speaking there was an \$18,000,000 number but under the  
3 Department of Transportation, I also referenced a \$14,000,000.  
4 So those two together are \$32,000,000.

5 There is a few other pockets that come into play, call it  
6 \$35,000,000 in anticipated savings by dealing with Workers'  
7 Comp, fraudulent Workers' Comp claims.

8 THE COURT: And what percentage of the budget is  
9 that \$32,000,000?

10 A Across the Department of Transportation as an example,  
11 I'd have to go back and calculate what that is. But it's --  
12 it's certainly a single digit percentage.

13 THE COURT: Okay. So please, go ahead with your  
14 answer regarding your opinion of the city's efforts to  
15 eliminate fraud, waste, and abuse post-confirmation.

16 A Sure. Workers' Compensation is certainly an area.  
17 Another area relates to the law department and how the law  
18 department has functioned in the past which is essentially to  
19 settle everything and the approach being taken to fight or  
20 combat especially claims that are without merit is an  
21 important element in boosting the staff within the city's own  
22 law department is going to be a very important piece of doing  
23 that.

24 Those are the two primary areas where one could make a

1 the abuse really gets into more of what I was referring to  
2 before which is in ways the management aspect having the right  
3 information to be able to track how things are getting done.

4       But also and most importantly, the ability to -- for  
5 managers to take action. Within all of the -- I shouldn't say  
6 all of the collective bargaining agreements, but at least  
7 within many of the collective bargaining agreements, new  
8 agreements that have been struck, there are significantly more  
9 management rights that have been built in. And that will be  
10 an important element along with having the right qualified  
11 people in the management roles to carry out that part.

12           THE COURT: Can you give me one example within this  
13 collective bargaining context that -- that -- that you think  
14 demonstrates this issue or this concern?

15 A       Yes, I will. One that is near and dear to everyone's  
16 heart is the police department. And what has happened in the  
17 past, certainly what was evident to us is that the department  
18 had become incredibly top heavy.

19           And so the chief, Chief Craig now has the ability,  
20 especially at the higher level, the command officer to really  
21 restructure how he is going to set up his senior leadership.  
22 And we worked incredibly closely with the chief in 2013  
23 basically to promote a number of people, as well as to demote  
24 individuals.

1 people leap frogged a number of levels. It was a matter of  
2 finding the right talent and putting them in the right  
3 position. So his leadership structure really changed around  
4 quite a bit.

5 THE COURT: And is it your opinion that that  
6 expansion of Chief Craig's authority under the collective  
7 bargaining agreement had the impact of saving money for the  
8 city?

9 A Yes.

10 THE COURT: Have you -- or do you have any opinion  
11 as to the dollar amount of that?

12 A This is going back a little bit, but I -- I believe that  
13 at the time it was about a \$1,000,000 year in savings.

14 THE COURT: And I assume there are other examples  
15 within the collective bargaining agreement that you could  
16 give?

17 A Yes, yes.

18 THE COURT: Okay. Are there ways which in your  
19 opinion the city could further combat fraud, waste, and abuse  
20 but which the city's plans going forward do not accept?

21 A I would characterize that as what we are trying to get  
22 the city to do is adequate. We are not through the  
23 reinvestment initiatives, we're not getting the city to world  
24 class.

1 allow for, I think, much further improvement in that regard.  
2 So I can't say that there would be a specific area where it's  
3 been off the table, but it's just the level that we're  
4 targeting versus like I say, world -- world class.

5 THE COURT: Well, let me ask you to just give me one  
6 example if you can of a change you might recommend to the city  
7 to take it to that next level.

8 A There are numerous examples that impact a lot of  
9 departments related to maintenance. The city has far more  
10 vehicles than it needs because so many are inoperable.

11 In addition to that the maintenance operations have had  
12 numerous issues in terms of job performance. I'm not  
13 convinced that the city should be in the maintenance business.

14 And that is an area that certainly there are parameters  
15 that the city has to work with as it relates to potential  
16 outsourcing or alternative ways of providing services.

17 THE COURT: When you say parameters, do you mean  
18 obstacles?

19 A Yes. And maintenance is one of those areas that I think  
20 would -- could potentially have significant added benefits to  
21 the city if maintenance was handled both within the general  
22 services division which handles most non-transportation as  
23 well as even the Department of Transportation.

24 THE COURT: What obstacles?

1      whether that's -- whether those were ordinances or -- or  
2      separate as part of the city charter, that provide certain  
3      steps that have to be followed prior to any sort of  
4      outsourcing. And then there are certainly the political  
5      considerations as well.

6                THE COURT: Can -- can you define in a -- in a  
7      summary fashion the -- the specific measures that the city  
8      will take to the extent you know to assure the Court, the  
9      creditors, and the residents of the city that the over  
10     \$1,000,000,000 that the city proposes to spend on these  
11     initiatives will be spent in the most effective and efficient  
12     way possible without any fraud, waste, or abuse.

13    A        Yeah. I will give you a very specific example as to how  
14    it's working right now.

15               As you're aware, Your Honor, the city obtained this  
16     quality of life financing previously which this Court  
17     approved. And right now in order for any of those funds to be  
18     used business cases have to be submitted.

19               And what's really important is these are not business  
20     cases that are being developed solely by Conway, MacKenzie.  
21     Certainly we are having -- or providing assistance, but these  
22     are coming from departments and they are laying out why they  
23     should be able to pursue a particular initiative and what the  
24     amount is.

1 then they come up again. But the finance area and  
2 specifically under Mr. Hill's leadership, also driven by the  
3 Mayor. I believe it was the Mayor actually that came up with  
4 this concept initially that individuals would have to submit  
5 business cases is a great example of making sure that money is  
6 not just spent.

7 For that money to be appropriated, and this is something  
8 specifically that gets back to conversations that I've had  
9 with finance, city council, as well as the auditor general's  
10 office. In order to take that step of appropriating funds for  
11 an initiative, what is the amount of basis or justification  
12 that will have to occur for that.

13 And the nice thing is, is this has been going on at least  
14 four months now with the business case submission. And it has  
15 worked very well. I think in some ways some people are  
16 disappointed that perhaps they're not able to spend the money  
17 quicker, but they're now starting to see that just because the  
18 money exists doesn't mean that it can get spent, it has to be  
19 justified.

20 THE COURT: Do you have an opinion on whether the  
21 expenditure of the reinvestment funds that are specific to the  
22 fire department will allow the fire department to meet the  
23 standards that I think you called the NFPA fire department  
24 standards?

1 to happen immediately and it may take several years, but the  
2 investments that are specifically within the fire department  
3 will get the fire department to those levels.

4 THE COURT: How many years?

5 A Hard to say at this point. I -- I think that ideally  
6 within five years.

7 THE COURT: By ideally, do you mean best case  
8 scenario five years?

9 A No, I think actually things could go even quicker than  
10 that. Part of -- part of getting to NFPA standards is  
11 response time. And that is making sure that companies are in  
12 the right locations. That will take some time because there  
13 still has to be finalized exactly where the -- the companies  
14 and the stations will be located. But then you have the  
15 actual expenditures in -- in some instances construction or --  
16 or building out new facilities.

17 THE COURT: Are you generally aware of what the new  
18 governance structures are for GRS and PFRS?

19 A Yes, generally.

20 THE COURT: Do you have an opinion on whether those  
21 new governance structures are adequate to eliminate the kinds  
22 of governance issues that have led the city to where it is  
23 today at least in part with its UAAL?

24 A I think I have the answer to that in two parts. First,

1 documented abuses both in terms of the granting of benefits as  
2 well as investments.

3 As it relates to how investments are to be handled with  
4 the investment committee, I'm satisfied with that. I think  
5 that that is going to be a very good approach.

6 As it relates to the management of the benefits, at least  
7 for the previously accrued benefits, those are now frozen.  
8 And so there won't be any sort of changes to those. We have  
9 to make sure that the system is set up well.

10 The -- the GRS system as an example right now is really  
11 disconnected from the HR system. And the number of duplicate  
12 systems, the amount of manual entry that's required, that --  
13 that just creates opportunities for unintentional and  
14 intentional errors. I think that still has to be addressed.

15 But as it relates to new benefits can't be provided  
16 because those have been frozen. Now going forward we have a  
17 situation where benefits will be accrued under new hybrid  
18 plans.

19 And there are a number of corrective actions that will  
20 take place assuming that the funded position goes below 100%.  
21 At least in the next five years or so, I'm very satisfied that  
22 this will be controlled.

23 But because benefits are part of collective bargaining  
24 agreements, that tends to be the contract that provides for  
25 these benefits, there is always the question in the future as  
13-53846-tjt Doc 7472 Filed 09/14/14 Entered 09/14/14 10:46:04 Page 68 of 279

1 to when negotiation occurs, will there be an understanding of  
2 the cost of benefits that are being provided. I think the  
3 hybrid structure and the fact that specifically employees are  
4 impacted right away to the extent that that funding position  
5 goes below 100%, or shortly after it goes below 100%, will  
6 hopefully provide additional visibility.

7 I think this experience has also allowed people to see  
8 what can happen if they're not understanding how benefits --  
9 what the cost of benefits are and how benefits are being  
10 provided for.

11 THE COURT: I sense a certain amount of disagreement  
12 or maybe lack of understanding of what the significance of  
13 UAAL is in terms of the help of a pension plan and in terms of  
14 the impact of that long term on a city's fiscal soundness. Do  
15 you have an opinion on whether there is a specific percentage  
16 level of UAAL that is -- I guess the word might be tolerable  
17 or allowable that would -- that would still allow the plan to  
18 maintain itself as fiscally sound and for the city to maintain  
19 that its governance of the plan is sound?

20 MR. HACKNEY: And, Your Honor, I'm sorry to object  
21 to the Court's question. That's certainly the last thing I  
22 want to do. I do apologize. Mr. Moore is an important --

23 THE COURT: No apology necessary. I do occasionally  
24 sustain objections to my own questions.

1 context and perspective. I think Mr. Moore is an impressive  
2 man. He's got a distinguished --

3 THE COURT: What is your objection?

4 MR. HACKNEY: My objection though is, and I think  
5 Mr. Moore will agree, he is not an actuary. He doesn't hold  
6 himself out as an expert in actuarial science.

7 THE COURT: Okay.

8 MR. HACKNEY: And I think that is a difficult  
9 opinion to give without being trained in those sciences. So  
10 that's the objection, Your Honor. I -- it's also obviously --  
11 I don't -- I don't believe it's disclosed in his report so  
12 it's not a -- a lay opinion that you can give, so --

13 THE COURT: Well, let me explore the foundation then  
14 before I ask the question. Do you believe that the question I  
15 asked, assuming you understood it, was one you are capable of  
16 providing an answer to?

17 A I am, yes.

18 THE COURT: Why do you believe that? What about  
19 your experience and training says to you that you can answer  
20 my question?

21 A I am a Certified Public Accountant. The standards under  
22 which pension systems report are promulgated by accounting  
23 boards if you will, the GASB or the FASB governmental  
24 accounting stands board, financial accounting standards board.

1 pension plans are financial, or have financial aspects to them  
2 that have to factor in with the city's overall budget. I  
3 certainly am very well versed in municipal budgets and  
4 financial projections. So that would be the basis.

5 THE COURT: All right. I am going to find that that  
6 is adequate and overrule the objection. Please answer the  
7 question.

8 A Your Honor, there are a lot of different characteristics  
9 of plans that make it a little bit difficult to answer the  
10 question directly, however, I think that there are some good  
11 guide posts.

12 The first item is, making sure that the liability is  
13 being reported in the right way. Too often, especially in the  
14 municipal sector, the -- there are a number of techniques that  
15 are used that don't necessarily provide great visibility to  
16 what the UAAL is.

17 There are new GASB standards that are going into effect  
18 that are going to assist with that. They're going into effect  
19 right now actually. Now those are not going to drive funding  
20 levels. So municipalities will be reporting more, but it's  
21 not going to necessarily change their funding.

22 THE COURT: So this is a -- a standard by which  
23 municipalities will report what the UAAL is in a way that  
24 makes it more uniform and therefore understandable and  
25 therefore more visible to everyone?

1 A Yes, sir. So with that said, I think that that will  
2 help. Again that does not -- those new accounting standards  
3 will not impact funding decisions.

4 The -- a municipality could be -- it could have a pension  
5 system that's 80% funded and that could be very bad for them.  
6 Because the amount that is necessary that they have to  
7 contribute could be well outside what their budget will allow.

8 Conversely a -- a municipality could be 50% funded but is  
9 growing tremendously, has a strong revenue base, and can make  
10 that up. What we've tried to do with Detroit and how we've  
11 designed the hybrid system gives you some indication as to  
12 what we think.

13 And we think it's important to always be within, I'll use  
14 the term within spitting distance of 100% funded. So when you  
15 see the corrective actions that are scheduled to go into play  
16 with the new hybrid plans, they are meant to recognize as  
17 quickly as possible when funding goes below 100% as well as --  
18 and -- and take those corrective actions, but also when  
19 funding goes above 100% provide some governor before  
20 substantial additional benefits may be provided. So that is  
21 how we have viewed the benefits that will be accrued going  
22 forward and where we feel the city needs to be.

23 THE COURT: Well, the answer -- the answer to this  
24 question may be obvious, but let's get it on the record  
25 anyway. Why is it your opinion that the City of Detroit

1 pension plans need to be within spitting distance of 100%?

2 A Cities operate in such a way that they tend not to have  
3 -- build up substantial reserves, multi-year reserves. Their  
4 expenditures very often are equaling their revenues coming in.

5 When a city is hit with an unexpected amount that makes  
6 it very difficult for the city to deal with. And so the  
7 more --

8 THE COURT: Expected amount of what?

9 A Unanticipated contributions as an example. So if the  
10 funding level goes below a certain point and all of a sudden  
11 contributions have to be increased substantially, that can  
12 really wreak havoc on a city's budget and those are some of  
13 the times that municipalities will start to play tricks on how  
14 they're reporting and what contributions they're making.

15 The more that we can stay close to 100% funded, the more  
16 predictable the contributions the city will have to make. And  
17 that is key in all aspects of budgeting, especially for a  
18 municipality. Predictability and then follow through on  
19 actually making contributions.

20 THE COURT: Unexpected contribution levels in any  
21 given year result from the investment variables that you  
22 mentioned and the actuarial variables?

23 A Yes, sir. And one other element which is getting into  
24 the actuarial aspect, but what has actually been earned, the  
25 benefit that's been earned.

1        And the city through the new hybrid plans are just going  
2 to a base compensation level. There are no more adders if you  
3 will, things that can take what you anticipate have been the  
4 case of benefits being earned and increase those substantially  
5 through overtime and other ancillary compensation.

6            THE COURT: Do you know generally speaking what the  
7 phrase 401(k) plan refers to?

8 A       Yes, Your Honor.

9            THE COURT: There may be a slightly different phrase  
10 in the context of government or a municipality?

11 A       Yes, sir. Yes. 401(k) is for corporations. Essentially  
12 there are a variety of items with municipalities. There could  
13 be a 401(a) which is like what the city has as well. And  
14 there are other provisions. But these are all defined  
15 contribution pension plans.

16            THE COURT: Okay. Let me use the phrase, defined  
17 contribution plan then.

18 A       Okay.

19            THE COURT: Do you know whether in connection with  
20 its plan and its financial restructuring, the city considered  
21 going to a pure defined contribution -- contribution plan?

22 A       Yes, Your Honor, it did.

23            THE COURT: Do you know why it decided not to do  
24 that?

1 microphone.

2 MR. CULLEN: I don't think that Mr. Moore can talk  
3 about that without getting into the mediation, Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Well, let's ask. Can -- can you answer  
5 that question without violating this Court's order prohibiting  
6 testimony regarding mediation?

7 A Mr. Cullen took the words right out of my mouth. In the  
8 June 2013 creditor proposal the city had indicated about  
9 moving to a defined contribution plan. That's why I was able  
10 to answer that question. Unfortunately I'm not able to answer  
11 the follow up question.

12 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. That's all I have. Any  
13 follow up questions to my questions or to any questions?

14 MR. HACKNEY: No, Your Honor.

15 MR. HAMILTON: No, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: All right, sir. Thank you very much for  
17 your testimony. You may step down and you are excused.

18 A Thank you.

19 (WITNESS CHARLES MOORE WAS EXCUSED AT 10:22 A.M.)

20 MR. HACKNEY: Your Honor, would it be okay if I  
21 raised some of the additional sort of pre-trial issues that I  
22 had mentioned earlier this morning before Ms. Niblock  
23 testifies? I didn't want to interfere with --

24 THE COURT: Yes. Let's do that. And then we'll  
25 take our morning break before that testimony begins.  
13-53846-tjt Doc 7472 Filed 09/14/14 Entered 09/14/14 10:46:04 Page 75 of 279

1           MR. HACKNEY: Some of these are just very  
2 mechanical, nuts and bolts, Your Honor.

3           THE COURT: Go ahead, sir.

4           MR. HACKNEY: The first one is our Daubert motion on  
5 forecasting creates a little bit of a puzzle under the Court's  
6 scheduling order because it actually spans across three  
7 witnesses, Mr. Cline, Ms. Sallee, and Mr. Malhotra.

8           And the scheduling order says we'll address the Daubert  
9 motion before a witness will testify, but given the unique  
10 nature of this, I proposed to Mr. Stewart that since you've  
11 already seen Mr. Cline testify, and given the latitude that  
12 you have as to when you'll consider a Daubert motion, that it  
13 might make more sense to allow Ms. Sallee to testify with us  
14 reserving, and Mr. Malhotra to testify, and then you could  
15 hear the argument on that after you've seen the testimony of  
16 the three witnesses to allow for a more informed argument  
17 before you. And he's in agreement with that. We just wanted  
18 to propose it to you.

19           THE COURT: That is fine with me.

20           MR. HACKNEY: Your Honor, second, I wanted to give  
21 you a head's up that this notion of the OPEB --

22           THE COURT: Pause for a second. I'm going to -- I'm  
23 going to leave it again to you to tell me when it is time to  
24 -- to make that argument, sir.

1           THE COURT: You'll tell me.

2           MR. HACKNEY: And by the way to that effect you'll  
3 remember there was this motion in liming with respect to the  
4 concept of combining the OPEB and pension recoveries. And the  
5 city and Syncora stipulated on this point, but certain other  
6 parties wanted to be heard.

7           And we -- we said we would tell you when we thought the  
8 appropriate time was coming. Ms. Renshaw is a retiree  
9 committee witness who I think is going to testify likely  
10 tomorrow. And we wanted to let you know that we think that we  
11 ought to argue that in advance of her testimony.

12          The last point is -- is a brief one, Your Honor, but it's  
13 something I've been reflecting on and if this is my fault, I  
14 will certainly take responsibility for it. It's -- it's  
15 something I -- I didn't fully appreciate with respect to your  
16 order admitting exhibits.

17          And that is that I had not fully appreciated the idea  
18 that exhibits from the objectors' lists that were not objected  
19 to by the city would all go into evidence whole scale during  
20 the city's case in chief. And I'm not here to say that that's  
21 not what you said, or indicated, but you may recall, I think,  
22 in the -- you can do it different ways.

23          I think you did it that way in eligibility, or at least  
24 I'm told you did. I think in the swaps trial what would  
25 happen is parties have actually sponsored their exhibits when

1       they were presenting their case and at that time the  
2       unobjeted to exhibits would all come in.

3           The only reason I wanted to raise it was that I was going  
4       to see if I could get some latitude from you because there are  
5       certain exhibits on our objector exhibit lists that we did not  
6       intend to offer the entire exhibit for the truth of the  
7       matter.

8           We intended to offer it as an admission on a limited  
9       point. And actually are of the view that much of the exhibit  
10      is hearsay and if the city had put it on its list we would  
11      have objected.

12           When all of this went, you know, in I think with respect  
13      to the vast majority of exhibits is probably okay. But the  
14      ones that I -- I didn't fully think through enough were things  
15      like the disclosure statement prior drafts.

16           We have very limited goals for those things but they're  
17      actually multi hundred page documents that have all sorts of  
18      stuff in them that are ostensibly --

19           THE COURT: What's the relief you seek?

20           MR. HACKNEY: The relief I seek was I was wondering  
21      if the objectors could have a 72 hour period to come to you  
22      and identify exhibits that were on their own lists but that  
23      they had intended to offer for a more limited purpose in the  
24      way of asking you to reconsider their admission at this time,

1 can have a sense of what they are proposing to use it for.

2 And then any completeness concerns that the city would have.

3 That way we don't have to do wholesale violence and just  
4 take them all out and wait. But I thought we would put the  
5 burden on the objectors to say okay, I'm going to go back  
6 through all my exhibits that got exhibit -- that got admitted  
7 and -- and let the Court know actually these five or these  
8 seven were ones that we had more limited aims for.

9 THE COURT: Does the city have any objection to  
10 this?

11 MR. SHUMAKER: Greg Shumaker, Jones, Day for the  
12 city. Yes, Your Honor, we do. And -- and principally because  
13 we've been over this, you know -- we've been over this and  
14 we've been very clear what the process was going to be from  
15 the beginning.

16 And I went back and I looked and at the status conference  
17 on -- on August 6<sup>th</sup>, Your Honor, Mr. Hackney and I had the  
18 following exchange which was and this is Mr. Hackney speaking.

19 And that one, referring to earlier talking about the  
20 exhibit list. Mr. Shumaker and I suggested to each other that  
21 the parties would put into their exhibit lists objections with  
22 respect to whether they had a problem with relevance, or  
23 prejudice, or hearsay in advance. And then for one of the  
24 middle documents that you really just cannot see objecting to,  
25 if there isn't any objection, they would all go into evidence

1 at the start of the trial.

2 It's my understanding, this is how you handled this in  
3 connection with eligibility. We just wanted to confirm it.  
4 Mr. Shumaker can let you know if I didn't say that in the  
5 right way.

6 Your Honor, sir. That was to me. And I said that's  
7 correct, Your Honor, we were thinking that with regard to the  
8 documents and the exhibits that how we approached them in  
9 eligibility went well and we made that a part of the joint  
10 pre-trial order.

11 You said, right. And I went on. We listed all the  
12 exhibits, we listed the authentication objections, any of the  
13 other -- other relevance whatnot, and then at the beginning of  
14 trial Your Honor recalls you admitted anything that was not  
15 objected to. The Court, right. And then we fought and then I  
16 said -- and then we fought over the others as they came up.

17 Which is why in the joint pre-trial order which Your  
18 Honor has -- has entered, it says at Paragraph 7(a)(3) under  
19 exhibits, I quote, "the parties have exchanged draft exhibit  
20 lists and objections and have worked together in an effort to  
21 admit documents into the record as to which there is no  
22 evidentiary dispute. The parties understand and agree that  
23 proposed exhibits as to which there are no objections  
24 indicated in this order, shall be admitted into evidence for  
25 all purposes and all parties".

1        It was very clear what the procedure was going to be.  
2 And then to hear Syncora want to revisit this particularly  
3 when one of their favorite lines is the gaping holes in  
4 evidence of the city's case to me suggests that this is just a  
5 motion for reconsideration. We've done this and -- and we  
6 should move forward.

7            MR. HACKNEY: Can I respond briefly? I don't  
8 dispute what Mr. Shumaker said. I would call the Court's  
9 attention to even our colloquy when I -- I discussed the  
10 notion of run of the mill documents being ones that are not  
11 700 page disclosure statements that are intended to come in  
12 for all purposes.

13          And I would say even with respect to the pre-trial order  
14 it is not -- it's not precisely clear that -- that they will  
15 all come in during the city's case, or that they'll come in  
16 when a party proffers it.

17          But I'm really not here to say I was right and -- and now  
18 I'm asking you to reconsider. I'm really saying that this is  
19 at a level of complexity that I just had not fully foreseen.  
20 And I'm asking for relief with respect to just a limited  
21 category of documents like the prior drafts of the disclosure  
22 statement.

23          I know I haven't teed it up for you specifically now, but  
24 I -- I guess we would like -- we'd like at least to be allowed  
25 to -- to identify these to you and say look, this is -- there  
13-53846-tjt Doc 7472 Filed 09/14/14 Entered 09/14/14 10:46:04 Page 81 of 279

1 are levels of complexity and things that happen in Court that  
2 -- one when you look at it and say, okay, Syncora objected to  
3 the city's disclosure statement when the city listed on its  
4 exhibit list but when it listed three -- three other drafts of  
5 it, that shows that Syncora meant for those drafts to come  
6 into evidence even though there was substantial overlap with  
7 the one it objected to. It's just -- it's a level of  
8 complexity I -- I did not anticipate, did not fully understand  
9 at the time these orders were negotiated.

10       I don't think that it's inappropriate to say can we  
11 identify the exhibits that we were not sponsoring into the  
12 record for all matters contained therein. And it is not  
13 uncommon for exhibits not to come in until a party sponsors  
14 their motion into evidence.

15       I acknowledge that the pre-trial order doesn't say that  
16 that's what's going to happen, but I would just say it wasn't  
17 entirely clear until I saw it all happen and thought about it.

18           THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I'll take this  
19 under advisement and we'll be in recess until 10:45, please,  
20 and I'll give you my decision then.

21           MR. HACKNEY: Thank you.

22           THE CLERK: All rise. Court is in recess.

23           (Court in Recess at 10:32 a.m.; Resume at 10:46 a.m.)

24           THE CLERK: All rise. Court is in session. Please  
25 be seated.  
13-53846-tjt Doc 7472 Filed 09/14/14 Entered 09/14/14 10:46:04 Page 82 of 279

1           THE COURT: The record -- the record would -- would  
2 not support any finding that either its orders or the parties'  
3 agreement lacked clarity regarding the fact that all documents  
4 as to which there were no objections would be admitted into  
5 trial at the final pre-trial conference.

6           Indeed, I don't understand Syncora to be arguing  
7 otherwise. Rather it appears that Syncora's counsel didn't  
8 understand the full implications of that and how the case  
9 would actually play out.

10          In deciding whether there is cause to grant the relief  
11 that Syncora seeks here, however, the Court feels compelled to  
12 consider not only circumstances that led to the mistake, but  
13 the extent to which the relief requested would prejudice the  
14 city here. That's an issue that city's counsel did not really  
15 address at all.

16          So in -- in the circumstances I think we have to address  
17 Syncora's request on a -- or an exhibit by exhibit basis to  
18 see the extent to which the modification that Syncora seeks in  
19 terms of what should be admitted, may have prejudiced the city  
20 in its preparation for its case.

21          So let's see, today is Monday. By the close of business  
22 on Wednesday, Mr. Hackney, please -- or this would really  
23 apply to everyone, please provide to the city a list of the  
24 exhibits as to which reconsideration is sought.

1 then we'll allow the city an opportunity to review its  
2 position regarding those documents and then we'll discuss it  
3 further.

4 MR. HACKNEY: I appreciate it and we will do that.

5 THE COURT: All right.

6 MR. HERTZBERG: Your Honor, Robert Hertzberg,  
7 Pepper, Hamilton. The city calls Beth Niblock to the stand.

8 THE COURT: All right. Before you sit down, please  
9 raise your right hand.

10 (WITNESS BETH NIBLOCK WAS SWORN)

11 THE COURT: Please sit down. Before -- before you  
12 begin, let me advise you that I do need to take our lunch  
13 break really right at noon because we have -- we have a  
14 Judge's meeting today.

15 MR. HERTZBERG: Okay. What I'll try and do then,  
16 Your Honor, is right around noon, I'll try and see if there's  
17 a good break point and let the Court know.

18 THE COURT: Thank you.

19 DIRECT EXAMINATION

20 BY MR. HERTZBERG:

21 Q Good morning.

22 A Good morning.

23 Q Could you please state your name?

24 A Beth Niblock.

25 Q And what city do you live in?

1 A The City of Detroit.

2 Q And where are you employed currently?

3 A The City of Detroit.

4 Q In what position?

5 A The Chief Information Officer.

6 Q And when did you start your employment with the City of  
7 Detroit?

8 A February of 2014.

9 Q I want to spend a minute and go through your educational  
10 background with you. Where did you go to college?

11 A Furman University.

12 Q And when did you go to college?

13 A 1982.

14 Q Did you receive a degree from Furman University?

15 A I did. I had got a Bachelor of Arts in political science  
16 with supporting courses in economics and psychology.

17 Q I want to spend some time and detail going through your  
18 work experience. So let's go all the way back to the point  
19 where you graduated college. What was your first job out of  
20 college?

21 A I was a social worker.

22 Q And where did you work at?

23 A YMCA Safe Place.

24 Q And after that what was your next job?

1      Episcopal Camping Conference Center.

2    Q      When was that?

3    A      1983.

4    Q      And what were your job responsibilities there?

5    A      I ran the camp and conference center. It was a retreat  
6      center for the diocese episcopal diocese of Kentucky.

7    Q      And what was your next job after that?

8    A      My next job after that I worked for the Louisville Area  
9      Chamber of Commerce as a Program Assistant and then an  
10     Assistant Manager.

11    Q      Was that from 1983 to 1987 approximately?

12    A      It was.

13    Q      And what were your job responsibilities there?

14    A      At the chamber we did economic development and  
15     legislative research and government affairs.

16    Q      And where did you go after that?

17    A      I went to the University of Louisville Bureau of Economic  
18     Research.

19    Q      And was that from approximately 1987 to 1991?

20    A      It was.

21    Q      And what were your -- what position did you hold there?

22    A      I was a Program Manager and then the Assistant Director.

23    Q      Did you in that position have the opportunity to use  
24     information technology?

1 did a lot of data analysis and visualization.

2 Q When I say information technology, if I referred to it as  
3 IT, do you understand what I'm saying?

4 A I -- I will.

5 Q Okay. Can you give a little more detail of exactly what  
6 you were doing at that job?

7 A So the Bureau of Economic Research did economic modeling,  
8 economic research, economic impact studies.

9 Q What are some of the examples of how you used IT at that  
10 job?

11 A So we had -- we used it for data analysis. We had local  
12 area networks that we had set up which networked computers  
13 together. We used them in our every -- everyday work.

14 Q And you were the Program Director there?

15 A I was.

16 Q Okay. After the school business, where were you next  
17 employed?

18 A The Kentuckiana Education and Workforce Institute.

19 Q And was that from approximately 1991 to 1995?

20 A It is and was.

21 Q And what is the Kentuckiana Education and Workforce  
22 Institute?

23 A Commonly known as KEWI. It was the work force institute  
24 that really tried to understand the needs of the up and coming  
25 work force.

1       For example, we looked at how different -- we had a  
2 industry advisory group that would talk about their leads in  
3 the work force. So for example in -- in the medical arena we  
4 would have the industry advisory group saying we need nurses  
5 or nursing assistants who are very savvy in technology because  
6 technology is becoming a bigger part of health care.

7       We would then work with a consortium of educational  
8 providers both in the high school and with the colleges to  
9 help them understand the needs of industry to try to -- to  
10 bridge that gap so that you are creating a work force that has  
11 the skills that the businesses needed.

12 Q      And what position did you hold?

13 A      I was the Director of Information Technology Research and  
14 Publications.

15 Q      What other responsibilities did you have as the IT  
16 Director at the institute?

17 A      I set up their local area network, commonly referred to  
18 as a LAN. And put in their computer systems. And --

19 Q      Did you evaluate their existing and potential new  
20 technology?

21 A      So as -- as we did a lot of our research we looked at  
22 different technology that was on the market and how it might  
23 impact not only what we used within the work force institute,  
24 but what would be taught in schools.

1 A Yes.

2 Q Explain in more detail what a LAN system is.

3 A A LAN is basically the communication that allows a group  
4 of typically PC's but computers to talk to one another.

5 Q Was part of your role in that -- in that job to analyze  
6 the costs of IT systems?

7 A Yes. So like any business when we were looking to put in  
8 our IT systems, part of that was how much would it cost. What  
9 do we need it to do. How much would it cost. And what was  
10 really the total cost of ownership of the lifetime of the  
11 system.

12 Q After you left KEWI --

13 A Yes.

14 Q Where did you go next?

15 A I went to Greater Louisville, Inc.

16 Q And what is Greater Louisville, Inc.?

17 A It's the re-branded Chamber of Commerce.

18 Q So it's different -- it's not any different from where  
19 you worked before when you said you worked at the Chamber of  
20 Commerce?

21 A It is not.

22 Q Same place?

23 A Right.

24 Q And how long were you there this time?

25 A Five years  
13-53846-tjt Doc 7472 Filed 09/14/14 Entered 09/14/14 10:46:04 Page 89 of 279

1 Q Was that approximately 1995 to 2000?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And what was the position you held this time?

4 A I was the Director of Technology and then I became the  
5 Vice President of Finance and Administration.

6 Q So you were blending your skills between IT and finance?

7 A Correct.

8 Q Okay. What were your job responsibilities?

9 A It was all things operational. So HR -- IT, finance, HR,  
10 operations, and research.

11 Q When you say HR you mean human resources?

12 A I do.

13 Q Okay. I assume you use a specific methodology or steps  
14 that you followed to develop and implement the chamber's  
15 technology vision?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Can you explain what you did?

18 A Sure. Typically what you would do is you would meet with  
19 the business unit or you would assess the needs of the  
20 business. So what -- what is it that we need to do.

21 Then you would go through and see for example, did you  
22 have any existing software or systems that you already have in  
23 place. If you do, are you just not using those systems so  
24 that you could fill the gap with a current system, or do you  
25 need to put a new system in because the current system that

1 you have simply can't do what you need it to do.

2       If it's the latter of the two where you need to put a new  
3 system in place, then you would go -- you'd put together  
4 what's called the use case requirements of all the -- the  
5 things that the system needs to be able to do, then you  
6 typically would put it out.

7       You would research best practices and you would put out a  
8 request for proposal commonly known as an RFP to get people to  
9 respond to the system that you're trying to put in. You  
10 evaluate the systems and some of the evaluation would be is --  
11 is it real or is it what we call vapor wares where it doesn't  
12 really work, but can you prove that it's going to do these  
13 things that we set out in a proposal to do.

14       And then you would also look at the financial aspects of  
15 the system. So you -- you would typically divide your use  
16 requirements into -- these are the things that it absolutely  
17 has to be able to do. And then there are things that it would  
18 be great if it could do, but you have a finite amount of  
19 money.

20       And so you would look at the system that you could put in  
21 for the -- for the dollars that you have available. And then  
22 you have to look at what we call the total cost of ownership  
23 of how much that system is going to cost over time.

24 Q       Would this require any negotiations with vendors?

25 A       Oh, absolutely. So typically what would happen is you  
13-53846-tjt Doc 7472 Filed 09/14/14 Entered 09/14/14 10:46:04 Page 91 of 279

1 would have two competing vendors and -- or you typically  
2 narrow it down to two vendors and at that point in time you  
3 would negotiation them -- with them for their best and final  
4 offer of if they were of equal merit.

5 Q Is this similar to any -- the process you would take when  
6 you would implement any IT system?

7 A It is.

8 Q What was your next job after that?

9 A I went from the Greater Louisville, Inc. to Direct Tech  
10 Corporation.

11 Q And was that from 2000 to 2002?

12 A It was.

13 Q And what is Direct Tech Corporation?

14 A It was a small inked 500 fast entrepreneurial company in  
15 Louisville that was technology related.

16 Q And what was your position there?

17 A I was the VP of Administration and then I became the  
18 Chief Operating Officer.

19 Q And what were your job responsibilities?

20 A Pretty much IT, HR, finance, and the web and marketing.

21 Q Can you give a couple of examples of projects you worked  
22 on when you were at Direct Tech?

23 A Sure. So when I was there it was a -- it was a kind of a  
24 entrepreneurial business that was growing pretty rapidly. And

1 put in a new what's called customer relationship management  
2 system, commonly known by the acronym of CRM. And we put in a  
3 new phone system.

4 Q In approaching these projects did you use the same  
5 methodology we just talked about at Greater Louisville, Inc.?

6 A I did.

7 Q Was another one of your responsibilities to align the  
8 business and the IT strategies?

9 A It -- it was.

10 Q What does it mean to do that?

11 A So when you're talking about aligning the business and  
12 the IT strategy it's very important that the -- that you work  
13 with the business to understand what it is that they're trying  
14 to accomplish and then you put the IT systems in that supports  
15 that.

16 So for example if you -- if the business wants to become  
17 a very mobile business and they don't want to have work force  
18 in an office, you have to make sure that the IT strategy that  
19 you're implementing actually supports mobility and mobile  
20 workers or else you're then out of alignment with what the  
21 business is trying to accomplish.

22 Q Were you responsible for assessing the costs of the IT  
23 projects and implementing them?

24 A Yes.

1 cost of the IT project there at Direct Tech?

2 A So we -- because it was a product company, we were able  
3 to -- again you start with what is it that you're trying to --  
4 to do with the project. You go out, then you meet with  
5 vendors, and you would try to negotiate the best and final  
6 offer for what you were trying to do.

7 Sometimes depending on the staff that you would have  
8 available you might negotiate for implementation services of  
9 the -- the product that you're trying to install. You look at  
10 the total cost of ownership over the life of the system. And  
11 basically how much you could put at that point in time, trying  
12 to look at how much the hardware and -- and the overall costs  
13 would -- would cost the company.

14 Q Tell me what you mean by implementation services.

15 A So in several of my positions you -- you don't have the  
16 staff who can implement the system, so you have to bring on  
17 profession -- other additional staff to help implement the  
18 system. So you would bring in somebody who is say a  
19 specialist in a particular data base or a specialist in  
20 telephony or whatever it was that you need. So you would  
21 purchase professional services like you would in any other  
22 field to help implement that.

23 Q You're going to have to help me. What's telephony?

24 A It's the telephones and data.

1       those of us who are IT deficient on occasions.

2       A      Okay.

3       Q      Where did you go next after that?

4       A      After Direct Tech, I went to the Louisville Medical  
5       Center Development Corporation.

6       Q      And was that from about 2002 through 2003?

7       A      It was.

8       Q      And what was your position there?

9       A      Project coordinator.

10      Q      And what were your job responsibilities?

11      A      So the Louisville Medical Center Development Corporation  
12     was a corporation that was funded by the area hospitals and  
13     the universities to do technology transfer.

14           So for example if a faculty member had some breakthrough  
15     research and then they wanted to take that and spin it out as  
16     a company, they could go to the medical center which we call  
17     LMCDC and it had -- we built buildings. We had three  
18     buildings.

19           I came on to help build one of the buildings that had lab  
20     space, computer space, a data center, and they could go there  
21     to do whatever it was. They recruited a lot of faculty to do  
22     say, you know, sickle cell anemia research and things like  
23     that.

24      Q      When you say you built a data center you were the project  
25     consultant on it. What areas of IT did you cover in that

1 project?

2 A Really we covered the data center, data center  
3 redundancy, connectivity. So whether it was internet  
4 connectivity or the phone systems that we put through there.  
5 And also really the client server environment so that would be  
6 the environment where you have servers in the data center that  
7 they could -- and the researchers would have on their desk and  
8 they could store their data on -- in the data center where it  
9 was backed up and redundant.

10 Q Without going into it in detail, did you follow the same  
11 methodology we discussed at the prior two jobs that you were  
12 at?

13 A I did.

14 Q And that's how you did your assessment of the needs and  
15 the costs?

16 A Correct.

17 Q And were you required to oversee the budgets on this  
18 project?

19 A I was.

20 Q Where did you go after that?

21 A I went to the City of Louisville.

22 Q And was that from approximately 2003 to 2014 before you  
23 took the job with the City of Detroit?

24 A It was. I came to the City of Louisville as the -- the  
25 city and the county merged. And I came --

1 Q When did they merge?

2 A In two thousand -- well, the voters voted on it in 2002,  
3 so I think the actual official kick off of the newly merged  
4 entity was January 3<sup>rd</sup> of 2003.

5 Q And that's just when you started there?

6 A I started a little after that in February.

7 Q And what was your position?

8 A I was the Chief Information Officer.

9 Q And what did your job entail?

10 A My job entailed IT support for everything that the city  
11 did except for the police department.

12 Q And you say everything the city did. Give me some  
13 examples if you would, please.

14 A Sure. So we put in a new financial management system.  
15 So you -- you were merger with the city and the county. The  
16 city had one set of systems, the county had a completely  
17 different set of systems.

18 We had to go through and figure out how we were going to  
19 -- I mean it's like any other merger, right? You're going to  
20 go through and you need something that's working at the same  
21 time.

22 So we put in a new financial system. We put in -- we  
23 upgraded the human resources information system. We put in  
24 with the metropolitan sewer district a work order management  
25 system. And a, what's called a 311 system which is where  
13-53846-tjt Doc 7472 Filed 09/14/14 Entered 09/14/14 10:46:04 Page 97 of 279

1 citizens can call the number 311 and it goes to a centralized  
2 service area where they can talk about hey, there's a pothole  
3 here, there's tall weeds and grass, or an abandoned vehicle.

4 Q When you say that you merged the financial systems, give  
5 me a little more detail on that if you would, please.

6 A Sure. So the --

7 Q What it entails for example.

8 A The City of Louisville was on one financial system, and  
9 the county was on a different financial system. And so what  
10 we did was there -- there had been committees of IT people who  
11 were in the business community who helped us go through  
12 because that was their expertise.

13 So we had companies like Humana and Aegon and folks from  
14 the corporate world who we had an IT steering committee and  
15 what we were able to do is help decide on what key systems we  
16 were going to put in.

17 So the city was on one financial system, the county was  
18 on another financial system. It was determined that neither  
19 system could support the financial needs of the newly merged  
20 government, so we implemented. We went out to bid and they  
21 chose Oracle financials and we implemented in between 18 and  
22 24 months, the new financial management system for the newly  
23 merged entity.

24 Q What is people soft human resources?

1 resources infra system is basically the -- the HR systems that  
2 you use.

3 So it does things like time and attendance, some of the  
4 labor calculations for bargaining unit labor contracts. It  
5 does -- we have -- we had a module that was grievance and  
6 discipline. We had a module that did training so you could  
7 keep track of training.

8 It was also the core master record for anybody who was  
9 employed by at that time -- the Louisville Metro Government  
10 which was the merged entity.

11 Q Did you implement that system?

12 A It was -- people soft was already being used by the city,  
13 but when we brought -- we brought the county people on and  
14 then we upgraded it either two or possibly three times while I  
15 was there.

16 Q What is Hanson Customer Service Management System?

17 A So Hanson is really a -- it's a -- and it's a huge  
18 system. It has many components. We used it in conjunction  
19 with our sewer district.

20 It is a work order management system. It also handled  
21 our 311 customer call in center. It also handled planning and  
22 development review as well as the division that we called  
23 inspections, permits, and licensing. So it's the people who  
24 go out and if you're putting in a new water heater or whatever  
25 they -- you have to pull permit and you -- they go out and

1 inspect and all that's on line.

2 Q And did you implement that system when you took on the  
3 new responsibilities?

4 A Along with the sewer district because we shared the  
5 system.

6 Q You -- you were talking about building permits on line  
7 and sewer permits.

8 A Uh-huh.

9 Q Was this something new that was implemented?

10 A So, yes. We had the basic system and then when we  
11 upgraded the system the goal was for people -- citizens or  
12 businesses to do business with the government in whichever way  
13 was more convenient for them.

14 So if you were somebody who wanted to come in and stand  
15 in line and get your permit, you could come in and stand in  
16 line and get your permit. But if you were the person who  
17 wanted to be able to pull your permit on line then you could  
18 do that.

19 Q Did you implement IT for the public works system?

20 A Yes. So public works was also a part of all that. So  
21 when -- so if a call came in, we could -- for a pothole or  
22 whatever, it would be referred over to the public works  
23 department to -- to fix.

24 Also within the -- the -- some of the modules that we had

1 me, all the street signs and -- and stop lights because you  
2 needed to know exactly where they were so that if somebody  
3 called in and said hey, there is a street sign down you know  
4 what it was and how it was there and where it was.

5 So they did all that. We also had a -- when I -- when I  
6 was in the process of leaving, they had a -- they were putting  
7 in a really awesome module that basically takes road wear data  
8 and it takes how the wear that's on the road so the condition  
9 of the road and then it feeds it into a module that allows  
10 them to then schedule paving in a way that made sense.

11 Q You've said twice now they were putting it in. You mean  
12 -- but were you overseeing it as the Chief Information  
13 Officer?

14 A Yes. And I refer to they because I think it never works  
15 the -- the department that's going to be using the technology  
16 has to -- they have to own that. It can't be IT ramming  
17 something down somebody throat, so it's very much when we  
18 talked earlier about what's the methodology and I keep saying  
19 we have to go and start with the -- the department and help  
20 them understand what they're trying to accomplish. It is in  
21 partnership though.

22 Q You said when you started the job as CIO of the merged  
23 county and city.

24 A Uh-huh.

1 merged entity except for police, is that correct?

2 A That is correct.

3 Q When you left in 2014 was police -- had police been added  
4 in?

5 A They had been.

6 Q And did you oversee that?

7 A I did.

8 Q Are you familiar with something called information  
9 technology infrastructure library?

10 A I am.

11 Q And what do you call that?

12 A I call it ITIL or everybody calls it ITIL.

13 Q Okay. And what is ITIL?

14 A ITIL is a great framework. It's a global standard in  
15 delivering information technology. There is -- they're on  
16 ITIL version V-3. And it is -- basically sets up a framework  
17 of how to do service delivery, financial management, change  
18 management.

19 It's -- and it covers all ways that you deliver  
20 information technology to your customers, whether they're  
21 internal or external. And it -- it is a global standard for  
22 doing business. And it -- it came out of the United Kingdom.

23 Q Did you implement ITIL at the City of Louisville?

24 A I did.

1 work toward aligning IT in businesses?

2 A So -- so there -- so really I -- I have two -- two ways  
3 of thinking about. There's basic fundamental infrastructure  
4 that has to be there. And that's not really something that  
5 you do a lot of consultation with your -- your customers on.

6 But because that basic fundamental infrastructure has to  
7 be there. But when it comes to the departments and what the  
8 departments are trying to achieve, you sit down and you talk  
9 with them and you figure out what their strategic plan is,  
10 where they hope to be with the services that they're  
11 delivering in three to five years and then you work with them  
12 to have the technology that enables them to do that.

13 Q What type of departments are you referring to in  
14 Louisville?

15 A So we had departments from, you know, what I would call  
16 the core back office departments. Like finance, human  
17 resources, and then you have forward facing departments and  
18 that could be anything from the Department of Corrections, the  
19 police department, the fire department, emergency medical  
20 services.

21 You have the Health Department. You have Department of  
22 Public Works. You have inspections, permits, and licensing.  
23 You have planning and design, criminal justice commission, you  
24 know, the women's rights commission, commission on the  
25 elderly. I think that kind of --

1 Q What is your tax dollars at work?

2 A Your tax dollars at work is basically a transparency  
3 effort by the government to show citizens how we're spending  
4 your money.

5 Q Did you implement that in Louisville when you were CIO?

6 A I did.

7 Q What is open data?

8 A Open data is all about transparency. It's about putting  
9 information that the government has into the public realm. So  
10 for example in Louisville we published everybody's salary who  
11 worked for the government.

12 So not only did we publish what you were budgeted to  
13 make, we published where you were year to date and we  
14 published how much you had made in overtime and how much you  
15 had made in any special pass throughs that they have, mostly  
16 for public protection because they get training dollars and  
17 such.

18 Q You know what a Smart phone is?

19 A I do, unfortunately.

20 Q Okay. When you were in -- as the CIO of Louisville, did  
21 you develop any apps for Smart phones?

22 A We did, indeed. So we had two main apps that we  
23 developed. One was called Louisville mobile. And it had a  
24 lot of different functionality on it. One was you could see

1 see the calendar of upcoming events with the City of  
2 Louisville.

3 We put our restaurant inspections data on line so if you  
4 wanted to see restaurants around you, if you allowed the app  
5 to have access to your location information, it would then  
6 geospatially locate you and tell you the restaurants around  
7 there and then you could pull up their last three inspections.

8 We also had a really cool park finder so that you could  
9 say I want a park that had an archery range that's close to me  
10 and it would come back and tell you what was available and  
11 then you could hit get directions and you could either take  
12 public transit or it would tell you how to either walk or  
13 drive there.

14 And we also then had an -- as part of that app, a button  
15 that you could watch what we called -- the TV station reported  
16 to me in Louisville. It was called Metro TV. And you could  
17 watch live press conferences on that app.

18 The second app that we developed was in conjunction with  
19 that 311 concept which is the one call for citizens. It was  
20 an app where if you were walking or driving -- well, hopefully  
21 not driving, but walking and you could take a picture of say a  
22 downed stopped sign, or tall weeds and grass, or whatever the  
23 issue was. It would geo -- again if you allowed it location  
24 access, it would geospatially locate it. You could put that

1 your email address then it would email you back and let you  
2 know the status of what was going on with that issue.

3 Q Did you oversee the creation and the implementation of  
4 these apps as the Chief Information Officer?

5 A I did.

6 Q Let's talk about live streaming of the Mayor's news  
7 conferences. Did you implement anything in that regard?

8 A Yes. We weren't live streaming and we were -- we -- when  
9 I inherited the TV station -- I ended up having five  
10 additional departments rolled into me over -- over time.

11 And so the TV station was the last one. And we felt that  
12 it was important to be able to live stream events and press  
13 conferences, or along with the Mayor and the council. And so  
14 we implemented that.

15 So wherever we were we could live stream. So for example  
16 when we had terrible wind storms and a lot of power was  
17 knocked out, we could actually broadcast from a specific  
18 location and people could not only get it on the TV, but they  
19 could get it -- if they didn't have it they could watch it on  
20 our --

21 Q When you say you had five departments rolled in. Which  
22 five departments were rolled in under your control?

23 A So it was all of the departments but I got additional  
24 responsibility. The -- at first the phone system was within  
25 facilities so they moved phones in there.

1        And then I got the -- the -- the archives department  
2 which was fascinating because that's the -- all the historical  
3 preservation. So we had to do the records retention,  
4 historical artifacts, permanent things that had to be held  
5 permanently which in Kentucky was at least 75 years. So we  
6 had to -- we did that.

7        I got the TV station rolled into me. The police IT  
8 group. And then we had a -- a what was called the sinking  
9 fund which is basically tax collection. And their IT, just  
10 their IT portion I -- I got -- I managed those folks as well.

11 Q       Did you do anything when you were with the City of  
12 Louisville in regard to a system for issuing of permits?

13 A       Yes. So when we talked about the Hanson system in  
14 general, that was how we actually issued permits. So a  
15 citizen could go on line and take out, or contractors mostly,  
16 would go on line and take out permits for the work that they  
17 needed to do.

18 Q       And what type of things would they need permits for?

19 A       Gosh, anything -- so anything electrical, plumbing,  
20 heating, air conditioning, cooling, having a -- those -- those  
21 types of things.

22 Q       Did your team have anything to do with enabling citizens  
23 to access services on line?

24 A       Yes. So the web site was an area that reported to me in  
25 Louisville and we worked very hard on getting as much  
13-53846-tjt Doc 7472 Filed 09/14/14 Entered 09/14/14 10:46:04 Page 107 of 279

1 information accessible to citizens on line.

2 So we did things like you could pay your parking ticket  
3 on line. You could pay your pet licensing on line. You could  
4 reserve special events permits on line. All -- all those  
5 kinds of things.

6 Q Could you pay your taxes on line?

7 A Yes, you -- you could pay your taxes on line.

8 Q What about trash pick up, accident reports, stuff like  
9 that?

10 A Yes. I mean there's -- there's so many of them. Trash  
11 -- trash -- missed trash. To get reminders of -- trash was a  
12 big deal. You could get reminders of when you needed to set  
13 your trash out so you could sign up for a service and it would  
14 text you and say hey, junk pickup is next week, start setting  
15 everything out Sunday. So those kinds of things.

16 Q When you talk -- when you've been talking about the City  
17 of Louisville and all the things that you implemented as the  
18 Chief Information Officer, did you follow the same methodology  
19 that you described previously at your other three positions, I  
20 believe?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Did you have any responsibility as CIO on budgets for  
23 information technology?

24 A Yes.

1 A Every year we developed the -- both the operating and the  
2 capital budgets for technology. We would work with  
3 departments. Again we were partnered with them to see if they  
4 were going to need new systems and if they were we would help  
5 them put together a budget for putting together capital  
6 requests for the system.

7 Q By the way what's the size population wise of the merged  
8 city and county entity that you were the Chief Information  
9 Officer of?

10 A About --

11 Q Approximately.

12 A About 680,000.

13 Q A little bit -- about the size of the City of Detroit?

14 A I think it's a little bit smaller than the City of  
Detroit.

16 Q Okay.

17 A But close.

18 Q How many people worked for you in your department?

19 A Seventy-five.

20 Q You serviced the employees of the City of Louisville, the  
21 ones that worked for the city?

22 A Uh-huh.

23 Q How many were there that you serviced with the IT  
24 department?

1 Q What's Detroit tech team?

2 A Detroit tech team was a team of municipal CIO's that were  
3 asked by the White House, specifically the office of science,  
4 technology, and policy to come to Detroit to look at the  
5 technology systems that the City of Detroit had.

6 Q And were you a member of that team?

7 A I was.

8 Q And when did you start work on that project?

9 A I think we formulated the team, or folks got asked and  
10 that was in the October time range. I know that we came to  
11 Detroit --

12 Q October of 2013?

13 A Sorry, 2013, yes. We came to Detroit right after the  
14 mayoral election.

15 Q How did you become a member that team?

16 A I was asked by Brian Thorpe -- Thorpe for the office of  
17 science, technology, and policy to be a member of that team.

18 Q And why were you asked if you know?

19 A Because of my competence in municipal IT and merging the  
20 city and the county together.

21 Q Who else was on the Detroit tech team?

22 A Gail Roper who is the CIO of Charlotte, Raleigh. John --  
23 Nigel Jacob from Boston. Allen Square from New Orleans. And  
24 John Tolva who was the CIO for the City of Chicago.

1 Explain in detail what your function was and what you did.

2 A So we spent two days in the City of Detroit. We came in  
3 and we met with the IT team in Detroit. We met with different  
4 departments of the City of Detroit.

5 We also met with foundations and we also met with what we  
6 -- I would call civic entrepreneurs, civic tech people. So  
7 those were people who are in the Detroit community who do  
8 technology who had an interest and had been helping the City  
9 of Detroit with things like the Motor City mapping project and  
10 things of that nature.

11 Q Did you put together a series of recommendations?

12 A We did.

13 Q Were they formalized into a report?

14 A They were.

15 Q And did you author any of the sections of the report?

16 A We teamed up and I co-authored two sections.

17 Q Do you remember which sections you co-authored?

18 A 311 and IT infrastructure.

19 Q When was the report finalized?

20 A March or April of this year.

21 Q 2014?

22 A 2014.

23 Q And what happened with the report? Was it given to  
24 anyone?

1 Q What were some of the recommendations in the report that  
2 was given to Mayor Duggan?

3 A Hire a CIO, check.

4 Q Did they accomplish it?

5 A They did quite surprisingly. Hire a CIO, do civic tech  
6 through really a director of civic tech engagement. Look and  
7 assess the IT infrastructure and architecture. Do 311. On  
8 line permitting.

9 And then we had to leverage the geographic information  
10 systems which is mapping within the city because there's a lot  
11 of that going on and also a lot of that going on in the  
12 community, and then really doing on line permitting.

13 Q So fast forward a couple months after that, or just  
14 before -- while you were involved in that project. How did  
15 you -- when were you first contacted by the City of Detroit to  
16 come in as Chief Information Officer?

17 A In -- in January.

18 Q Did you jump at that opportunity?

19 A No. I said no twice.

20 Q So how did you end up here? What happened?

21 A Well, if you've ever met Mayor Duggan, he's quite the  
22 little force of nature. And he wouldn't take no for an  
23 answer. So we got on the phone and talked for a really long  
24 time and he asked me what I loved about Louisville and what I

1 Detroit.

2 And at one point he asked me -- well, he said, I've never  
3 been to Louisville. What restaurant would you recommend? I  
4 told him my favorite restaurant, and he said can you hold on  
5 for a minute? I'm so sorry to put you on hold and he came  
6 back on the line and said, I have a dinner reservation there  
7 Friday night at 7:00. I hope you won't make me eat alone.

8 Q So -- so you made him drive all the way from Detroit to  
9 Louisville to meet with you.

10 A I did.

11 Q And what happened at that meeting?

12 A So one of the things that I -- when I was part of the  
13 Detroit tech team that came in, I was so impressed by  
14 everybody's commitment to the city and to turning it around  
15 and to --

16 Q I'm going to interrupt you. When you say everybody.

17 A Oh, so the people that we met with during the -- the  
18 trip.

19 Q Okay. And so that was really impressive. The civic tech  
20 community here is very impressive. And so we -- my partner  
21 and I sat with Mayor Duggan and talked to him about what are  
22 you going to do about blight? What do you -- what is your  
23 expectation? What kind of role do you expect technology to  
24 play in that?

1 technology improvements at the Detroit Medical Center. And  
2 basically, you know, said my expectation is that all the  
3 technology will work and it will enable our people to do their  
4 jobs.

5 Q It sounds like you were interviewing him and not him  
6 interviewing you, is that fair?

7 A It was a nice position to -- to be in because I had no  
8 expectation of -- of coming to Detroit.

9 Q So what convinced you finally to come?

10 A He did.

11 Q Okay. And you -- you took the position I think you said  
12 in February of 2014 as Chief Information Officer for the City  
13 of Detroit?

14 A I did.

15 Q And what department do you oversee?

16 A I oversee the information technology services department,  
17 commonly referred to because we have to have acronyms, ITS.

18 Q And what does ITS do exactly?

19 A ITS supports all of the -- the technology for all of the  
20 departments that report to Mayor Duggan.

21 Q And what are your job responsibilities as the CIO of the  
22 City of Detroit?

23 A Really to -- to look at the technology, to understand  
24 what our shortcomings are, to put together the budget, and to  
25 oversee all the IT that's in the city except for police and

1 that report to the emergency manager.

2 Q What are the steps you needed to take, or are taking to  
3 get the IT system to work in the city?

4 A Well, the biggest one is I use it. So --

5 Q What is that?

6 A I use the actual systems that we're trying to use.

7 Q Okay.

8 A So I have a lot of personal experience of trying to  
9 actually use the system, so emails that don't get delivered,  
10 different systems that are -- we don't have standard versions  
11 of -- of Office or Word, so we can't open documents. I can't  
12 connect to my network drive to save things on the network  
13 where we want everybody to save them because then they're  
14 backed up.

15 So there's the personal thing. There is the meeting with  
16 department heads to see how -- what their experience of ITS  
17 and the services that we deliver are and how it is either  
18 helping or hindering what they are trying to in their  
19 departments.

20 I also met with every single -- individually with every  
21 single employee who worked for ITS to learn about what they're  
22 trying to do and the impediments that they -- they have in  
23 there. I've also looked through the plan of adjustment and  
24 met with Conway, MacKenzie and looked at how they put the  
25 projects together and looked at just historical information

1 like where -- who are the vendors that we spend money with,  
2 what are the contracts that the city holds.

3 Q And did you study each of the departments that were  
4 underneath ITS?

5 A I'm -- I'm -- I have looked at them, or talked with their  
6 department heads.

7 Q Have you engaged any outside services to help you?

8 A I have.

9 Q And who is that?

10 A Plante Moran.

11 Q Were they there when you took the job, or did you -- did  
12 they start after you took the job?

13 A They were there at the city when I started. They were  
14 working with the tax assessor the -- the tax department and  
15 the city assessor. And they had done some work also in  
16 finance.

17 Q What is Plante Moran doing for you in the ITS department?

18 A Plante Moran is really looking at three verticals for me.

19 Q What do you mean by verticals?

20 A Okay.

21 Q Okay.

22 A So three areas, three buckets.

23 Q Okay.

24 A So the first area is basically our IT infrastructure.

1 to do. They're doing say network penetration, network speed  
2 testing, very technical tests of the network because there is  
3 an issue with the network.

4 They're also looking at a general area of governance, how  
5 we do business. One of the areas that came up as a real issue  
6 when I was meeting with all my employees, is we do not do a  
7 good job with change in release management.

8 So we'll make changes. We won't talk to one another and  
9 so we'll cause system issues. There is that ITIL, the  
10 information technology infrastructure library actually gives  
11 you a standard process by which to do change and release  
12 management. So they're helping put that place.

13 We also need a governance structure. So we have clearly  
14 a lot of projects in the plan of adjustment. And the  
15 governance committee will be made up of John Hill, the CFO,  
16 myself, and other members of the Mayor's executive cabinet.

17 And they're really going to be the group that looks at  
18 what projects go when and the priority of them. Because you  
19 can't do all of them at one time. And so we were going to  
20 prioritize them.

21 And then the third area --

22 Q Uh-huh.

23 A That they're going to look at is really -- are the ITS  
24 employees and their skills.

1 this point?

2 A They have not.

3 Q Let's talk about John Hill for a second. Do you interact  
4 with John Hill at all?

5 A I do. John Hill and I are -- he -- he likes to use the  
6 -- the term joined at the hip. But we spend a -- an awful lot  
7 of time together because we're so dependent on one another  
8 with the especially the new financial management and HRS, the  
9 human resources system that we're going to put in which is one  
10 of the first big projects that we're doing. So we -- we work  
11 together. I'm in his office an awful lot.

12 Q Have you looked at the city's business processes and how  
13 they're affected by IT?

14 A I have. I have -- so when you're trying to understand  
15 what's going on you go and talk to the people who actually do  
16 the work. And you say why are you doing it the way you're  
17 doing it.

18 An so you -- you look at the underlying business process.  
19 And the thing that John Hill and I are -- and a lot of us are  
20 very committed to, is that there's a lot of broken processes.  
21 And so putting in a new IT system doesn't -- if you don't  
22 change the underlying function process it doesn't make  
23 anything better.

24 I think Bill Gates gave the quote, "if you automate a bad

1 want to do that. We want to change the underlying business  
2 process to be the most efficient that we can.

3 And this isn't a one shot deal. I mean if you look at  
4 continuous process improvement and the things that are brought  
5 up there it's that life cycle of -- of as they say, the Kizan  
6 which is the tip -- was what you do when you look at something  
7 continually.

8 You take something, you make it better and then you --  
9 you look at it to see if it is better. If you can make it  
10 better again, you make it better again. So it becomes this  
11 iterative cycle where you're trying to get it to be the best  
12 most efficient process you can be.

13 Q Have you looked at what other cities are doing in  
14 building their IT systems?

15 A I have.

16 Q How have you done that?

17 A So there are not unlike any profession, associations. So  
18 there's a large city CIO group that meets. There are several  
19 conferences. The center for digital government which is under  
20 the banner of Erepository has a lot of meeting that where we all  
21 meet and talk.

22 There's a group called the Metropolitan Information  
23 Exchange where we CIO -- large jurisdiction CIO's communicate  
24 with one another.

1 part of your role as CIO of the City of Detroit, please?

2 A Sure. So probably my first week on the job, I sat down  
3 with my team and Conway, MacKenzie to go through the projects  
4 that were in the plan of adjustment -- the ITS plan of  
5 adjustment.

6 I looked at the -- the numbers, I looked at how they  
7 tried to -- how they arrived at the numbers that they got. So  
8 I looked at past vendor invoices. I've looked at different  
9 proposals from -- from vendors. Because you can go out and  
10 get a rough order of magnitude for proposals.

11 MR. HERTZBERG: Your Honor, at this point I'd move  
12 to qualify Beth Niblock as an expert in municipal information  
13 technology systems.

14 MR. HACKNEY: No objection.

15 MR. FIELDS: No objection.

16 MR. HERTZBERG: Thank you.

17 THE COURT: All right. You may proceed, sir.

18 MR. HERTZBERG: Your Honor, at this point I'm going  
19 to start to get into the opinions and that will be as we build  
20 to the end of her testimony. It could be a good break point.  
21 If not, if you want me to move forward to 12:00, that's fine  
22 too. Whatever you -- you wish.

23 THE COURT: Let's go ahead.

24 MR. HERTZBERG: Okay.

1 technology is deficient?

2 A Pardon me?

3 Q Is one of your opinions that th city's information  
4 technology is deficient?

5 A Yes. I thought you said sufficient and --

6 Q No, deficient.

7 A I almost fell out of the chair.

8 Q Oh, I'll pronounce my words better for you.

9 A Sure.

10 Q Deficient.

11 A Yes, it is deficient.

12 Q As a result of your assessment and valuations that we've  
13 talked about previously, did you form an opinion about the  
14 state of the city's IT systems and infrastructure?

15 A I did. It is -- it is --

16 Q What is your opinion?

17 A It is fundamentally broken, or beyond fundamentally  
18 broken. In some cases fundamentally broken would be good.

19 Q Is it an old antiquated system at all?

20 A It is. There are pockets of it say the -- the thing that  
21 is -- gives me well, a lot gives me sleepless nights, but one  
22 of the things that gives me sleepless nights is the PERIL  
23 system which is referred to because we need acronyms.

24 PPS which is the payroll -- I don't even know what it

1 payroll system and it's running on a Unisys mainframe that is  
2 at past end of life. Unisys really won't support it anymore.

3 We've had to go out to a third party to get help with  
4 that. It is the -- it is -- that is the system that pays 90%  
5 of the City of Detroit employees.

6 Q How are the desktop systems at the city?

7 A They're -- they're atrocious. You know, depending on  
8 what luck of the draw you have, your desktop can take almost  
9 ten minutes to boot up.

10 Q What would be a normal time?

11 A Well, if you have solid state drives it could be nearly  
12 instantaneous. If you had just regular hard drives it would  
13 take, you know, a minute or -- minute, minute 15.

14 Q How is the email system at the city?

15 A Atrocious. It was -- so when I met with Mayor Duggan he  
16 handed me his phone and said can you just make -- make it so  
17 that I can open an attachment on here.

18 It is very unreliable. It was very unreliable. We just  
19 operated it to -- we were two or three version. We were in  
20 group wise eight and we just upgraded to group wise 2014.

21 So --

22 Q What are you talking about when you --

23 A Well, I'm sorry, it's the -- I'm sorry. I'm in such  
24 heaven. It's the -- it is the email system that we use. It's  
25 called revised. Some people use Microsoft Exchange or

1      Outlook, so --

2    Q     What the software like in the city?

3    A     A lot of it is we are generations or revisions of  
4      versions of software behind. So we are at the point -- so let  
5      me -- let me specifically speak to those lovely desktops.

6           We are -- majority of our PC's are on Windows XP or older  
7      which I'm actually old enough to remember Windows 2000. But  
8      they're old. And Microsoft de-supported them. They came to  
9      the end of life, so they're not actually issuing security  
10     updates to any of that.

11    Q     So I assume you have some security breaches?

12    A     Yeah. That was a joyous fourth day of my job. I walked  
13     in and told the Mayor that we had had a security breach that  
14     involved current and former fire and EMS employees and highly  
15     personal information.

16           So they had access to their name, and Social Security  
17     numbers, and birth dates which is about the worst combination  
18     that you could have. And then --

19    Q     Have you had another security breach?

20    A     Yeah, actually we had an issue this week. Oh, last week,  
21     sorry. And we had an issue where there was an attack on our  
22     web site and they were able to exploit a vulnerability and  
23     pull information back for a small number of people who had  
24     registered for a pre-sale inspections with our building safety

1 Q Do you have any ability to save documents on the network  
2 drive?

3 A Sporadically, not -- not -- not --

4 Q Is that a problem for the city?

5 A Yeah, it's a real problem because you -- you really don't  
6 want people to save information to their local PC, they're  
7 what we would call their C drive. You really want it out on  
8 the network so then the network is backed up. And so if there  
9 is an issue you can pull back the -- the document or whatever  
10 it is they're working on.

11 Q The city is on -- its payroll system sits on a mainframe?

12 A It does.

13 Q Is that normal nowadays?

14 A Most people are not on a mainframe. I mean if you're a  
15 huge corporation you might be running on a mainframe, but most  
16 people, no.

17 Q How does the payroll system work in the City of Detroit?  
18 Is it functioning adequately?

19 A It's very difficult. The -- it is coded in a language  
20 that we are so far behind in that it is very difficult outside  
21 of the two people on my staff to actually update the code.

22 And the code base has been -- excuse me, in place for 20,  
23 25 years. And so it's -- it's very difficult to -- to work  
24 through.

1 go down or any of those problems?

2 A Yes. And this -- this weekend was a nightmare. We --  
3 because of the power situation, we lost our data center  
4 temporarily which took most of our major systems off line. So  
5 the network is not reliable on its own. And then couple that  
6 with the power it was -- it was a long weekend.

7 Q Approximately how many times a week does the network go  
8 down?

9 A So when I first came to try to understand because the --  
10 the -- the behavior of the network was very odd when I was  
11 trying to save documents back and forth. And so I said, how  
12 do we know what the network is doing and we really didn't have  
13 a way to monitor that.

14 So we'd put a particular tool, we happen to use this one  
15 there's several on the market. It's called Solar Winds. And  
16 it allows you say set up critical alerting so that when  
17 certain systems or certain locations has an issue it emails  
18 you or in some cases if your email server happens to be  
19 getting knocked out, we have an external feed to be able to  
20 text us to tell us that -- that there is an issue. And I  
21 probably, not last week, but I -- I typically get between 60  
22 and 80 a week. Last week was way way more.

23 Q How often would you get them when you were the CIO of  
24 Louisville?

1 was fairly rare. I did get a set when we had an underground  
2 sub station explode and that set off a few alarms.

3 Q But besides that did you -- were you getting 80 of them a  
4 week?

5 A Oh, no, no. It was very rare.

6 Q Are there any particular departments that you've seen  
7 that are suffering failures?

8 A Yes. The Detroit Fire Department has -- we get a lot of  
9 Solar Winds alerts about the Detroit Fire Department. And  
10 them -- what we -- flapping up and down.

11 So especially if it rains, rain not good because they've  
12 got a lot of copper into the fire stations and it really  
13 affects their stability. And there's also a lot of power  
14 issues as well. So that's kind of the worst possible words --  
15 world when you have power flicking on and off.

16 On Friday, the Coleman A. Young municipal center had a  
17 brown out. So everything did a hard shot and we're all trying  
18 to -- I mean the lights, everything went out. So it's really  
19 hard on equipment when that happens.

20 Q You said that the desktop systems that the employees use  
21 are antiquated?

22 A Yes.

23 Q What is the normal life span that you would expect out of  
24 a desktop system used by an employee?

1 years. Laptops are a little shorter than that just because  
2 typically they're mobile and people aren't careful.

3 Q When you were the CIO of Louisville, did you have a  
4 standard replacement cycle for computers?

5 A We did. We had a depreciation fund based on four year  
6 replacement.

7 Q And what is the average age of the desktops in the City  
8 of Detroit approximately?

9 A It's -- it's hard to tell, but the best that I can piece  
10 together looking at invoices, it would -- a majority of it  
11 would be five plus years.

12 Q How does this deficient desktop technology and the other  
13 technology affect city employees and their ability to do their  
14 jobs?

15 A It -- it impedes them greatly. So one, you know, they  
16 think they've done work and they've saved it out to the  
17 network and then it hasn't really been saved to the network  
18 and sometimes you can pull it back, sometimes you can't.

19 We're on multiple versions of our Office product suite.  
20 And so I can be in the same department, I can be sitting next  
21 to you and I could email you a spreadsheet or a Word document  
22 and you can't open it because I have a newer version of the  
23 product than you have.

24 Q Can you sync calendars?

1 -- it does calendar and mail as well. And it -- we had all  
2 kinds of problems with Group Wise 8 because it was such an old  
3 version of it that especially accessing it mobily was very  
4 difficult.

5 And it was very hard for me to sit in meetings because  
6 people would say, well, did you get that email? Well, I sent  
7 you that email. Did you get that calendaring thing and nobody  
8 was quite -- had confidence in that the information was going  
9 to be there.

10 Q In your opinion can the city adequately function with the  
11 current state of its IT?

12 A No, it cannot.

13 MR. HERTZBERG: Your Honor, before I move on to  
14 another opinion, we're about seven minutes to, it might be a  
15 good break point.

16 THE COURT: Sure. We'll take a break now and we're  
17 going to take a little longer lunch because of my meeting, so  
18 we'll reconvene please at 1:45.

19 MR. HERTZBERG: Thank you, Your Honor.

20 (WITNESS BETH NIBLOCK WAS TEMPORARILY EXCUSED AT 11:52  
21 A.M.)

22 THE CLERK: All rise. Court is in recess.

23 (Court in Recess at 11:52 a.m.; Resume at 1:45 p.m.)

24 THE CLERK: All rise. Court is in session. You may  
25 be seated.

1 MR. HERTZBERG: May I proceed, Your Honor?

2 THE COURT: Yes.

3 (WITNESS BETH NIBLOCK RESUMED THE STAND AT 1:45 P.M.)

4 BY MR. HERTZBERG:

5 Q Good afternoon.

6 A Hi.

7 Q Is it your opinion that the IT reinvestment initiatives  
8 are reasonable and when implemented will address the city's  
9 deficiencies in the IT area?

10 A It is.

11 Q Are you familiar with the IT reinvestment initiatives in  
12 the city's plan of adjustment?

13 A I am.

14 MR. HERTZBERG: Steve, could you pull up Exhibit  
15 552, Page 2?

16 Q You can look at that or I've put a hard copy in front of  
17 you. If you'd turn to Page 2 of that it might be easier if  
18 it's blown up. And could you blow that up? Thank you.

19 Are you familiar with this document?

20 A I am.

21 Q What is it?

22 A It is the plan of adjustment for the IT projects,  
23 summarized by departments.

24 Q Are any of the initiatives that are listed there taking  
25 place in other departments other than the ITS department?  
13-53846-tjt Doc 7472 Filed 09/14/14 Entered 09/14/14 10:46:04 Page 129 of 279

1 A Yes. Two of them are. The ERP system and the -- I'm  
2 sorry, the security access.

3 Q What is the ERP system?

4 A That's the financial management human resources  
5 information system. It is really coming out of the office of  
6 the CFO.

7 Q Are you overseeing the implementation of that?

8 A I am working with John Hill to do that, yes.

9 Q Are there other initiatives that are taking place outside  
10 of ITS?

11 A There are. There are about 75 other IT initiatives that  
12 are taking place. The bulk of them being within the police  
13 department, the fire department, and human resources.

14 Q Will you be assisting in those areas as they build up  
15 their IT?

16 A I will be.

17 Q But are you only offering an opinion today in regard to  
18 the ITS department?

19 A I am.

20 Q How was it determined what initiatives will be part of  
21 the plan of -- of adjustment?

22 A So Conway, MacKenzie and the ITS staff sat down and  
23 drafted the plan.

24 Q Were you employed by the City of Detroit at the time that  
25 Conway MacKenzie put together the plan?

1 A I was not.

2 Q So the list was already developed by the time you started  
3 employment with the city, correct?

4 A That is correct.

5 Q Did you have an opportunity to review the plan and  
6 discuss it with anyone from Conway, MacKenzie?

7 A I did. I sat down with the team from Conway, MacKenzie  
8 as well as the ITS staff who worked with Conway to put the  
9 plan together.

10 Q Do you feel you had adequate time to review it with them?

11 A I do.

12 Q Is it your opinion that the initiatives on this list are  
13 necessary for the city?

14 A It is.

15 Q Is it your opinion that implementing each of the  
16 initiatives will help remedy the city's IT deficiencies?

17 A Yes.

18 Q What I'd like to do now is walk through each of the ITS  
19 departments' reinvestment initiatives and have you explain  
20 them. The first one is the Microsoft application update.  
21 What will this -- what is it and what will this accomplish?

22 A So earlier when we talked about how the desktops were  
23 incompatible. There -- there are several things that this  
24 will address.

1 different versions of Microsoft Office on the computer. So  
2 this will get us on to a standard version of -- of Office  
3 product.

4 Q The next one is data center backup. What is it and what  
5 will that address?

6 A So right now we really only have one primary data center  
7 which is a single source of failure. We need to have a -- a  
8 supplemental backup center so that we can put servers and  
9 equipment to have redundancy and resiliency in the network.

10 Q The next one is the city hardware upgrade. What will  
11 that initiative accomplish?

12 A So that hardware upgrade will accomplish several things.  
13 One, it will bring us up to either modern desktops or laptops.  
14 It will also address the network hardware. So we have old  
15 routers and switches on the network that need to be upgraded.  
16 It will also address some server upgrades and it will address  
17 some storage upgrades.

18 Q Okay. Next city wide imaging and document management.  
19 What will that initiative address?

20 A That initiative is a electronic document management  
21 system. Right now everything that we do is stored on paper  
22 and it goes off site to our either iron mountain, or a  
23 facility called Grandy which I'm not --

24 Q Is that -- is that paper storage?

1 things electronically and to be able to recall them.

2 Q Work Brain upgrade. First tell me what Work Brain is.

3 A It's a particular piece of software and what it does is  
4 it does time and attendance and it also does some rule  
5 calculations based on collective bargaining unit agreement  
6 calculations.

7 Q And what will this initiative accomplish?

8 A Right now we're either two or three versions behind. And  
9 part of what happens is when you get so far behind the  
10 software manufacturers at some point stop supporting that  
11 version of the software.

12 So we're not technically at end of life with this, but we  
13 will be soon. So we need to fix it because that's how we keep  
14 time and attendance.

15 Q Okay. City wide network infrastructure. What is that  
16 and what will that initiative accomplish?

17 A So we had spoken earlier about the lack of resilience and  
18 lack of redundancy. There is not business -- what we call  
19 business continuity and disaster recovery within the network.  
20 And so this will allow us to upgrade switches and build in  
21 that kind of redundancy that we need because of the services  
22 we deliver.

23 Q Next is active directory service migration. What is that  
24 and what will that initiative accomplish?

1 people accounts. It gives -- you can assign rights to those  
2 accounts. You can also group accounts by departments or by  
3 function so if you have a team that's working on a particular  
4 function, you can actually grant permissions to the things  
5 they need to get to through active directory.

6 Q And the ERP system we already discussed, correct?

7 A Correct.

8 Q Okay. Next up is help -- help desk software. What is it  
9 and what will that initiative accomplish?

10 A So right now we have a -- a help desk that people can  
11 call to get help on their computer. But we're really using a  
12 freeware/shareware product to help us catalog the calls.

13 One of the reasons you really want to do that is if you  
14 start -- you -- you should be able to pull metrics off of your  
15 help desk software so you can see one, whether the -- whether  
16 the -- we have an issue.

17 So if you start seeing for example in my last  
18 jurisdiction, we were able to see that we had a particular  
19 hard drive in the new -- in new computers that we had rolled  
20 out and we were having an issue with it so we were able to  
21 contact the manufacturer and say hey, we see that we're having  
22 a particularly high failure rate and we were able to do that  
23 because we had put it in our help desk software.

24 Q Is that critical to the city?

1 be able to see how we're performing as far as resolving issues  
2 and figuring out where we need to do training on new products.  
3 So if you get a lot of calls about a particular thing, it's  
4 like well people aren't understanding how to use it. We need  
5 to get some training out there to help people use it better.

6 Q Okay. Next is operating system upgrade. What is that  
7 and what will that initiative accomplish?

8 A So the operating system is actually the thing that makes  
9 your computer operate. And when we had spoken about --  
10 earlier about the fact that we are -- a majority of our  
11 systems are on Windows XP or older, that's the operating  
12 system.

13 We need to get off of that because it's de-supported by  
14 Microsoft and we can't secure it anymore. So part of what  
15 we're doing is upgrading the operating system to a current  
16 supported version.

17 Q Next is SQL server support. What is that and what will  
18 that accomplish?

19 A SQL is structured queried language. It is a data base  
20 language that we happen to use. We use Microsoft sequel  
21 server. We are not -- we are behind several versions and we  
22 are not in compliance with what we should be legally licensed  
23 for.

24 Q And this will bring you into compliance?

1 Q Next is group wise savings. What does this refer to?

2 A So that is our current email system. Part of what we  
3 want to do is put more and more things into the cloud. We  
4 expect our mail system to go into the cloud which would be  
5 part of that Microsoft application update.

6 And so once we do that and we have paid off -- we -- we  
7 should see savings from not paying maintenance to Group Wise  
8 although there will be other costs associated with moving to  
9 the cloud.

10 Q Is it your opinion that these IT investment --  
11 reinvestments initiatives will really address the issues with  
12 the city's infrastructure?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Is it your opinion that the dollar amount and the time  
15 frames contemplated for the IT reinvestment initiatives are  
16 reasonable?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Let's look at that now.

19 A Okay.

20 MR. HERTZBERG: Could you Steve, spread it out, the  
21 dollar amount? If you take the whole frame in. Yeah. All  
22 set? Okay.

23 Q The spreadsheet you have in front of you that you're  
24 looking at on the monitor also?

1 Q Has dollar amounts that the city plans to allocate to  
2 each initiative. Do you see that?

3 A I do.

4 Q Did you review these amounts?

5 A I did.

6 Q Did you review them with anyone else?

7 A I reviewed them with Conway, MacKenzie and I also  
8 reviewed it with my ITS team as well -- as well.

9 Q Did you have the opportunity to ask questions of Conway,  
10 MacKenzie in regard to these?

11 A Yes, I did. I asked a lot of questions about the -- the  
12 time lines, the assumptions, how, you know, looking at other  
13 system implementations knowing what we did in the City of  
14 Louisville and looked at that and we had a conversation and  
15 discussions about the amounts and time frame in there.

16 Q When you look at the amounts, do you think that it's  
17 adequate to do the reinvestment initiatives that we just  
18 addressed?

19 A I do.

20 Q What is your opinion as to the time frame that's set  
21 forth in there to do the initiatives, the ten years?

22 A I think the time frame is one of my concerns. It is -- I  
23 think there are a lot of projects that are particularly front  
24 loaded and I think we need to stage them and manage them very  
25 tightly because while we need to do all of those things we've

1 -- we've got to do one first and the second one second, and --  
2 and do that in a very methodical way.

3 Q We talked about and you testified previously that you had  
4 experience in estimating costs and budgeting for IT projects,  
5 is that correct?

6 A That is correct.

7 Q And based upon that experience, is it your opinion that  
8 the amounts provided are reasonable for the city in trying to  
9 do what you want to accomplish?

10 A Yes.

11 Q You started to talk about and I want to spend a minute at  
12 least addressing the risk because there's got to be some risk  
13 in the implementation, isn't there?

14 A There is.

15 Q Can you tell me what major -- give me the three major  
16 risks you think are out there in the implementation and  
17 explain each of them for me.

18 A Okay. I really think there are three -- three big risks.  
19 I think the first one is what we call interfaces. Is that's  
20 when you have a system and it needs to talk to another system.

21 So how those systems connect and exchange information can  
22 be very difficult and can be very complex. One of the things  
23 that makes it complex is that right now we don't know for  
24 example with the financial management system we haven't picked  
25 what that system is.

1       So understanding how it might need to talk to the tax  
2 system, how it might talk to Work Brain because you'd be  
3 getting time and attendance that has to then be accounted for  
4 in the ledger. That can become very complicated. And there  
5 is risk with that and as IT people because right now it's  
6 unknown. It's -- it's one of the things that we -- we  
7 consider to be a risk.

8       The second thing --

9           THE COURT: Well, but pause there. You don't have  
10 to reinvent wheels, right? Lots of cities have -- have  
11 investigated and experienced these issues.

12 A       You're -- yes, Your Honor. But I think the -- the  
13 specific combination since I don't know what financial  
14 management system we're working with but we know that we have  
15 Work Brain, whenever we pick that financial management system,  
16 then it would be the time for me to reach out to my colleagues  
17 and say, hey, is anybody working on this combination of  
18 products.

19           Tell me how it was to make them talk. How did the  
20 interfaces go. It's just something that we consider to be a  
21 risk.

22           THE COURT: Well, but wouldn't you choose systems  
23 that are more compatible and take that into account?

24 A       Yes.

1 factor.

2 A So -- so the second risk factor is people. So being able  
3 to secure the appropriate resources to -- to do these  
4 implementations and then being able to really backfill when  
5 you take the subject matter experts who are the people who are  
6 doing a lot of the work in the departments out to do the  
7 implementation. But somebody has to keep doing that work. So  
8 that's a resource constrain that we have to really be careful  
9 of.

10 And then really the third risk that I mentioned already  
11 is the -- the stacking and the front loading of the projects.

12 Q How many people are you looking to hire in the ITS  
13 department?

14 A So in the plan of adjustment we have 14 new hires.

15 Q Do you -- do you think that will be adequate to carry out  
16 the reinvestment initiative?

17 A Well, one of the assumptions that we're making is that as  
18 we put the systems out for bid and we implement them, that we  
19 will get professional services. I think we had talked about  
20 that earlier in with that. And that -- that -- because that  
21 combination will allow us to move forward.

22 Q Okay. And you have now walked me through or the Court  
23 through three risk factors.

24 A Uh-huh.

1       they come up? Walk me through that process.

2       A     Sure. So what we really need to do is do very strong  
3           project management. The project management institute has a  
4           way of managing projects.

5           And one of the factors that you really look at is risk  
6           and risk mitigation. So understanding from the outset what --  
7           what the risks are, managing against those risks and  
8           minimizing those risks are very important.

9           The -- the second thing really is having a very strong  
10          governing body. IT projects can be somewhat -- what's the  
11          word I'm looking for? Not -- they can sometimes go out of  
12          scope and get bigger than you really like oh, wow, we're doing  
13          this, let's do that.

14          You really have to have that strong project management.  
15          You've got to really have that strong governance committee  
16          that's going to say no, we're not going to customize this.  
17          No, we're going to hold the line at that.

18          And so having strong governance committee that will keep  
19          that in check and on track and has the power to make decisions  
20          of no, we're going to go or no, we're not going to go is very  
21          important.

22          And then really the third thing which seems pretty  
23          obvious, but is very important as we do these projects, is our  
24          communication and our communication plan. So not only  
25          communicating with the members of the team and the departments

1 that we're working with about what the roles and  
2 responsibilities and expectations are, the time lines, the  
3 deadlines, the due dates, and roles and responsibility and  
4 keeping folks accountable for that.

5 So the whole accountability of that. And then the  
6 communication to the end users who -- who will be using these  
7 new systems as we bring them up.

8 Q You talk about the importance of a governance committee.

9 A Yes.

10 Q Are you going to form one as part of your  
11 responsibilities to oversee these?

12 A There is an IT governance committee in place, but we are  
13 going to change the members of it.

14 Q Once the IT reinvestment initiatives are implemented,  
15 will the city have a world class A+ information technology  
16 system?

17 A No.

18 Q How would you describe the system that will be in place?

19 A Depending on the grading scale it would be a B or a B-.

20 Q And you feel that that will be adequate?

21 A It will be huge. It will be hugely better than where we  
22 are now.

23 MR. HERTZBERG: I have no further questions, Your  
24 Honor.

1 MR. HACKNEY: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, I have  
2 some witness binders I was hoping to hand around. I think you  
3 all have -- may I approach?

4 THE COURT: Yes.

5 MR. HACKNEY: And I'm going to approach the witness  
6 if that's okay.

7 THE COURT: Yes, yes.

8 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.

## CROSS EXAMINATION

10 BY MR. HACKNEY:

11 Q Good afternoon, Ma'am.

12 A Hi.

13 Q My name is Steve Hackney, I'm one of the attorneys for  
14 Syncora in these -- in these cases. And I just want to say at  
15 the start I think it's great that you accepted Mayor Duggan's  
16 challenge and -- and came up here. I'm sure that the  
17 Louisville environment was perhaps a little more stable than  
18 what you're doing here, but it seems like you can do a lot of  
19 good here.

20 I wanted to ask you some questions about your report if I  
21 could.

22 A Certainly.

23 Q I do want to clarify what you are and what you are not  
24 opining about. And we got some of that in your direct, but

1 for the city across the city departments, they tally about  
2 151.7 million dollars, is that correct?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q But your opinions as to the reasonableness of the IT  
5 initiatives are limited to the IT initiatives that are the  
6 responsibility of your group which is ITS, correct? The  
7 amount of those IT initiatives comes to approximately 84.8  
8 million dollars, is that right?

9 A About, yes.

10 Q That was if we had tallied up those -- those ones that  
11 you identified that were on Exhibit 252, is that right?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Is that correct, Ma'am?

14 A It's correct.

15 Q Okay. So you're not offering an opinion about the other  
16 65 odd million dollars in IT reinitiatives, isn't that  
17 correct?

18 A That is correct.

19 Q And those include investments in the police department  
20 and the fire department, and -- and the other departments that  
21 are outside ITS, is that correct?

22 A That is correct.

23 Q I want to go back briefly Ma'am, to the concept of  
24 interfacing that you were talking about earlier with the

1 to talk to one another, is that correct?

2 A Correct.

3 Q And a more precise way to say it is it's a shared  
4 boundary across which two separate components of a computer  
5 system exchange information, correct?

6 A Correct.

7 Q And I wanted you to know that I got that from Wikipedia,  
8 lest you think that I actually know anything which I don't.  
9 But to -- to put it in more colloquial terms for example, you  
10 want to have -- to have an effective IT system you want your  
11 payroll system to be able to talk with your financial  
12 reporting system, correct?

13 A Correct.

14 Q And the way they talk to each other is the interface as  
15 the name would suggest, right?

16 A Correct.

17 Q And you acknowledge that the full extent of the need for  
18 interfaces even including which systems will require them, is  
19 unknown at this time, is that correct?

20 A Correct.

21 Q And you agree that if any required interfaces are not  
22 addressed and adequately funded, that this will affect the  
23 city's ability to implement the IT reinvestment initiatives,  
24 isn't that correct?

1 Q Yeah, sure. If any required interfaces are not addressed  
2 and adequately funded, that this will affect the city's  
3 ability to implement the IT reinvestment initiatives?

4 A It will impact it, yes.

5 Q And that would in turn impact your opinion that the  
6 dollar amounts and the time frames are -- that are  
7 contemplated are reasonable, correct?

8 A It could, yes.

9 Q Now interfaces can take a wide variety of formats, isn't  
10 that right?

11 A Yes, they can.

12 Q They can sometimes require the IT owner to write  
13 customized software that allows its systems to talk to one  
14 another, correct?

15 A Correct.

16 Q Other times you can buy it off -- buy the interface off  
17 the shelf, is that right?

18 A You can.

19 Q And then is it more common that it's some of both which  
20 is that you acquire something off the shelf but then there is  
21 required customization that the city imposes on the -- on the  
22 product?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And as things stand today though, can you -- can you tell  
25 the Court how many interfaces are we talking about here? Even

1 if it's not, you know, 52, but can you give us an order of  
2 magnitude about how many interfaces?

3 A I'd hate to just guess. I -- I didn't -- I have a count,  
4 but I don't know it right off the top of my head.

5 Q Because I -- the reason I ask is because I -- I think of  
6 some of these different systems as being kind of like nodes  
7 that you can put on a map and I think sometimes perhaps one  
8 system may talk to more than just one other system, is that a  
9 correct assumption on my part?

10 A It can, yes.

11 Q Okay. So you kind of have to have all the nodes known  
12 and then a more precise sense of how many multiple connections  
13 there will be between each node, is that correct?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And is it -- let me make sure I'm not misunderstanding  
16 you. Is it fair to say that at this point in time you just  
17 don't know what the total number of interfaces will be?

18 A For the systems that we outlined here, yes.

19 Q Okay. And can you give us a sense of the anticipated  
20 cost of -- just take a garden variety interface. About how  
21 much does it cost to purchase and customize, or draft from  
22 scratch, or you know, impose your own parameter on it, but  
23 give the Court a sense of what we're talking about.

24 A Well, I can -- I can tell you from some experience in my  
25 last jurisdiction. There can be interfaces that can be

1 depending on what they are, can be as low as \$2,000. Then  
2 they can be as much as, especially in the public protection  
3 realm which I am not testifying to, but my interface question,  
4 can be in the 25,000, 30,000, \$50,000 range.

5 Q Okay. So we have to have some sense of the cost and some  
6 sense of the total number in order to gauge the total impact  
7 that this will have on the city's budget, is that a fair  
8 statement?

9 A I think it is an unknown, yes.

10 Q And when will it -- when will it be far less of an  
11 unknown than it is today? I know that it's never fully known  
12 because your IT environment continues to evolve, but when  
13 could you tell the Court we'll really have a better grasp of  
14 this issue by time X?

15 A So on the -- on the projects, I mean if you look at the  
16 projects that I'm talking about, those -- there are not a lot  
17 of interfaces requirement. The interfaces that are required  
18 really are when it comes to the enterprise resource planning  
19 system, the financial management, and HRS, and the Work Brain  
20 are the two big ones.

21 So I think once we pick the financial management system,  
22 that will make it very clear and to the Judge's point,  
23 obviously minimizing the interfaces is something that's in our  
24 best interest.

1 select, is that what you're saying?

2 A Certainly.

3 Q As we stand here today though, there are no dollars  
4 allocated in the budget to the cost of buying or developing  
5 interfaces for the city's IT, is that correct?

6 A There is some allotment within the budget that we have  
7 for interfaces.

8 Q Okay. I guess is it another way to put it that there is  
9 some money and as to whether it's adequate you can't say until  
10 you know what the interfaces are and how much they'll cost?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q Now another assumption that you -- and by the way I was  
13 asking about an assumption that you were making. And the  
14 assumption you were making is that the interfaces will be  
15 adequately funded, right? That was in Section 17(b) of your  
16 report, I think.

17 A Correct.

18 Q Another assumption that you make is that the city will be  
19 able to employ and retain individuals, or hire contractors  
20 with the necessary technical knowledge to implement the IT  
21 reinvestment initiatives across the city, is that correct?

22 A That's correct.

23 Q Now there is an implicit factual assertion in that  
24 assumption, right? Which is that the city does not presently  
25 have the individuals with the necessary technical knowledge to

1 implement the IT reinvestment initiatives. Is that a fair  
2 statement?

3 A No.

4 Q You wouldn't have to assume that it will hire them in the  
5 future if you already had them today, isn't that a fair  
6 statement?

7 A No.

8 Q That's not a fair statement? Okay. The -- and -- and by  
9 the way, how many people do you believe the city needs to hire  
10 in order to implement all of the IT initiatives city wide?

11 A I don't know city wide.

12 Q Okay. What types of employees are we talking about  
13 specifically here?

14 A For which?

15 Q For -- I'm talking about the folks that you're assuming  
16 the city will be able to hire in order to implement the IT  
17 reinvestment initiatives.

18 A So it -- it depends on what we're talking about. So it  
19 could be that we have folks that we need to hire depending on  
20 what the financial management system is, you would need people  
21 who have that skill set.

22 For example, we are an Oracle financial management shop,  
23 so we clearly have a lot of people who are -- use Oracle and  
24 understand Oracle where currently a Work Brain time and labor  
25 shop, we have people who do that. Depending again on what we

1 end up doing, those resources we will either need to bring in  
2 new -- we will bring in staff augmentation to help us get that  
3 up while we are retraining our current work force if we can to  
4 take over and run the new systems.

5 Q Is it fair to say that this issue is a little bit similar  
6 to the interface issue which is you need to know more about  
7 some of the future decisions on the IT front before you'll  
8 have a better sense of how many and who of the types of  
9 employees that the city will need to hire?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Now in Louisville I think I heard you say that you had  
12 about 75 people reporting to you, is that right?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q And is it -- that was obviously -- or I got the sense  
15 from your examination that that is perhaps a more stable and  
16 well functioning IT environment than here in the City of  
17 Detroit, is that correct?

18 A Correct.

19 Q Yeah. But here you have 36 people presently, is that  
20 correct?

21 A That is correct.

22 Q Okay.

23 A But I -- also --

24 Q Oh, yeah.

1 Q What does that mean, staff augmentation means?

2 A Basically contract employees.

3 Q Oh, you have people that are on contract currently that  
4 are working alongside your staff?

5 A Correct.

6 Q Understood, okay.

7 A So that total comes up to 66 then, 65.

8 Q Okay. Now obviously though just from an outsider's  
9 perspective like mine, certainly a different project that  
10 you're on the front of as opposed to in Louisville where you  
11 are I'm sure always improving the system, but you're otherwise  
12 maintaining a well functioning environment. Here you're  
13 actually trying to bring one about, is that correct?

14 A Correct.

15 Q Now you have expressed concerns about the ability of your  
16 group to both implement the ITS restructuring -- restructuring  
17 initiatives and also to support the IT roll outs in other  
18 departments in the city, isn't that correct?

19 A That is correct.

20 Q And in fact you told the Mayor that the problem is that  
21 all of the city's IT projects will be relying on the same  
22 constrained resources, isn't that right?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q And the constrained resources that you were referring to

25 were the personnel and the ITS group right?

1 A Correct.

2 Q And to put it in common parlance what you're saying is,  
3 there is going to be a lot to do and -- and a relatively small  
4 number of people to do it?

5 A Correct.

6 Q Now at one point in time there was an inconsistency  
7 between the two ten year forecasts that you had noted when it  
8 comes to the question of how many people your group will hire  
9 going forward. Do you remember this?

10 A I do.

11 Q And at one point you noted that under the ten year  
12 financial projections it showed your department being slated  
13 to hire only three additional people starting in 2016, is that  
14 correct?

15 A Correct.

16 Q But under the ten year plan of restructuring and  
17 reinvestment initiatives, those have you hiring an additional  
18 15 people with 11 of them being hired by this December,  
19 correct?

20 A Correct.

21 Q Did they ever fix that glitch?

22 A Here -- here is why that happened. At one point in time  
23 ITS was part of finance. And so there are things in one plan  
24 that were rolled up under the office of the CFO or the finance

1 so we had to go through the reconciliation process.

2 Q So how did it end up in terms of are you getting the  
3 three people starting in 2016, or are you getting the 15  
4 people --

5 A Fifteen.

6 Q Eleven of whom will start in December?

7 A The 15.

8 Q The latter.

9 A Fourteen because they hired me.

10 Q Oh, I see. So you're the -- you're number one. Okay,  
11 good. Okay. But December is less than three months away from  
12 us as we stand here today, is that correct?

13 A That is correct.

14 Q And so getting these folks hired is particularly  
15 important because the city has also substantially front loaded  
16 the IT restructuring initiatives, isn't that correct?

17 A That is correct.

18 Q What front loading means here is that there are a lot of  
19 big projects that are going to be starting relatively soon,  
20 right?

21 A Correct.

22 Q And again you have assumed that the city can achieve the  
23 front loaded technology roll out, isn't that right?

24 A I would assume that we will be able to hire contract

1 is you bid out implementation as well.

2 Q But one thing that's also true is that you think it's  
3 going to be a challenge to attract and maintain highly skilled  
4 technical resources, isn't that correct?

5 A I think that's a challenge for any IT department.

6 Q Okay. But it will certainly be a challenge for Detroit,  
7 right?

8 A It will be a challenge.

9 Q And in fact the reason that you'd expressed concern about  
10 this to the Mayor was because you were worried about whether  
11 the -- the budget amounts for salaries were going to be  
12 sufficient to attract the talent to the Detroit area, isn't  
13 that correct?

14 A That is correct.

15 Q And one of the things that you asked the Mayor to do, or  
16 you urged him to do was to conduct an analysis of the current  
17 compensation standards for IT professionals in the Detroit  
18 area, is that right? That was part of the memorandum that you  
19 wrote in -- in -- in May.

20 A I don't believe I -- I don't believe I remember saying to  
21 him we need to do a comp and classification salary. Can you  
22 point me to the tab? Is that Tab 2?

23 Q So see if this refreshes your recollection. Look at Tab  
24 2.

1 Q And look at the second page of your memo.

2 A I do.

3 Q And look at the last sentence in the second to last  
4 paragraph.

5 A I'm sorry, one more time.

6 Q You bet. So the second to the last paragraph.

7 A Uh-huh.

8 Q Look at the last sentence.

9 A Yes.

10 Q And then the question really is, it actually does it  
11 refresh your recollection about what you said?

12 A Quite clearly.

13 Q And did you say that?

14 A I did.

15 Q Yes. Do you know if anyone's done that?

16 A Not -- no.

17 Q Okay. So fair to say -- obviously there's lots of  
18 uncertainties in the future and -- and I don't think you're  
19 required to have certainty, but is it fair to say that as you  
20 sit here right now you just don't know whether or not the city  
21 will be able to attract the -- the highly skilled technical  
22 resources necessary to -- to implement these restructuring  
23 initiatives?

24 A I know that we can hire them through staff augmentation,

25 yes.  
13-53846-tjt Doc 7472 Filed 09/14/14 Entered 09/14/14 10:46:04 Page 156 of 279

1 Q And I take it those amounts are in the budget?

2 A Yeah. They're in the project.

3 Q Okay. They're in the project itself?

4 A Right.

5 Q Now right now you would agree that city employees cannot  
6 do their jobs at a very basic level because of -- of deficient  
7 IT. That's the core of your opinion, isn't that correct?

8 A That is one of them, yes.

9 Q And you agree for example that the city's current IT is  
10 creating problems for the city's finance department, isn't  
11 that correct?

12 A That is correct.

13 Q And you talked about the fact that you and Mr. Hill are  
14 -- are stapled together at the hip? Or joined -- I'm sorry,  
15 joined together at the hip. And while you do want to see  
16 business processes also improved alongside the IT you agree  
17 that deficient IT negatively impacts the finance department's  
18 ability to do a job for the city, isn't that correct?

19 A That's correct.

20 Q And you know the finance department does things like  
21 collect taxes, correct?

22 A Correct.

23 Q And in fact your view is that the city's current state of  
24 IT contributes to the -- or contributes to the rate of tax  
25 delinquencies in the City of Detroit isn't that correct?

1 A I don't believe -- I don't -- where did I say that?

2 Q Well, I guess we'll start with just isn't that true that  
3 it contributes to the -- the tax delinquency right in the City  
4 of Detroit?

5 A I can't -- I can't give you that opinion of why the  
6 city's tax rate is not -- tax collection rate is not good. I  
7 can tell you that IT does not help any of the departments  
8 because the -- the systems are not adequate.

9 Q And the hope is that improving the IT will improve the  
10 tax collection efforts, correct?

11 A I think the hope is improving the IT systems will improve  
12 everybody's ability to do their job.

13 Q Now I want to understand the order of events here a bit  
14 if I could. Most of the -- and I'm going to call these the  
15 ITS restructuring initiatives to separate them from the IT  
16 restructuring initiatives. Do you know what I mean when I say  
17 that?

18 A Yes.

19 Q The vast majority of the ITS restructuring initiatives  
20 were included in the original plan that was filed in February  
21 of 2014, is that correct?

22 A Correct.

23 Q Sorry?

24 A Correct.

1 before you started but your understanding is that they were  
2 developed by Conway, MacKenzie, is that right?

3 A That's correct, with ITS.

4 Q With involvement from ITS.

5 A Right.

6 Q Absolutely, sure. Now do you know whether Conway,  
7 MacKenzie has the expertise necessary to develop a  
8 comprehensive IT strategy for a city?

9 A I don't.

10 Q Now in March of 2014 after you joined the city and after  
11 these reinvestment initiatives on the IT fund had already been  
12 proposed, the city solicited an RFP from Plante Moran, isn't  
13 that correct?

14 A That is correct.

15 Q And one of the things that the city indicated in the RFP  
16 was that the city was interested in hiring a consultant to  
17 conduct an IT assessment and develop a strategic technology  
18 plan, isn't that correct?

19 A Correct.

20 Q And Plante Moran does have the necessary expertise to do  
21 that type of work, isn't that correct?

22 A Correct.

23 Q And the city has in fact entered into a contract with  
24 Plante Moran, is that correct?

1 Q Now as of your deposition which was back on July 31,  
2 2014, Plante Moran had not finished its work, is that correct?

3 A That is correct.

4 Q And in fact I think I heard you testify that they -- they  
5 still haven't, is that right?

6 A That is correct.

7 Q Now do you remember with Mr. Hertzberg when you were  
8 talking about the methodology that you employ when you're  
9 assessing an IT environment. Do you remember that testimony,  
10 Ma'am?

11 A I do.

12 Q What -- what I heard you say and -- and listen to see if  
13 I get it right, but you said words to the effect of that the  
14 first step of an IT assessment is to assess the needs of the  
15 business or the city department that you're studying, correct?

16 A Correct.

17 Q Now what Plante Moran is doing is to be more specific,  
18 they are going into departments like BSEED which stands for  
19 building, safety, engineering and environmental department,  
20 correct?

21 A Correct.

22 Q They are going into departments like BSEED and asking  
23 them questions like, you run these four or five applications.  
24 How are they operating? Are they working? Are they up? Are  
25 they able when you need them? That's the type of thing that

1 Plante Moran is doing, correct?

2 A Correct.

3 Q And Plante Moran is doing that work even as you and I are  
4 standing here talking today, is that right?

5 A Correct.

6 Q And they're doing that in all of the city departments  
7 that require IT, is that correct?

8 A That is not correct.

9 Q Okay. I want to close, Ma'am, with some questions about  
10 Group Wise if I could. Do you remember that testimony where  
11 you talked about Group Wise which is the -- the email program?

12 A Yeah, I do.

13 Q Now you understand that one of the things that Conway,  
14 MacKenzie did when it was putting some of these documents  
15 together, is it not only would show a cost of something, but  
16 it would also show the anticipated savings associated with a  
17 particular project, is that correct?

18 A That is correct.

19 Q And is it correct that the city's forecasts project that  
20 in 2015, '16, '17, and '18, meaning the next four years, four  
21 calendar years, that the city will save approximately 1.4  
22 million dollars in connection with moving its email system  
23 called Group Wise into the cloud, is that correct?

24 A I'm looking at the line on the bottom of the page and it  
25 looks like if you add it -- yes.

1 Q Now in fact that is actually something that you don't  
2 believe will happen, correct?

3 A Not in that time frame.

4 Q That's right because you're actually not going to move  
5 the system to the cloud until 2019, correct?

6 A That is correct.

7 Q So the million four that is forecasted to be -- to be  
8 saved in the next four calendar years in your professional  
9 opinion will actually not be saved until sometime thereafter,  
10 correct?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q And that's actually an opinion that you expressed nearly  
13 three months ago in May, isn't that right?

14 A Yes.

15 Q But to your knowledge the forecast has never been updated  
16 to reflect that view?

17 A Correct.

18 MR. HACKNEY: Ma'am, thank you for your time. Best  
19 of luck to you.

20 A Thank you.

21 THE COURT: Anyone else to examine the witness? Any  
22 redirect?

23 MR. HERTZBERG: One second, Your Honor. Nothing,  
24 Your Honor.

1 excused.

2 A Thank you.

3 (WITNESS BETH NIBLOCK WAS EXCUSED AT 2:27 P.M.)

4 MR. HERTZBERG: Your Honor, what do you do with the  
5 witness binders when none of the exhibits in the binders are  
6 in evidence?

7 THE COURT: You mean the ones that the witness had?

8 MR. HERTZBERG: For example, Mr. Hackney gave this  
9 one and I don't believe any of these exhibits are in evidence.

10 MR. HACKNEY: I guess we can throw them away or I  
11 can take them back or whatever is convenient for you.

12 THE COURT: Normally, Mr. Hertzberg, normally what I  
13 do is at the end of the trial we will sort out to be sure that  
14 the exhibits I take into my possession are only those which  
15 were admitted into evidence.

16 MR. HERTZBERG: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

17 MR. STEWART: Your Honor, the city's next witness  
18 will be Caroline Sallee.

19 THE COURT: Please raise your right hand.

20 (WITNESS CAROLINE SALLEE WAS SWORN)

21 THE COURT: All right. Please sit down.

22 MR. STEWART: Your Honor, may I approach? I have  
23 the five sets of the exhibits I'll be using that I would hand  
24 out.

1           MR. STEWART: But also I have one for the -- for the  
2 witness.

3           THE COURT: Okay. And you proceed when you're  
4 ready.

5           MR. STEWART: Thank you, Your Honor.

6                           DIRECT EXAMINATION

7 BY MR. STEWART:

8 Q       Good afternoon, Ms. Sallee. Could you please give the  
9 Court your full name and your address?

10 A      Caroline Sallee, 1834 North Larrabee Street in Chicago,  
11 Illinois.

12 Q       Are you employed?

13 A       Yes.

14 Q       Where are you employed?

15 A       I am employed by Ernst & Young.

16 Q       Okay. And what is your title at -- at Ernst & Young?

17 A       I am a Manager.

18 Q       In what part of Ernst & Young do you work?

19 A       I work in the quantitative economic and statistics  
20 practice.

21 Q       Sometimes that's called QUEST?

22 A       That's right.

23 Q       Do you know Dr. Robert Cline?

24 A       I do.

1 retirement?

2 A I worked very closely with Dr. Cline on tax projects.

3 Q Okay. You understand that EY has been engaged to work  
4 for the City of Detroit in its Chapter 9 proceeding?

5 A Yes.

6 Q And you're involved in that as well?

7 A Yes.

8 Q When did you become -- become involved?

9 A I started working on this project in May of 2013.

10 Q Okay. And by this project are you -- tell us what your  
11 part of the project was.

12 A We were asked to forecast certain revenues for the city.

13 Q Okay.

14 A So a set of tax revenues and state revenue sharing  
15 payments.

16 Q Okay. And you said property revenues?

17 A That's right.

18 Q Okay. Before we go further, let me ask you more about  
19 your background. Where did you go to college?

20 A I went to Augustana College.

21 Q And where is that?

22 A It's in Rock Island, Illinois.

23 Q What year did you graduate?

24 A 2002.

1 recognition?

2 A I did.

3 Q And what were those?

4 A I graduated summa cum laude and phi beta kappa.

5 Q And after college did you go to graduate school?

6 A I did.

7 Q Where did you go to graduate school?

8 A I went to the University of Michigan.

9 Q What did you study there?

10 A I received a Master's in public policy.

11 Q In what year did you get your Master's Degree?

12 A In 2005.

13 Q Okay. And you say Master's of public policy. What does  
14 that embrace?

15 A I primarily studied economics and quantitative methods  
16 and public finance.

17 Q Okay. And after you got your Master's Degree, where did  
18 you go to work?

19 A I started working for a small consulting firm called  
20 Anderson Economic Group.

21 Q And where was Anderson?

22 A It is located in East Lansing, Michigan.

23 Q I assume it has no connection with Arthur Andersen or  
24 Andersen Consulting, the big firm we know, correct?

1 company after himself.

2 Q Oh, one of those, okay.

3 A Yes.

4 Q How long did you work -- how long did you work at  
5 Anderson Economic Group?

6 A I worked for Anderson Economic Group for seven years.

7 Q Okay. Describe for us if you could the kind of work that  
8 you did at Anderson Economic Group.

9 A I worked on public policy and economic projects. So I  
10 would spend about half of my time doing tax analysis, state  
11 and local tax analysis mainly, primarily in Michigan. And  
12 then the other half of my time I would do cost benefit  
13 analyses or economic impact studies.

14 Q Okay. So tell us when you just used this word tax  
15 analysis. What is tax analysis?

16 A So as a tax analyst, I would study tax systems. I would  
17 look at their structure, their operations. I would look at  
18 both sort of what creates the tax system and then the tax  
19 revenue that was coming from different systems.

20 Q Okay. And how much of your work when you were at  
21 Anderson Economic Group, pardon me, involved state taxes or  
22 local taxes?

23 A So all of the tax policy work that I did involved state  
24 and local and I spent probably either 50% or more of my time  
25 on tax analysis.

1 Q Okay. And how much of the tax work involved Michigan  
2 taxes?

3 A I would say about 90% of it. It was a Michigan based  
4 company and so I primarily did Michigan work.

5 Q Now there came a time when you went to EY?

6 A Yes.

7 Q What year was that?

8 A I joined EY in August of 2012.

9 Q And since joining EY, tell us if you could about some of  
10 the projects that you've worked on.

11 A So while at EY, I primarily work on economic and tax  
12 projects. So I do economic and tax revenue impact studies.  
13 I've done a 50 state tax burden study for businesses.

14 Q Okay. And what is a 50 state tax burden study?

15 A So this is something that EY publishes annually.

16 Q Uh-huh.

17 A And we look at the taxes that businesses pay in each  
18 state. So for example households pay taxes, businesses pay  
19 taxes. So we did an analysis to estimate the share tax  
20 revenue in each state that comes from businesses.

21 Q Okay. Tell me about some of the clients you've worked  
22 for at EY if -- to the extent you can disclose.

23 A Uh-huh. So public clients or trade associations we have  
24 worked for the council on state taxation.

1 A We work for a lot of large companies, most of those  
2 projects are private so I don't -- I can't disclose who we  
3 work for. But we have kind of a mix of public and private  
4 clients.

5 Q Do I understand correctly you did some work for the City  
6 of Flint, Michigan?

7 A I did.

8 Q When was that?

9 A I did that work in January and February of this year.

10 Q And what was the assignment from Flint, Michigan?

11 A I was asked to forecast property incomes, taxes, state  
12 revenue sharing payments for a five year period for the City  
13 of Flint.

14 Q Okay. And then has some of your work also -- at EY also  
15 involved work on behalf of universities here in Michigan?

16 A I did a lot of work for universities in Michigan, so  
17 U of M, Wayne State, and Michigan State.

18 Q Okay.

19 A I did that work when I was with Anderson Economic Group.

20 Q Okay. That was before you went to --

21 A That's right.

22 Q And let me reach back to them if I could. The work for  
23 the universities, what sort of -- what -- what was that  
24 assignment about?

1 sort of sale of activity that the universities generated for  
2 the State of Michigan. And so there is an annual study that  
3 came out so I was the primary author of that study when I was  
4 at Anderson.

5 Q Okay. Now let's go back to this -- this assignment, the  
6 one for the City of Detroit. You've been asked to appear and  
7 to give expert -- opinions as an expert witness, correct?

8 A That's right.

9 Q Have you ever been an expert witness before?

10 A I have not.

11 Q And when you started this work did anyone tell you you  
12 were going to be asked to give an expert opinion?

13 A No, they didn't.

14 Q All right. By the way, are you being compensated for  
15 your work?

16 A I am.

17 Q Okay. At what range are you compensated?

18 A EY bills my rate -- bills my hours at \$550.00 an hour.

19 Q So let me ask you a little bit about your field of work.  
20 You testified earlier that you work in the field of tax  
21 analysis?

22 A Uh-huh.

23 Q And I think you said tax analysis is the study of tax  
24 systems?

1 Q What is a tax system?

2 A At its most basic level a tax system is governed by tax  
3 laws and so those tell us what is being taxed, how it's being  
4 taxed, the rate it's being taxed.

5 Q Okay. And when you -- and what's the purpose of studying  
6 tax systems?

7 A So typically I'm studying a tax system because I want to  
8 know the impact of changing some component of the tax system  
9 and what's going to happen to tax revenue.

10 Q Does this include tax forecasting?

11 A It does, uh-huh.

12 Q Okay. Anything else?

13 A I often will do, as I mentioned before, tax burden  
14 studies. So often if there is some sort of tax change, or  
15 changing how you're taxing there might be an impact on who is  
16 paying the tax. So I would look at that as well.

17 Q Is tax analysis recognized as a distinct professional  
18 field?

19 A It is.

20 Q Okay. Are there organizations for tax analysts?

21 A There are.

22 Q Do you belong to any?

23 A I do.

24 Q What -- what do you belong to?

25 A The National Tax Association

1 Q Are there -- is there -- are there academic or journals,  
2 similar journals published in the field of tax analysis?

3 A Uh-huh.

4 Q What are some of those?

5 A I think the most -- one would be the National Tax  
6 Journal.

7 Q Okay. Have you personally written any cases in the field  
8 of tax analysis that have been published?

9 A The only published work I have is through my employer.

10 Q Okay.

11 A So no peer reviewed journal articles. But the tax burden  
12 study that I do with EY is published through EY.

13 Q Okay. Now what training and skills are needed for a  
14 person who is going to work in the field of tax analysis?

15 A So I find that I have to be able to, you know, read and  
16 understand tax laws. I have an economics background which is  
17 important in understanding the inputs, the behavior, thinking  
18 about how people respond to a tax system.

19 Q Uh-huh.

20 A And then my educational background, I took many methods  
21 courses, so I have a quantitative background being able to  
22 understand statistics for example.

23 Q Now in your work for Detroit tell us some of the  
24 materials or sources of information that you relied upon.

1 city sources, I guess as well. So the City of Detroit  
2 provided their ad valorem tax data. So I was able to receive  
3 information on the tax base.

4 Q And let me stop you there. What does ad valorem mean and  
5 why is that relevant to what we're doing today?

6 A Right. So property is taxed at value. And so they were  
7 providing the tax base that was used for the general operating  
8 fund.

9 Q Uh-huh. Okay. What else did you look at?

10 A The city also provided some of their revenue forecasts.  
11 From the state I obtained different state economic reports. I  
12 obtained data on state revenue sharing payments, the state tax  
13 commission has a lot of reports and data that I relied upon  
14 for my analysis, including tax data for -- specific to the  
15 City of Detroit, but also more general reports for the entire  
16 state.

17 I also pulled data from U.S. Federal cites. So data from  
18 the U.S. census for the region. Information from Bureau of  
19 Labor Statistics. So those are a few federal sources. Also  
20 from the congressional budget office, some of their economic  
21 forecasts.

22 Q Have you heard of the group called SEMCOG?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And what is SEMCOG?

1 Q Did you rely on any of information from SEMCOG?

2 A I did.

3 Q Okay. What sort of information from them?

4 A So they had done a 40 year forecast of population for the  
5 entire southeast Michigan region including specific break outs  
6 for municipalities. So they did a forecast for the City of  
7 Detroit population and I relied upon that.

8 Q Okay. Any information from Wayne County?

9 A Yes. So Wayne County provided information on there in  
10 that revolving fund.

11 Q Okay. I think we'll come to that later. Okay. Are  
12 these the types of information that tax analysts rely upon in  
13 doing their work?

14 A Yes.

15 Q How reliable have -- have you found these sources of  
16 information to be?

17 A I think these sources are reliable.

18 Q And you've worked with them in the past?

19 A Yes.

20 Q In the course of your work did you speak with people from  
21 the city?

22 A I did.

23 Q Tell us who from the city you spoke with.

24 A I primarily spoke with the city assessor.

25 Q Okay. And who is that?

1 A So currently Gary Evanko.

2 Q Uh-huh.

3 A And in the past Linda Bade.

4 Q Anyone else in that office?

5 A Alvin Horhn.

6 Q Okay. Who else from the city?

7 A I also had a conversation with Michael Jamison.

8 Q And for the record who is Mr. Jamison?

9 A He's in the finance department.

10 Q Okay. And who for the state if anybody did you speak  
11 with?

12 A So I had several conversations with Jay Wortley in  
13 treasury.

14 Q Uh-huh.

15 A And then I also had a number of conversations with Jim  
16 Stansell and house fiscal agency.

17 Q Okay. Now are there standard methods professionals in  
18 your field use to forecast tax revenues?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And what are the sources of these standards?

21 A So the U.S. federal agencies have a standard way that  
22 they forecast revenue. Some of it's laid out by law, some of  
23 it is sort of accepted practice. So the congressional budget  
24 office for example regularly does a ten year economic forecast  
25 under a certain set of conditions.

1        So I looked to see what their standards were. Also in  
2 Michigan the consensus revenue estimating conference, they  
3 have a way that they do their revenue forecasts which are in  
4 agreement with how the Federal Government does.

5        And so they published a number of years ago a paper  
6 describing their method. And so I also looked to see what  
7 their methodology was and followed that.

8 Q        And this is the State of Michigan?

9 A        That's the State of Michigan, yes.

10 Q        Now you mentioned a minute ago something called  
11 quantitative methods?

12 A        Yes.

13 Q        What are those?

14 A        So quantitative methods here means for the tax analysis  
15 that I did and that I normally do, I have to build a model.  
16 And in that model I have to think about the components of the  
17 tax system so what is being taxed, how it's being taxed.

18        In this case, I developed a model using a spreadsheet,  
19 but there are other methods that you can use, different  
20 statistical models or sometimes regression analysis could be  
21 used for example.

22 Q        Uh-huh.

23 A        Those are quantitative methods.

24 Q        Did you use a regression analysis here?

25 A        We did not.

1 Q Why not?

2 A We -- when we -- when I say we, I mean Dr. Cline and I,  
3 when we first started looking at this project we looked at the  
4 recent performance of Detroit's economy and how it compared to  
5 the state. We looked to see what data was available.

6 We also looked to -- looked at the regression results of  
7 someone who was hired previously. And we came to the  
8 conclusion that regression analysis didn't make sense for this  
9 project given sort of the unique situation that Detroit was  
10 in.

11 Regression analysis is really useful if you have good  
12 data and the past helps you really predict the future. And in  
13 this case given the uniqueness of the situation, we didn't  
14 think that was applicable here.

15 Q Are there some packages you can use that can be used for  
16 economic forecasting?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Did you look at those in connection with your assignment?

19 A We did. So we purchased a REMI model for the City of  
20 Detroit. And we started to look at this model so REMI  
21 provided --

22 Q Well, you better tell us who or what is REMI?

23 A Okay. So REMI -- REMI is Regional Economic Modeling,  
24 Inc. That's REMI.

1 A And they provide statistical software and data that  
2 allows for tax analysis and typically tax forecasting. And  
3 they provide these customized models so we purchased one for  
4 the City of Detroit.

5 However, when we started to look at the different  
6 components of it, we realized that the data that they had put  
7 in the model for Detroit wasn't matching the data that we  
8 could observe and could look at.

9 So we didn't think the model was good and that we were  
10 going to have to spend a lot of time adjusting it. So we  
11 didn't use it.

12 Q So instead you developed your own model?

13 A We built our own model, uh-huh.

14 Q All right. Now in building your model, had -- did you  
15 use the methodology that you described here earlier from the  
16 congressional budget office and to the Michigan State  
17 government?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Did you apply to that model the information you received  
20 that you described to me earlier?

21 A Yes.

22 MR. STEWART: Okay. Your Honor, I would proffer Ms.  
23 Sallee as an expert witness in the field of state and local  
24 tax analysis.

1 objection, you know, based on our pending Daubert motion.

2 THE COURT: All right. Subject to that you may  
3 proceed.

4 MR. STEWART: Thank you, Your Honor.

5 Q All right. So Ms. Sallee, let's start talking about your  
6 work. I think you told us that this began earlier this year.  
7 And you were asked to create projections of property tax  
8 revenue and state revenue sharing revenue?

9 A That's correct.

10 Q Okay. And for what forecast periods were you asked to --  
11 to forecast? How far out?

12 A I was asked to look at a ten year period and then to  
13 extrapolate another 30 years for a total of 40 years.

14 Q Have you seen forecasts or projections done by others  
15 before of this length of time?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And what are some examples?

18 A So at the -- level the congressional budget office will  
19 publish a ten year economic forecast. They also will do  
20 longer range forecasts for specific programs.

21 So they will -- they have a 100 year projection for  
22 Social Security. But they regularly will have longer 20, 30,  
23 40 years if it's -- they're analyzing a specific government  
24 program.

1 A In Michigan the state revenue estimating conference they  
2 will do a three year forecast. And but treasury and house  
3 fiscal and Senate fiscal will regularly do longer forecasts  
4 for specific laws or programs.

5 Q How -- what's the length of the Michigan State forecast  
6 for sales taxes?

7 A So in the revenue estimating conference is three years.  
8 However, we obtained a estimate of the sales tax revenue from  
9 treasury that went out to 2025.

10 Q Okay. And does the congressional budget office have a --  
11 have a housing price forecast that goes out some number of  
12 decades?

13 A The -- the -- the CBO's housing value that I looked at  
14 went out ten years.

15 Q Okay. So let's start by -- let's start with property  
16 taxes. And let's put up if we could, demonstrative Exhibit  
17 586. And Ms. Sallee, do you have Exhibit 586 in the screen in  
18 front of you?

19 A I do.

20 Q Who prepared this?

21 A I did.

22 Q Okay. And what does it purport to tell us?

23 A It shows the different types of property taxes in Detroit  
24 and the tax based drivers for each type of property.

1 Q Okay. So let's start. We have two different categories  
2 of property taxes, real and personal.

3 A Yes.

4 Q And then four different types of taxpayers who pay those  
5 taxes, correct?

6 A Right.

7 Q Okay. And so the -- the four different types are  
8 residential, industrial, commercial, and utility?

9 A Correct.

10 Q Okay. I see though there are two gray squares on our  
11 exhibit. Can you tell me what that represents?

12 A That means that residential, personal property is not  
13 taxed. And utility of real property is already included in  
14 the industrial.

15 Q Okay.

16 A Real property.

17 Q So when we're looking at property taxes, we're looking at  
18 six different places where it's imposed. For example --

19 A That's right.

20 Q Residential real property or commercial personal  
21 property, correct?

22 A That's right.

23 Q Okay. Let's look now at --

24 MR. STEWART: Your Honor, these are demonstratives.

1 demonstrative.

2 THE COURT: Any objections?

3 MR. SMITH: No objection, Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: 586 -- I'm sorry?

5 MR. SMITH: Just one, Your Honor. I assume we're  
6 just doing the first one.

7 THE COURT: Right. 586 is admitted.

8 (City's Exhibit 586 was admitted)

9 MR. STEWART: Thank you.

10 Q And Exhibit 560, let's put that up next. Okay. Ms.  
11 Sallee, Exhibit 560 has been placed on the screen in front of  
12 you. Could you tell us what is Exhibit 560? Well, first of  
13 all who prepared it?

14 A I did.

15 Q And what does it tell us?

16 A It tells you the steps that I took to forecast the  
17 general operating taxes for the City of Detroit.

18 (City's Exhibit 560 was identified)

19 Q Okay. And there are five steps?

20 A Correct.

21 Q Now before we go further, let me ask you a couple of  
22 threshold questions. At the top it says steps to forecast in  
23 Detroit general operating property taxes, correct?

24 A Uh-huh.

1 A So these are the taxes that are going into the general  
2 fund.

3 Q Okay. And as opposed to what?

4 A As opposed to taxes, in other levels of government. So  
5 taxpayers pay debt millages or library millages. Taxpayers  
6 also have, you know, taxes for schools. So this is telling  
7 you that I only looked at the general fund.

8 Q Okay. Now step one is entitled forecast taxable value by  
9 property class. And so let's stop right there so I can ask  
10 you, what is taxable value?

11 A So taxable value is the tax base.

12 Q Okay. And a tax base meaning that's what your tax bill  
13 is assessed of -- assessed upon?

14 A That's right.

15 Q So how do you figure out for any given piece of property  
16 what it's taxable value is?

17 A So taxable value is the lesser of two things.

18 Q Okay.

19 A So it's the lesser of your state equalized value.

20 Q Uh-huh.

21 A Which you can think of as your assessed value.

22 Q Okay.

23 A Or your capped value.

24 Q Okay. And I'm going to slow you down here because I at  
25 least find this confusing. Not anything you've said, but on

1 the chart it says tax -- state equalized value is 50% of  
2 market value.

3 A That's right.

4 Q So what is market value?

5 A So you can think of this as the -- it's close to the  
6 price of your home. So in a market it's, you know, between  
7 two sort of informed sellers, the -- the price that you would  
8 pay for that home. That would be your market value in most  
9 cases.

10 Q Okay. And so state equalized value is simply half of  
11 that?

12 A That's right.

13 Q Okay. And so that's one of the possibilities for taxable  
14 value?

15 A Right.

16 Q What's the other?

17 A So the other is capped value. So in Michigan if your  
18 home starts to appreciate each year and your assessed value  
19 would also follow that, taxpayers passed a series of laws, or  
20 set of laws, to limit how fast your tax base can grow each  
21 year.

22 Q Okay.

23 A So this says that your -- the value of your home that can  
24 be taxed can only grow at the rate of inflation or 5%,  
25 whichever is less.

1 Q Okay. So when we're going to need a taxable value, it's  
2 the lesser of state equalized value or capped value. And  
3 capped value itself is the lesser of value grown at the rate  
4 of inflation or 5%?

5 A Correct.

6 Q All right. And then step two is select a tax rate?

7 A That's right.

8 Q How hard is that to do in Michigan?

9 A Not very hard.

10 Q And why not?

11 A So the general operating tax rate, there is a limit on  
12 how high it can go. And there is also certain requirements  
13 that in this case legal requirements for Detroit's current tax  
14 rate to be 19.952 mills.

15 Q Okay. So all of the property tax is going to be taxed at  
16 this rate?

17 A The general operating tax rate is that, yes.

18 Q Okay. Why is it not a -- why is it not a round number?

19 A It's not a round number because -- so there is an upper  
20 limit of 20 mills and --

21 Q Tell us what's a mill?

22 A A mill is one one thousandth.

23 Q Okay.

24 A So you're taxed a dollar on every -- a one mill tax would

1 Q Okay.

2 A Okay.

3 Q But I didn't -- I didn't mean to interrupt. You said  
4 there was a ceiling of 20 mills.

5 A There is a ceiling of 20 mills. But in Michigan there is  
6 a requirement that if your tax base grows faster than the rate  
7 of inflation, you have to reduce your tax rate. So in 2007  
8 the City of Detroit had to go down from that 20 mills legally  
9 to this current tax rate.

10 Q Okay. Step three is calculate the tax levy and tell us  
11 how you do that.

12 A So the tax levy is the aggregate tax bill. And it's  
13 taking your tax base times your tax rate.

14 Q Okay. And then let's skip step four which we'll come  
15 back to. And we have step five is applying an effective  
16 collections rate. So tell us if you could what is an  
17 effective collections rate.

18 A So you now have your tax levies, your tax bill has been  
19 out, and some taxpayers pay it, and some don't. And so the  
20 effective tax collection rate is the amount of money the city  
21 is taking in in a given year from the property tax system  
22 divided by the tax levy.

23 Q Okay. Now the box to the right of step five speaks about  
24 non-delinquent rates, collection rates, I guess by type of  
25 property. And then there are various percentages in there

1 Where did those come from?

2 A The city.

3 Q Okay. And do those reflect at least for recent years  
4 what the collection rate has been by the city for different  
5 categories of taxes?

6 A That's right.

7 Q Okay. And for residential real estate it's 50%?

8 A Correct.

9 Q And 100% on the other hand for utility?

10 A That's right.

11 Q Okay. And why if you know, are there such variances in  
12 the collection rates?

13 A So different taxpayers have been paying on time or not.  
14 So for residential property there is a number of reasons why  
15 they're not collecting.

16 For example, tax bills have been sent to homes where no  
17 one is living, so they're not collecting on that. Some of it  
18 has been people aren't paying. They either can't afford it,  
19 or they think their tax bill is too high so they're  
20 protesting. So there's a number of reasons.

21 What we've seen in the data is that the -- you know,  
22 fewer residential payers are paying taxes but it's, you know,  
23 much higher for commercial industrial.

24 Q Okay.

1 Q Okay. Below that it speaks of the Wayne County  
2 delinquent tax revolving fund. What is the Wayne County  
3 delinquent tax revolving fund?

4 A In Michigan the -- when someone does not pay their taxes,  
5 some of those taxes for example if you don't pay on your real  
6 property, that is turned over to the county. This is done  
7 statewide, so the county takes on the responsibility of  
8 collecting that tax payment. And they provide a payment to  
9 the city.

10 Q Okay. Now what happens if the county does not succeed in  
11 its efforts to collect that tax payment?

12 A The city has to pay it back.

13 Q So if I live in the city and I owe a tax bill and I don't  
14 pay it --

15 A Uh-huh.

16 Q Say I owe \$1,000. What does Wayne County do?

17 A They will pay the city that \$1,000 and if they can't  
18 collect, they will eventually take it back from the city.

19 Q From the city.

20 A That's right.

21 Q Okay. And is that sometimes known as a claw back?

22 A Yes.

23 Q In recent years, what's been the level of claw backs by  
24 Wayne County against the city under this system you just  
25 described to us?

1 A The last two years the claw backs have been over  
2 40,000,000 just related to the general fund.

3 Q Okay. And that -- how do you take that into account in  
4 your calculation of an effective collection rate?

5 A So the collection rate has two components. One, you have  
6 taxpayers who pay on time, and then you have these net  
7 payments from Wayne County.

8 So that net payment is the money that the county is going  
9 to provide for the delinquent taxes minus any claw backs. So  
10 those claw backs have been reducing the amount of revenue  
11 coming from the county.

12 Q And reducing the effect of collections rate?

13 A That's right.

14 Q Okay. Let's put up Exhibit 545.

15 MR. STEWART: And, Your Honor, did we move 560 into  
16 evidence? Because if not, I would like to move it in now as a  
17 demonstrative.

18 MR. SMITH: For demonstrative purposes, no  
19 objection, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Is that your offer, sir?

21 MR. STEWART: Yes, it is.

22 THE COURT: All right. 560 is admitted.

23 (City's Exhibit 560 was admitted)

24 Q Okay. Ms. Sallee, Exhibit 545 has been put up on the  
25 screen.

1           MR. STEWART: And, Your Honor, I think this already  
2 was admitted in connection with the testimony of Dr. Cline. Q

3           Ms. Sallee, can you tell us what Exhibit 545 is?

4 A       It shows population for Detroit under a couple scenarios.

5 Q       And who prepared this?

6 A       I did.

7 Q       Okay. And tell us how you went about preparing this.

8 A       So you'll see that there are four lines. Three of them  
9 are forecasts done by SEMCOG which we discussed earlier. And  
10 the red line is the EY QUEST forecast.

11 Q       Okay. And so of the three different SEMCOG lines, which  
12 one did you rely upon in your work?

13 A       We relied upon the baseline forecast which is the yellow,  
14 the SEMCOG 1.

15 Q       Okay. What were the other two SEMCOG numbers? Were  
16 those also forecasts, or were they something different?

17 A       They were alternative scenarios that they developed. So  
18 one is -- so the higher line, the blue line is under a  
19 scenario where Detroit grew at Pittsburgh type rates, their  
20 economy rebounded like Pittsburgh.

21           The lower line is a forecast where the region suffered a  
22 lot more auto job losses. So the manufacturing industry took  
23 a hit and that was the population forecast from that scenario.

24 Q       And you chose the middle one?

1      they said was reasonable.

2    Q      Now there is a line that departs from the yellow line  
3      that says EY QUEST. And I assume you are EY QUEST?

4    A      I am.

5    Q      Okay. So why did you depart from the yellow line when --  
6      as shown on this chart?

7    A      Sure. So SEMCOG put together their population forecast  
8      before the city filed for bankruptcy and before there was any  
9      discussion about restructuring or reinvestment. So we  
10     followed SEMCOG up until 2032 and then SEMCOG had some modest  
11     growth and we accelerated it slightly as a result of the  
12     restructuring activities in the city.

13    Q      Okay. Let's -- and so this is the chart showing your  
14     projection with restructuring?

15    A      That's correct, the 40 years only with restructuring,  
16     uh-huh.

17    Q      Okay. Let's look -- let's put up now Exhibit 561. Ms.  
18     Sallee, do you see Exhibit 561?

19    A      I do.

20    Q      Who prepared Exhibit 561?

21    A      I did.

22    Q      And tell us what it -- what it shows us.

23    A      It shows the total taxable value for the City of Detroit  
24     for the last four fiscal years.

1 Q Okay. And let's focus for now just on the left side of  
2 the chart. We have four blue columns. What -- and each has a  
3 red top. What does that represent?

4 A So the blue part of the bar is the tax base that's  
5 subject to the general operating taxes.

6 Q Uh-huh.

7 A And the red part is the value of the property that's  
8 exempt from general operating because they are in a  
9 renaissance zone.

10 Q Okay. Now the blue part that's all the types of property  
11 taxes we spoke about earlier, correct?

12 A That's right.

13 Q Not just residential real estate. That's everything?

14 A That's everything, yes.

15 Q So what's this renaissance zone that you referred to a  
16 moment ago?

17 A So and originally renaissance zones were geographic  
18 regions in the city and if a business located in it they were  
19 exempt from certain taxes. Now it's done kind of on a  
20 personal by personal taxpayer by taxpayer basis.

21 Q Uh-huh.

22 A So for our purposes it's showing that this is the amount  
23 of property value that's exempt from general operating taxes.

24 Q Okay. So if we look at the column on the far left, the

1 amount of that which can really be taxed -- or be -- or in the  
2 tax base is 8.3 billion, correct?

3 A That's right.

4 Q So and by -- well, as we look at these columns they seem  
5 to go down. Can you tell us what that -- what that  
6 represents?

7 A So you can see the taxable value going from 8.3 billion  
8 in 2012 that's subject to general operating taxes, down to 6.5  
9 billion in the most recent fiscal year.

10 Q Now we're in fiscal year 2015, correct?

11 A That's right.

12 Q And this bar we have is for 2015, correct?

13 A That's right.

14 Q When for fiscal year 2015 are those -- is that taxable  
15 value determined?

16 A It's determined in the spring of 2014.

17 Q Okay. So it's determined before fiscal 2015 begins?

18 A That's right.

19 Q Okay.

20 A It's the basis of the bills that go out in July.

21 Q So we're -- just to be clear, so we're looking at a value  
22 for 2015, that's an historic value?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Not a projection?

1 the collections will be --

2 Q Right.

3 A For 2015.

4 Q Okay.

5 A Yeah.

6 Q So on the right we have a pie chart. What's the pie  
7 chart tell us?

8 A The pie chart shows the breakdown by property class of  
9 the taxable value for non-renaissance zone property or those  
10 that pay the general operating millages.

11 Q Okay. And what did you base this on?

12 THE COURT: Counsel, excuse me one second. I need  
13 you to back away from the microphone just a bit.

14 MR. STEWART: Okay, I will. Yes, Your Honor.

15 Sorry.

16 Q The pie chart, what does -- what does it show us?

17 A So the pie chart shows the mix of property by class, the  
18 taxable value of property for the most recent fiscal year.

19 Q Okay. And the lower right hand corner is partially  
20 obscured by the exhibit sticker, but that's residential,  
21 correct?

22 A That's right.

23 Q And so -- and that says what the percentage is and what  
24 the absolute amount is?

1 Q And what does it tell us for residential for example?

2 A So residential makes up almost half of the taxable value.

3 Q And the next biggest slice is?

4 A Commercial at a little over a quarter.

5 Q Okay.

6 A Twenty-seven percent.

7 Q And those two combined are three-quarters of the tax

8 base?

9 A That's right.

10 Q Okay. Let's now look --

11 MR. STEWART: And, Your Honor, I'd move 561 into

12 evidence as a demonstrative exhibit.

13 MR. SMITH: No objection, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: It is admitted.

15 (City's Exhibit 561 was admitted)

16 Q Let's put up now 562. Ms. Sallee, do you see Exhibit

17 562?

18 A I do.

19 Q And what is Exhibit 562?

20 A So this shows the percentage change in three things since

21 2007. So it shows the change in taxable value for residential

22 real property only. The change in state equalized value, or

23 assessed value for residential property. And the change in

24 average home prices in Detroit.

1 Q Okay. Let me start with the -- the average home price.

2 And this is indexed, correct, to 2007?

3 A That's right.

4 Q Okay. So in 2007 it's indexed at 100. And what happens  
5 -- what has happened to average home prices in the City of  
6 Detroit since then?

7 A You see a steep decline in average home prices and you  
8 start to see at the end of the period a modest recovery.

9 Q Okay. Since 2007, how far have the average home prices  
10 in Detroit fallen?

11 A So overall they've fallen 63%.

12 Q Okay. Now let's look at the other lines. We have a  
13 state equalized value line and a taxable value line, correct?

14 A That's right.

15 Q Why aren't they the same line?

16 A They are representing slightly different concepts.

17 Q Okay. And tell -- tell me if you could, how these two  
18 concepts are different.

19 A So the assessed value should follow pretty closely with  
20 what's happening in the market since it's representing 50% of  
21 market value.

22 Q And which one of these is the assessed value?

23 A It's the blue line.

24 Q Okay.

1 usually a lag in how assessments follow the market. So you  
2 can see the market dips, but the blue line is flat and then  
3 starts to gradually decline.

4 And so you'd expect this because assessments are done on  
5 a certain rotation or they happen when properties are sold.  
6 So you would expect a little bit of a lag but you would expect  
7 assessments to follow closely with what's happening in the  
8 market.

9 Q Is the expectation that sooner or later the blue line and  
10 the red line should meet?

11 A You wouldn't expect them to meet perfectly because of  
12 what's -- what properties are included for assessments. But  
13 they should be pretty close.

14 Q And what's the green line doing in this chart?

15 A So the green line is showing you your taxable value. And  
16 that is the tax base so it's important because this is what's  
17 used to calculate the tax levy. And the green line has a  
18 little different trend. It -- it goes up at first and then it  
19 starts to fall at a much more gradual rate.

20 Q Should it too at some point cross the other lines?

21 A It will cross perfectly. And the taxable value here, the  
22 situation is unique that state equalized value has fallen so  
23 much you'd -- taxable value is falling because it has to be  
24 that -- that lesser of state equalized value or a capped

1 Q Okay. Let me ask you two other questions. One, is if I  
2 bought my house say back in 2007 at the peak of the market and  
3 still have it, what would it be assessed at today?

4 A It would be assessed a lot lower.

5 Q Okay. All right. And then another question. If  
6 property values started increasing rapidly, how quickly would  
7 assessments increase?

8 A So if you bought your house in 2007, are you saying when  
9 you -- if you were to sell it today?

10 Q Yes.

11 A And the assessments were -- so if you bought your house  
12 in 2007 it would be reassessed when you sold it. So it would  
13 -- it would still be lower.

14 Q Okay. Now if I bought it today, say I bought a house  
15 today.

16 A Oh, I'm sorry, uh-huh.

17 Q And housing prices just --

18 A I see.

19 Q -- just explode in Detroit.

20 A Uh-huh.

21 Q They go up 10% a year.

22 A Uh-huh.

23 Q How fast will my assessment go up?

24 A So your assessment would follow the market, but your

1 like your assessment you can kind of run up the hill quickly,  
2 but the taxable value is like you're carrying a weight. You  
3 have to go up more slowly.

4 Q That's because it's capped every year?

5 A That's -- that's right. So your assessments can increase  
6 following the market and they can go up 5, 10% per year. But  
7 what you're actually taxed on can only grow at the rate of  
8 inflation or 5%, whatever is less.

9 Q That's what you showed us earlier when you spoke of  
10 capped value?

11 A That's right.

12 MR. STEWART: Okay. Your Honor, I'd move Exhibit  
13 562 into evidence as a demonstrative exhibit.

14 MR. SMITH: No objection for demonstrative purposes,  
15 Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: All right. It is admitted.

17 (City's Exhibit 562 was admitted)

18 Q And let's put up if we could Exhibit 589. Now --

19 MR. STEWART: And, Your Honor, this is one of those  
20 oversized documents that we had to deal with that is hard to  
21 see on the screen and hard to work with on paper, but we have  
22 both. And I'll do the best I can.

23 Q Ms. Sallee, could you tell us first of all, who prepared  
24 Exhibit 589?

1 Q And what is Exhibit 589?

2 A 589 is my model.

3 (City's Exhibit 589 was identified)

4 Q Okay. And what is it a model of?

5 A It's a model of property taxes subject to general  
6 operating taxes under the baseline scenario.

7 Q Okay. And what is the model -- does this model forecast  
8 something?

9 A It forecasts the property taxes for the City of Detroit  
10 for the general fund.

11 Q For what period of time?

12 A For a ten year period.

13 Q Okay. And up in the left hand corner it says baseline  
14 scenario. Do you see that?

15 A Yes.

16 Q What is baseline scenario a reference to?

17 A So baseline in this case is assuming that the  
18 restructuring activities that are included in the plan do not  
19 occur.

20 Q Okay. And then underneath it it says draft February 12<sup>th</sup>,  
21 2014. Is that the date of this document?

22 A So we did do an analysis in February and it was updated.  
23 And the numbers here are the June updated numbers.

24 Q Okay.

25 A The date is not updated.  
13-53846-tjt Doc 7472 Filed 09/14/14 Entered 09/14/14 10:46:04 Page 200 of 279

1 Q Okay. So let's start with Row 9 if we could.

2 A Okay.

3 Q And do you see Row 9? It says general fund taxable value  
4 excludes ren zone? Is that comparable to what we saw a few  
5 minutes ago on the demonstrative exhibit with -- that had the  
6 bars with the red tops on them?

7 A That's right. It was the blue portion of that bar.

8 Q Okay. And let's look just at fiscal year 2012. There's  
9 a number there, 8,270,165,916. What is that?

10 A So that -- that's at 8.3 billion of taxable value subject  
11 to taxes -- general operating taxes.

12 Q Okay. And let's just move from this same row over to the  
13 year 2015. And that's the 6504. Do you see that?

14 A That's right.

15 Q Now is that an historic or an actual value?

16 A It's an actual value.

17 Q I should have said historical or projected value.

18 A It is the same thing.

19 Q Sorry about that. Now, a few rows above, there is a row  
20 that says real and a road that says personal. Do you see  
21 that?

22 A Yes.

23 Q What are those?

24 A So those are summing the real property taxable value

25 category shown below.

1 Q Okay. So Row 9 is the sum of Row 5 and Row 6?

2 A Sorry, you said Row 9 -- yes.

3 Q Nine is the sum of --

4 A Uh-huh.

5 Q I'm sorry Row 6 and Row 7, I guess, right? It's 5 and 6.

6 A It's 5 and 6, yeah.

7 Q Five and six, okay. So those just add up to Row 9, is

8 that right?

9 A That's right.

10 Q Okay. So let's go now further down the chart if we

11 could. Oh, one more thing I need to ask is if we look at the

12 columns of the chart, so those are actual values or historic

13 values for rows -- sorry, Columns B, C, D, and E, and the

14 projections start on Column F?

15 A That's correct.

16 Q Okay. So now if we scroll down the chart down to about

17 Row 43, we have a title there it says components of property

18 taxable value non -- non-RZ. Okay. What is this -- what is

19 this part of -- part of your worksheet about?

20 A It is showing the different tax bases that are subject to

21 the general operating taxes.

22 Q Okay. So let's start on Row 45. Is that residential

23 property?

24 A Yes.

1 correct that the latest actual value we have for residential  
2 property would be 2015?

3 A That's right.

4 Q And that would be cell number E-45?

5 A Correct.

6 Q So let's highlight E. Okay. Now below E-45 is a  
7 pecentage, a negative percentage.

8 A That's right.

9 Q What is that?

10 A It is telling you that the residential property taxable  
11 value fell 20% between 2014 and 2015.

12 Q Okay. And that's not a projection, by you, that's what  
13 the city did, is that right?

14 A That's an actual so the city did.

15 Q Okay. What happened the year before 2015?

16 A There is a reduction of 7%.

17 Q And the year before that?

18 A Another reduction of 7%.

19 Q Now let's go to the projection part of this exercise. So  
20 that would be 2016. And have you forecast a change in the  
21 taxable value of residential real property for the year 2016?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And how did you make that forecast?

24 A So the forecast is taking the previous year's actual

25 value and then applying a growth rate to it.

1 Q In this case it's a negative growth rate?

2 A That's right.

3 Q Now where on the worksheet do we see the factors in that  
4 growth rate?

5 A So Rows 48 to 51 shows the different components of the  
6 residential property growth rate.

7 Q Okay. So let's start with the top one. It says  
8 uncapping of TV. I assume that's taxable value?

9 A Right.

10 Q As property sells?

11 A Uh-huh.

12 Q What is -- what are you referring to there?

13 A So uncapping here refers to the lowering of assessments.  
14 In this case when the property is sold.

15 Q So that's my example if I bought at the peak of the  
16 market but I sold it today that there is an adjustment to my  
17 assessment?

18 A That's right. So your taxable value in the first year  
19 equals your assessed value. So if your assessments have  
20 fallen, which in most cases it has, your taxable value would  
21 fall.

22 Q Okay. So how did you come up with a negative value for  
23 this for 2016?

24 A I built a model.

1 A So I built a model that looked at the taxable value for  
2 homes purchased a number of years ago, what their taxable  
3 value would be. So this model took into account the number of  
4 sales that were happening each year, the average home price of  
5 those sales, how long ago those sales took place.

6 So I used that to forecast what their likely taxable  
7 value would be today under sort of the capped value growth  
8 rate. And then compared that to the sort of new taxable value  
9 with the lower home sale prices.

10 Q What was the source of your information for those factors  
11 you mentioned to me a minute -- a minute ago?

12 A So I primarily relied upon City of Detroit sales data  
13 from the Detroit Association of Realtors.

14 Q Okay. And did you have to make predictions about how  
15 many homes in the inventory of existing homes would sell in  
16 any given year?

17 A So I had a number of sales from the -- the realtors, but  
18 in terms of how long people had been in their homes, or when  
19 they were likely to sell, I used the census of housing data.

20 Q And what is the census of housing data?

21 A So it's -- it's census data that looks at -- will ask  
22 people a number of questions about their homes. Everything  
23 from how many rooms, when was your structure built, to when  
24 did you purchase the house. So I could get a sense for

1 Q Okay. And that model led to the negative 4% that we see  
2 here, is that right?

3 A That's right.

4 Q Okay. Below we have on Row 49 additions, new  
5 construction, increases in tenants, et cetera.

6 A Uh-huh.

7 Q And is that a positive or a negative number?

8 A It's a positive number.

9 Q How did you come up with that number?

10 A So additions to the tax base could be through new  
11 construction, or through increases in tenants, or an addition  
12 on to an existing structure. So you build an addition to your  
13 house.

14 To come up, you know, to model this, I looked at building  
15 permit data for Wayne County from census. And it's only  
16 available at the county level.

17 Q Uh-huh.

18 A So then I had to -- oh, I'm sorry, and this data provides  
19 the value of construction.

20 Q Right.

21 A And so I was able then to estimate what taxable value  
22 would be and then apportion that to Wayne County based on  
23 Wayne County's share of taxable value.

24 Q So just to break that down, the data exists at the Wayne  
25 County level?

1 A That's right.

2 Q And that would tell you the value of what people are  
3 adding to their homes?

4 A Uh-huh.

5 Q Then you had to turn that into a Detroit number?

6 A That's right.

7 Q So how do you turn that Wayne County number into a  
8 Detroit number?

9 A So I took, you know, Detroit's taxable value divided by  
10 all of the taxable value in Wayne County to get that  
11 percentage. And multiplied that by that new taxable value, I  
12 calculated from the census data.

13 Q Okay. And the next line is losses, population declines,  
14 abandonment, foreclosures, rental vacancies. Do you see that  
15 -- that cell which I guess is what, E-50?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Okay. And that's a negative 2?

18 A That's right.

19 Q How did you come up with negative 2?

20 A So losses here are driven primarily through population  
21 declines. So that SEMCOG chart we showed earlier.

22 Q Uh-huh.

23 A Showed forecast of population decline, so I relied upon  
24 that. We also -- we being our team, looked at the

1 factored into the loss as well.

2 Q Okay. So those factors add together to the negative 5%  
3 we see on Line 46, correct?

4 A That's right.

5 Q And so what do you do with the negative 5%?

6 A So you take the 2015 taxable value, that 3.1 billion, and  
7 you multiply it times 1 plus the negative rate.

8 Q Uh-huh.

9 A To get to your new taxable value for the current year,  
10 2016 in this case.

11 Q And that comes up with the 2. it looks like 961 billion  
12 dollars in 2016?

13 A Yes, 2.98.

14 Q Is that -- sorry.

15 A Yeah.

16 Q My eyes aren't very good. And was this a process you  
17 repeated year after year until you had finished doing so for  
18 the full period of your forecast?

19 A That's right.

20 Q Using the same method in every year?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Let me jump forward though to cell number J-6 -- and  
23 J-51.

24 A Uh-huh.

1 results of special appraisal study. It's a negative 15%?

2 A That's right.

3 Q Okay. That's a negative 15% of what?

4 A It's a 15% loss of the residential taxable value.

5 Q And why do you have that and let me ask why do you have  
6 it in 2020?

7 A So the city is currently doing a reappraisal study that's  
8 city wide. And the -- the city has hired out a firm to do  
9 this. We were told it would take three to five years.

10 So the analysis has -- oh, and the other part of this is  
11 you do the entire reappraisal before the results start to show  
12 up in the tax roll.

13 Q I see.

14 A So in this case I had the study finishing in 2019, so it  
15 was showing up in fiscal year 2020.

16 Q And who told you it would finish in 2019?

17 A I had conversations with the city.

18 Q Okay. Who from the city?

19 A Alvin Horhn.

20 Q Okay. And he's in the assessor's office, I think you  
21 said?

22 A Yeah, yeah.

23 Q And how did you determine that negative 15% was an  
24 appropriate factor to take into account as -- relating to this  
25 reassessment study?

1 A So looking at where current assessments are in taxable  
2 value, that relationship modeling through the processes I've  
3 discussed earlier. After taking that into account, reached  
4 the conclusion that it would probably drop another 15% and be  
5 about half of the taxable value where it was in 2012 which is  
6 what the city also thought it would be.

7 Q Okay. Now there was a significant reduction of assessed  
8 value earlier in 2014, correct?

9 A That's right.

10 Q And we see that on -- in cell E -- oh gosh, well we see  
11 it in something like E-47 or something like that, the negative  
12 20%.

13 A Yeah.

14 Q How can you be sure you weren't double counting?

15 A So the city talked to us about their current lowering of  
16 assessments for this fiscal year. And in conversations with  
17 the Mayor and with other people in the city assessor's office,  
18 Gary Evanko in January, I was told that they were lowering  
19 assessments for a number of neighborhoods but they weren't  
20 going to be doing that systematic city wide reassessment.

21 So they removed property -- so they lowered assessments  
22 but then they also removed some property off the rolls, about  
23 300,000,000 worth of taxable value.

24 Q And what led you to think there was still more to be  
25 taken off the rolls or reduced?

1 A So doing some of the exercises discussed previously of  
2 modeling assessment changes, how much further assessments  
3 would likely need to fall given where the market is, reached  
4 the conclusion that taxable value would likely fall further.

5 Q Okay. Let's go if we could now to commercial property.  
6 Is that down in Row 53?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And fair to say that in terms of --

9 THE COURT: Excuse me.

10 MR. STEWART: Yes.

11 THE COURT: Let's pause now for our afternoon break  
12 and reconvene with this and we'll reconvene at 3:45, please.

13 MR. STEWART: Yes.

14 (WITNESS CAROLINE SALLEE WAS TEMPORARILY EXCUSED AT 3:27  
15 P.M.)

16 THE CLERK: All rise. Court is in recess.

17 (Court in Recess at 3:27 p.m.; Resume at 3:45 p.m.)

18 THE CLERK: All rise. Court is in session. Please  
19 be seated.

20 THE COURT: Before we proceed, I want to advise you  
21 regarding a schedule change for Thursday, this Thursday. We  
22 will be concluding that day at 1:00, so you all have the  
23 afternoon off. And then we'll resume our regular schedule on  
24 Friday.

1           THE COURT: Yes.

2           MR. STEWART: Yes.

3           (WITNESS CAROLINE SALLEE RESUMED THE STAND AT 3:45 P.M.)

4 BY MR. STEWART:

5 Q       And so Ms. Sallee, I think let's go down now a couple of  
6 lines to commercial property. And we see that on Row 53?

7 A       Yes.

8 Q       In terms of the approach, in other words choosing a  
9 baseline and applying a growth rate year on year, was your  
10 approach the same for commercial real property as it was for  
11 residential real property?

12 A       It was.

13 Q       And indeed is it the same for every single type of  
14 property here?

15 A       Yes, uh-huh.

16 Q       Okay. So what's our baseline here for commercial real  
17 property?

18 A       So the baseline is shown in cell E-56.

19 Q       Okay.

20 A       For 2015.

21 Q       And that number is what?

22 A       1.749 billion.

23 Q       Okay. And now the growth rates for this past year was  
24 negative 2.1, correct?

1 Q And the year before negative 5?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And the year before that negative 2.6?

4 A That's right.

5 Q Okay. So tell us how did you project growth rates for  
6 future years starting with this year?

7 A So I looked at the drivers for the commercial --  
8 commercial tax base. So being an income generating property,  
9 I looked at population projections, so back to that SEMCOG  
10 graphic.

11 Q Okay.

12 A And looking at employment forecasts for the city. So  
13 there I relied upon Dr. Cline's report and analysis.

14 MR. STEWART: Okay. And let's put up really briefly  
15 Exhibit 556 which I think is in evidence from Dr. -- Your  
16 Honor, from Dr. Cline's.

17 Q And in particular Row 129 which I think is on the third  
18 page. Is that Dr. Cline's analysis of employment growth or  
19 lack of growth in Detroit in the coming years?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And what use did you make of this?

22 A So I used the employment reductions and the population  
23 declines to help think about what happens to the tax base  
24 since those are the people working at business establishments

1 thinking about activity related to commercial establishments.

2 Q Okay. And I should have been clearer. What kind of  
3 property is commercial property?

4 A So those are things like your retail stores for example.

5 Q Restaurants?

6 A Restaurants, uh-huh.

7 Q Okay. All right. And so what growth rates did you  
8 project starting in 2016 for commercial real property?

9 A So I have a negative 2% growth rate in 2016. And that  
10 falls to negative 1% in 2017 before stabilizing and then  
11 starting to grow in the later part.

12 Q Okay. And how is it you determined that it should be  
13 negative for a couple of years and then become positive?

14 A So it's following kind of a general approach and analysis  
15 of where the city is at, it's keeping with population  
16 forecasts which become less negative over time. The  
17 employment trends follow that as well.

18 And then the important thing here too is that the city is  
19 doing their reappraisal study and so since that, in this  
20 analysis, the results of that show up in fiscal year 2020. We  
21 kind of have things decline until 2020 and then starting to  
22 pick up once everything has been reassessed properly.

23 Q Okay. Let's go down to industrial real property. Now  
24 that's Line 64, I believe.

1 Q And so once again please direct us to your baseline  
2 value.

3 A So the baseline starting value is shown in E-64.

4 Q Okay. And that's 446,673,365?

5 A That's right.

6 Q And then we have a growth rate. What was the growth rate  
7 most recently for industrial property in Detroit?

8 A Negative 4%.

9 Q The year before that?

10 A Where am I? Sorry. Negative 2 1/2%.

11 Q And the year before that?

12 A Negative 17%.

13 Q How did you calculate growth rates for industrial or  
14 non-growth rates as the case may be for industrial real  
15 property?

16 A So I looked at the same driver as commercial. Still  
17 looking at employment trends, population trends, thinking  
18 about what -- what property would be subject to general  
19 operating. But also looking at historically what has happened  
20 to industrial property in a rebound compared to commercial.

21 And sort of seeing a kind of slower recovery in some  
22 cases. And so for example if you look out industrial stays  
23 negative slightly longer than commercial.

24 Q And why is it a slower rebound for industrial as compared  
25 to commercial?

1 A So commercial, the types of establishments you can  
2 usually start. They're not as capital intensive so you can  
3 start a store in a shorter time period than some of the  
4 industrial facilities that require a lot more planning or time  
5 to build.

6 Q Okay. And so projecting out the industrial real property  
7 we have it negative and then finally becoming flat in later  
8 years?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Okay.

11 A Uh-huh.

12 Q Let me ask you now let's talk about personal property.

13 A Uh-huh.

14 Q First of all, hasn't the personal property tax in  
15 Michigan been repealed?

16 A It has.

17 Q So why are you projecting personal property taxes at all?

18 A So when we started this exercise the law had been passed  
19 and signed by the Governor in 2012 subject to voter approval  
20 last month which they did pass. And there is a -- initially a  
21 funding mechanism that replaced most but not all of the  
22 funding.

23 And so in order to think about what revenue they would  
24 likely lose, I forecasted personal property taxes throughout

1 the revenue you would expect, and if we need to lower it, due  
2 to the funding mechanism not making up all of it, I knew how  
3 much to adjust it by.

4 Q And how did you know how much to adjust it by?

5 A So initially the -- in the analysis, I assigned a  
6 probability that the law would pass and I used Senate fiscal  
7 agency estimates of what the average revenue loss would be for  
8 a municipality which was about 20% to come up with that loss  
9 of revenue.

10 So a 50% probability that you lose 20%, I factored in a  
11 10% decline in the analysis which is shown up at the top part  
12 of the model.

13 Q And that's in Row 15?

14 A That's right.

15 Q Okay.

16 A Yes.

17 Q And by the way this is a 10% reduction simply in personal  
18 property tax receipts, not in the overall city revenue budget,  
19 is that what --

20 A That's right the overall reduction is only a little over  
21 1%. So 1 1/2%.

22 Q Okay.

23 A Reduction.

24 Q Let's go back to the bottom. And tell us your baseline

25 once again on commercial personal property.  
13-53846-tjt Doc 7472 Filed 09/14/14 Entered 09/14/14 10:46:04 Page 217 of 279

1 A Commercial personal property is the 2015 value. So that  
2 that's 524,000,000.

3 Q Okay. And historically in recent years that's been a  
4 negative growth rate, correct?

5 A That's right.

6 Q And what did you project for the coming years?

7 A The same negative growth rate having personal property  
8 follow the same trend as real property.

9 Q Okay. So you used the same method for commercial --  
10 personal as you did for commercial real?

11 A That's right.

12 Q Okay. And as always the math is the growth rate times  
13 the tax base is the next year's tax base?

14 A That's right. The current year is the previous year  
15 times the growth rate.

16 Q Okay. Let's look at industrial personal property now.

17 A Uh-huh.

18 Q Tell me where do we begin with that?

19 A So industrial personal property, the baseline starts in  
20 E-66.

21 Q Okay.

22 A The 253,000,00.

23 Q Okay. Now in recent years how has the growth looked in  
24 industrial personal property?

1 Q Okay. What is industrial personal property by the way?

2 A So you can think about equipment in a factory.

3 Q Like machine tools or --

4 A Like a machine.

5 Q -- or a punch press or something.

6 A Yeah.

7 Q So how did you forecast future growth or lack of growth  
8 for industrial personal property?

9 A So I looked at several things. One looking to see kind  
10 of as part of the exercise, looking at historical trends and  
11 what has happened to industrial personal property which kind  
12 of moves around some.

13 There has been some categorization into renaissance  
14 zones, so I looked to see the movements there. And then  
15 looking also at the same trends -- same forces that are  
16 driving industrial real which would be the employment and  
17 population trends.

18 Q Okay. And do you have here any entry on your worksheet  
19 for renaissance zone taxable value change?

20 A It's not shown in -- specifically here.

21 Q Okay. Look at Line 22 if you could.

22 A Uh-huh.

23 Q Okay. What is that?

24 A So that is the renaissance zone taxable value. So that's

25 that red bar that we were showing earlier.

1 Q Okay. And that's what you took into account as part of  
2 looking at industrial personal property?

3 A Yeah. In the past there was a pretty large increase in  
4 renaissance zone properties. There's some property when I  
5 talked to the city had re-categorized it as a renaissance  
6 zone.

7 Q I see. All right. So now we've covered everything  
8 except utility personal property, correct?

9 A That's right.

10 Q And what is -- well, first of all, who pays the utility  
11 personal property tax?

12 A The utility.

13 Q The utility. And what is the personal property they're  
14 paying taxes on?

15 A So that should be any equipment they're using to say, you  
16 know, go up a telephone pole or fix an electrical line. So  
17 any kind of forklift or you know, machinery of that nature.  
18 Anything that's associated with a structure or land.

19 Q Uh-huh.

20 A Would show up in industrial real.

21 Q Okay. So tell us how you went about calculating your  
22 forecast for utility personal property.

23 A Sure. So you can see that utility personal property has  
24 bounced around a little bit in previous years. Some years  
25 have positive growth, some have negative.

1       And there are two factors that play that would affect the  
2 taxable value here. So since it's personal property, personal  
3 property is calculated as the acquisition cost and then it's  
4 lowered each subsequent year to account for depreciation.  
5 It's not as valuable in years out.

6       So there would be a decline due to depreciation and then  
7 any additions the utilities were making would be added and  
8 would raise the taxable value.

9 Q       Okay.

10 A       So looking at those two forces, positive and negative,  
11 the -- use that to model a flat growth rate for most of the  
12 period.

13 Q       Okay. And that's another way of saying that they'll be  
14 replacing equipment about in line with its depreciation  
15 schedule?

16 A       That's right, uh-huh.

17 Q       All right. Now we've looked at all the categories of  
18 these -- the tax base. Where on your spreadsheet are they  
19 collected?

20 A       So the tax bases -- I think you mean -- are you asking if  
21 the tax bases, where are they summed?

22 Q       Yes, where are they summed.

23 A       Okay. They're summed in Row 9.

24 Q       Row 9. So let's look at Row 9.

25 A       Uh-huh.

1 Q And that's general fund taxable value. And so you have a  
2 number for every year going all the way to 2023?

3 A That's right.

4 Q Okay. Now I think when we were looking at the  
5 methodology chart and let's once again put up Exhibit 560.  
6 Okay. So now we've done step one. We know what step two is.  
7 And step three is you calculate the tax levy -- levy?

8 A That's right.

9 Q Okay. Where on your spreadsheet do you do that?

10 A It's Row 14.

11 Q Okay. And that's just the process of multiplication?

12 A That's right.

13 Q And then the next thing we do would be to determine an  
14 effective collection rate?

15 A That's right.

16 Q So tell us how you went about determining the effective  
17 collection rate.

18 A So there are two steps involved. So what we talked about  
19 earlier first. You have to think about who is paying on time.  
20 And then the second component is what are the payments that  
21 the county is going to give you for those that haven't paid  
22 their taxes.

23 So the effective collection rate is -- takes into account  
24 that blended non-delinquent rate of 65%, plus those net

1 tax levy to get to the 80%.

2       And then I also looked to see what the historical  
3 effective collection rates have been for the city which are  
4 reported in their CAFR in their financial statements.

5 Q       Let me stop you there. What is -- when it comes to  
6 collections, what's our most recent historic or actual year?

7 A       The most recent is that 78% shown in D-16.

8 Q       Okay. So two years ago it was 89.6%. Last year it was  
9 85.6%. In fiscal '14, it's 78%, correct?

10 A       That's right.

11 Q       Okay. What have you projected in terms of changes in the  
12 collection rate?

13 A       I have an 80% collection rate taking into account the two  
14 factors I talk about and in line with the historical  
15 performance of the city. So I have 80% up until that  
16 reappraisal study hits the books.

17           A VOICE: Sorry, Your Honor. We have a technical  
18 malfunction here.

19 A       So I have the collection rate increasing in 2020.

20           THE COURT: One second, please.

21 Q       All right. Okay. I think I was asking you about the  
22 collection rate that you -- you calculated.

23 A       You know, interesting stuff, yeah.

24 Q       Yeah, sorry, sorry.

1       when the -- when the reappraisal study is completed. And  
2       modeling that some of the delinquent taxpayers start to pay a  
3       little bit on time due to lowered assessments.

4           So I modeled residential taxpayers going back to  
5       pre-recession levels. Nothing crazy like 70%, but increasing  
6       that --

7 Q       Okay.

8 A       -- slightly.

9 Q       Okay. Back they were before the financial crisis?

10 A       That's right.

11 Q       Okay.

12 A       Uh-huh.

13 Q       Now, you've done all these steps. Is there a place where  
14 we can see your forecast of property -- of all property tax  
15 collections for the City of Detroit for the general fund?

16 A       Yes.

17 Q       Where is that?

18 A       It's in Row 18.

19 Q       Okay. Let's highlight 18. And so that we have actuals  
20 once again to 2015 and thereafter those are your projections,  
21 correct?

22 A       No. Actuals only go to 2014.

23 Q       Oh, '14 and thereafter.

24 A       And the tax base for 2015, but they haven't collected

25 yet.

1 Q Okay. And as a result of all of this work, have you been  
2 able to reach an opinion about the property tax collections  
3 the City of Detroit should be expected to receive for the  
4 fiscal year -- for the ten fiscal years that will end in  
5 fiscal year 2023?

6 A Yes, I have.

7 Q Let's move if we could to City Exhibit 593. And in  
8 particular if we could blow up on the right -- upper right  
9 hand corner. Right hand corner -- left hand corner, I'm  
10 sorry. First of all, what is Exhibit 593?

11 A It is showing the ten year financial projections under  
12 the baseline scenario for the ten year period.

13 (City's Exhibit 593 was identified)

14 Q Okay. And do you see here a line for the property taxes  
15 that you have forecast for the ten year period I'd asked you  
16 about?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And where is that?

19 A It's being highlighted right now.

20 Q Okay. And I know on screen we can't see it all, but are  
21 you able to see all of the years for property taxes?

22 A Yes, on the exhibit.

23 Q And what opinion if any have you reached about property  
24 tax collections for the City of Detroit in the ten years

25 beginning in fiscal '14 and ending in fiscal 2023?

1 A So it is my opinion that the property tax forecasts shown  
2 here that are being highlighted are reasonable forecasts of  
3 what the city could expect to collect in property taxes.

4 Q For that period of time?

5 A For that period of time.

6 Q Okay. Now let's move on. You've heard of something  
7 called restructuring and reinvestment initiatives?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And tell us for the record what --

10 THE COURT: Excuse me one second.

11 MR. STEWART: Uh-huh.

12 THE COURT: Is it your intention to offer Exhibit  
13 589 into evidence?

14 MR. STEWART: Your Honor, 589 -- yes, it is, Your  
15 Honor. And let me do that now before I move on.

16 THE COURT: Is there any objection to 589?

17 MR. SMITH: Not for demonstrative purposes, Your  
18 Honor.

19 MR. STEWART: And no, Your Honor, I'm not offering  
20 it only for demonstrative. This is her work 589. I'm  
21 offering it for the truth of her calculation and projections.

22 MR. SMITH: Well, I mean those are just -- that's  
23 her opinion and it's hearsay and it's not necessarily all  
24 based on actual data, so we do object to the admission of it  
25 other than for demonstrative purposes.

1           THE COURT: The document is admitted for all  
2 purposes.

3           (City's Exhibit 589 was admitted)

4           THE COURT: Now I want to caution both sides here.  
5 Generally speaking, it is improper to ask the witness about  
6 the contents of the documents -- a document before it's  
7 admitted into evidence. Now there was no objection here, so I  
8 allowed it to go on. But if the objection had been sustained  
9 and the document not admitted, a whole lot of evidence would  
10 have been struck and we would have wasted a whole lot of time.

11           MR. STEWART: We would have. Your Honor, I  
12 apologize for that.

13           THE COURT: I want you to move the admission of  
14 documents into evidence before you ask substantive questions  
15 about it.

16           MR. STEWART: I will, Your Honor.

17           THE COURT: Now a caution on the other side. If you  
18 fail to object to questions about the contents of a document  
19 before it's admitted into evidence, the Court could very well  
20 hold that not only have you waived your objection to that, but  
21 you've waived your objection to the admission of the document.  
22 So I really want to stick to this rule on both sides, it's  
23 important.

24           MR. STEWART: I apologize for the oversight, Your

1 to it.

2 And but while I'm at it, Your Honor, as to Exhibit 593,  
3 let me ask some foundation questions and move it -- and move  
4 for its admission.

5 MR. HACKNEY: Your Honor, can I just interpose one  
6 question? One of the things that we're struggling with, and  
7 I'm sorry to interrupt. One of the things that we're  
8 struggling with is that these documents are -- they're hybrid  
9 documents in the sense that they both contain historical  
10 information that is not the witness' opinion and they contain  
11 future opinions that the witness may have in part, but that  
12 other witnesses have for other parts.

13 So that was our understanding as to why it was being used  
14 on a demonstrative basis to facilitate understanding what her  
15 opinions were. That's the basis for our objection as to why  
16 it didn't come into evidence. I'm just trying to explain our  
17 behavior with respect to the -- the exhibit.

18 Does your rule apply equally to demonstrative exhibits  
19 which is that you don't want the witness to explain the  
20 demonstrative first before it is admitted as a demonstrative?  
21 Because --

22 THE COURT: There needs to be a foundation for it  
23 established, but that can be done without the witness  
24 testifying as to -- as to the details of what the  
25 demonstrative or really any evidence shows.

1       And I would like that foundation established and then the  
2 document moved into evidence and you can object on whatever  
3 grounds you see fit, or not object and then we can proceed.

4           MR. HACKNEY: To clarify though, is this entire  
5 document coming into evidence even though her opinions only  
6 relate to discreet portions of it?

7           THE COURT: I'm not sure I agree with the even  
8 though part. But the answer to your question is yes. This  
9 document is in evidence for all purposes.

10 Q       And Ms. Sallee, we have before us exhibit five ninety --

11           THE COURT: When we're talking about this document,  
12 we're talking about five eighty --

13           MR. STEWART: Five ninety-three.

14           THE COURT: We're not -- we're not at 593 yet.

15           MR. STEWART: Okay. Sorry, Your Honor.

16           THE COURT: Because you haven't moved that yet.

17           MR. STEWART: Right.

18           THE COURT: Mr. Hackney was asking me about 589.

19           MR. HACKNEY: No. I was talking about 593.

20           THE COURT: Oh, all right. I haven't ruled on 593  
21 yet, it hasn't been offered yet.

22           MR. HACKNEY: But I thought that's what was --

23           MR. STEWART: I said I was about to do it.

24           MR. HACKNEY: Oh, I'm sorry.

1 I'm going to do is --

2 THE COURT: Establish a foundation for 593 and then  
3 move it into evidence, is that right, sir?

4 MR. STEWART: That's what I was planning to do, Your  
5 Honor.

6 THE COURT: Okay.

7 Q And Ms. Sallee, you have Exhibit 593 before you?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And what is Exhibit 593?

10 A So 593 shows the ten year financial projections for  
11 revenues, expenditures, a number of things.

12 Q And who prepared Exhibit 593?

13 A So parts of this and the revenue, the property tax and  
14 the city revenue sharing, those are my work.

15 Q Okay. And who -- as a general -- Ernst & Young  
16 prepared --

17 A Ernst and -- I'm sorry

18 Q Okay. And is this --

19 A -- overall Ernst & Young prepared.

20 Q Is this a document you have worked with?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And what does the document contain?

23 A So the document contains historical information up until  
24 2014 and then forecasts.

1 into evidence Exhibit 593.

2 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, we don't have an objection  
3 asking her about the property tax and revenue sharing which is  
4 two lines on this. But the vast majority of these numbers she  
5 wasn't involved with, you know, calculating.

6 THE COURT: Is it fair to say, Ma'am, that you are  
7 not in a position to testify as to the accuracy of any of the  
8 information on this page other than what you've identified  
9 revenues?

10 A That's correct.

11 THE COURT: All right. I'll -- I'm not going to  
12 admit 593 until the accuracy of the entire document is  
13 established by whatever other witnesses you have for it. I  
14 will permit you to ask the witnesses about the lines that she  
15 did prepare.

16 MR. STEWART: Yes.

17 THE COURT: Is not in evidence.

18 MR. STEWART: And Your Honor, then I will move it as  
19 a demonstrative for that purpose so that it's properly before  
20 Your Honor to that extent.

21 THE COURT: No, I'm not going to admit it for any  
22 purpose. I am going to ask -- I'm going to permit you to ask  
23 questions about the lines that the witness prepared.

24 MR. STEWART: Okay.

1 let's got to 588 if we could.

2 THE COURT: All right. So we're not going to ask  
3 questions about 593 at all?

4 MR. STEWART: We -- Your Honor, I did. I asked her  
5 about the line that said property taxes and asked her opinion  
6 with respect to property taxes. I believe her testimony was  
7 it was her opinion that the amount shown as the property taxes  
8 on 593 are a -- and I don't recall her precise words, are a  
9 reasonable forecast and the property tax collections the city  
10 should expect to receive for that period of time.

11 THE COURT: Are the numbers on the property tax line  
12 on 593 taken directly from 589?

13 A They are.

14 THE COURT: All right. You may move on then.

15 MR. STEWART: Thank you.

16 Q Let me ask you now about restructuring and reinvestment  
17 initiatives.

18 A Okay.

19 Q And let's look at 588. Do you have Exhibit 588 before  
20 you?

21 A I do.

22 Q What is Exhibit 588?

23 A It shows the same type of analysis under the  
24 restructuring and reinvestment initiatives have taken place

1                   (City's Exhibit 588 was identified)

2 Q       And who prepared Exhibit 588?

3 A       I did.

4 Q       And why did you prepare it?

5 A       I prepared it to forecast general fund property tax  
6 revenues under the condition that restructuring and  
7 reinvestment activities had taken place.

8                   MR. STEWART: Your Honor, I move the admission of  
9 Exhibit 588.

10                  MR. SMITH: Objection, hearsay. Expert opinion.

11                  THE COURT: The objections are overruled and 588 is  
12 admitted into evidence.

13                  (City's Exhibit 588 was admitted)

14 Q       If you could, Ms. Sallee, could you -- well, first of  
15 all, is it fair to say that your approach for 588 is the --  
16 the same as we saw before when you were projecting property  
17 tax revenues without restructuring?

18 A       It is.

19 Q       And so how -- that there are differences too?

20 A       Yes.

21 Q       What are the differences?

22 A       There are -- there are several differences. So here  
23 assuming that the restructuring activities that are in the  
24 plan occur. There are differences in growth rates for the  
25 different tax bases as a result of those activities.

1       There is a different effective collection rate shown on  
2 Line 14. There is a difference in timing so you'll see that  
3 for some of the tax bases there's recovery that's faster  
4 because of those initiatives.

5 Q       And why are there -- why are these -- why are -- why are  
6 there these differences?

7 A       So this scenario is taking into account improvements in  
8 the economy which would affect things like people's ability to  
9 pay so the collection rate goes up. It factors into things  
10 like employment growth so the restructuring scenario has a  
11 slight improvement in employment in later years. And so  
12 that's factored in here as one of the drivers of commercial  
13 industrial property for example.

14 Q       Let's just choose one line or one example. Let's look at  
15 Row 44 which is residential real property. And once again we  
16 start with the growth rate of negative 20.5 for 2015. But the  
17 negative growth in the coming years is different, is it not,  
18 than you had had for the non-restructuring scenario?

19 A       That's right, it's less negative.

20 Q       Okay.

21 A       Uh-huh.

22 Q       And tell us just with respect to this one category, why  
23 you concluded it was appropriate to have a less negative  
24 growth rate?

1 account so for example things like, you know, more sort of for  
2 residential and more people having jobs, being able to  
3 actually own a home, be a tenant, those kinds of things made  
4 some of the categories or the drivers less negative for  
5 example.

6 Q Okay. Is there a determination, is there a forecast on  
7 Exhibit 588 that you have reached of property collections for  
8 the general fund end with the restructuring scenario?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And where -- where do we see that?

11 A So the forecast of property tax collections for the  
12 general fund is shown in Line 16.

13 Q Okay. And for example that would forecast for the year  
14 2018 collections of 102,552,481?

15 A That's right.

16 THE COURT: Excuse me one second. Before we get too  
17 far ahead of this, how did you decide --

18 A Uh-huh.

19 THE COURT: -- how much less negative the growth  
20 rates would be --

21 A Uh-huh.

22 THE COURT: -- in this scenario?

23 A That's a very good question. So I looked at a couple  
24 things. One would be in the past and during an economic  
25 recovery what happened to these tax bases.

1       So for example in the last two recessions how did the  
2 property tax base grow in coming years on average in order to  
3 come up with the right magnitude of growth rate. So I did an  
4 analysis of historical recoveries. And then second, looking  
5 at some of the forecasted economic drivers.

6       So for things like employment growth that's used in Dr.  
7 Cline's work, having half a percent growth for example there,  
8 looking at those and helping to determine what would be a  
9 reasonable growth rate that was less negative and thinking  
10 about timing too, how long does it take for different bases to  
11 recover. If that makes sense.

12 Q      Ms. Sallee, let's -- let me direct your attention if we  
13 could to Exhibit 595. And could you tell us what is Exhibit  
14 595?

15 A      So I think, let me look. So 595 is -- contains the  
16 property tax forecasts under the restructuring scenario.

17 Q      Okay. And -- and this -- this page of the exhibit is for  
18 the first ten years, correct?

19 A      That's right.

20           MR. SMITH: And, Your Honor, we have the same  
21 objection that we had on 593 that, you know, there are a  
22 number of other numbers on here other than property taxes and  
23 revenue sharing that this witness was not involved in. And I  
24 don't think it's been admitted into evidence.

1 next do, Your Honor. But I think given your ruling on 593, I  
2 would ask this be received for the same purpose as that which  
3 is for purposes of allowing Ms. Sallee to identify the line  
4 with the understanding that someone else will come get the  
5 document itself into evidence.

6 THE COURT: Okay. I'll -- I'll permit you to ask  
7 about so much of this document as this witness can testify  
8 about. But I won't admit it into evidence.

9 MR. STEWART: Thank you.

10 Q Ms. Sallee, let me direct your attention to the line on  
11 Exhibit 595 that refers to property taxes.

12 A Yes.

13 Q And does that set forth the forecast you reached for  
14 property taxes for the City of Detroit under a restructuring  
15 and reinvestment scenario for the fiscal years 2014 to 2023?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And have you reached an opinion about that forecast?

18 A I have.

19 Q Please tell us what is your opinion?

20 A It is my opinion that the forecasts shown in the  
21 highlighted row are reasonable forecasts of what the city  
22 could expect to collect in property taxes under the  
23 restructuring scenario.

24 Q Okay. Let's if we could now go to Exhibit 594. 594.

1 you?

2 A I do.

3 Q Okay. And what is Exhibit 594?

4 A So Exhibit 594 shows the revenues and expenditures during  
5 the 40 year period that we were asked to project.

6 Q Okay. And do you have a projection here for property  
7 taxes for that 40 year period?

8 A I do.

9 MR. SMITH: And -- and, Your Honor, this is the same  
10 issue as 593 and 595. It's got the other numbers here for,  
11 you know, things that she didn't calculate.

12 MR. STEWART: And, Your Honor, as with those  
13 exhibits, I intend to ask only about those matters she has  
14 worked on and wait for admission to a witness who is competent  
15 to --

16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I will permit  
17 that. Go ahead.

18 Q And Ms. Sallee, tell us what the property tax line on  
19 Exhibit 594 shows.

20 A So the property taxes it shows for those four decades  
21 that I prepared a projection for.

22 Q Okay. And we start with the decade you just talked  
23 about, 2014 to 2023.

24 A That's right.

1 future years?

2 A So the extrapolation exercise starts within the first  
3 part of that second decade following CBO projections of  
4 housing appreciation. So following national trends saying  
5 Detroit is going to look like the nation in terms of -- of  
6 growth in housing appreciation.

7 And so use that to forecast the first part of that second  
8 ten year period. And then having it phased down to that long  
9 run growth rate that we used for the remaining part of the 40  
10 year period.

11 So in this exercise looking at such a long time horizon,  
12 there are going to be ups and downs. Year to year you can't  
13 predict that far out. And so instead the approach you used  
14 here with other taxes is to look at what has been the long run  
15 growth rate in the tax base for Detroit looking at some  
16 different periods because recent history has been unique.

17 So looking at that long run growth for Detroit, selected  
18 the 1 1/2% increase in taxable value, used that to forecast  
19 the growth ultimately in collections for this four year  
20 period.

21 Q Now you mentioned the CBO house price study of some sort?

22 A So the CBO does their ten year economic forecast. And  
23 one of the things they look at is housing. They've included a  
24 housing price index.

1 said you referred to a long term growth rate?

2 A That's right.

3 Q What long term growth rate did you refer to?

4 A So looking at the taxable value growth in Detroit over  
5 the last -- looking at between 2000 and 2008, before kind of  
6 craziness and even a longer run, looking at those two growth  
7 rates just to come up with -- through the analysis to come up  
8 with that 1 1/2% growth.

9 Q And so is it fair to say in looking at your -- the next  
10 30 years that you're projecting, you're taking the baseline  
11 and applying a -- a constant rate of growth over the coming 40  
12 years?

13 A So at that initial first decade where I told you there's  
14 slightly better growth, having that phase down and then  
15 hitting that long run growth rate for the last two decades.  
16 So that's why you see the growth after fiscal year '23 for  
17 property taxes. On average it's between that 1 1/2% and 2.2%.

18 Q Okay.

19 A Taking into account good growth initially.

20 Q So as a result of your work have you reached an opinion  
21 about the projected long term growth rate of property taxes  
22 for the City of Detroit for the 40 years, or I should say for  
23 the 30 years beginning in fiscal 2024 and ending in fiscal  
24 2053?

1 Q And can you tell us what is your opinion?

2 A So my -- it is my opinion that the forecasts shown in the  
3 highlighted rows are reasonable forecasts of what the city can  
4 expect from property tax revenues during that 40 year period.

5 Q Okay. Now let's move on to the last part which is state  
6 revenue sharing. And you can take that down if you'd like.

7 First of all, you were asked to produce forecasts of  
8 state revenue sharing that the city receives, correct?

9 A That's right.

10 Q Tell us briefly what are the types of state revenue  
11 sharing Detroit receives?

12 A So there are two components to state revenue sharing.  
13 There is a constitutional component, and a component that's  
14 put forth in the boilerplate language of the appropriation, so  
15 it's not constitutional and statutory. And it is the economic  
16 vitality incentive program.

17 Q E-V-I-P?

18 A EVIP, uh-huh.

19 Q Okay. All right. Now is -- how is EVIP determined?

20 A So EVIP is at the discretion of the legislature in  
21 Lansing.

22 Q Okay. All right. So let me -- let's put up Exhibit 590.  
23 Is Exhibit 590 before you, Ms. Sallee?

24 A Yes.

1 A So this is a worksheet that I did on state revenue  
2 sharing.

3 (City's Exhibit 590 was identified)

4 Q Okay. You prepared this personally?

5 A Yes.

6 Q And what was your purpose in preparing Exhibit 590?

7 A To -- to forecast revenue sharing payments that the City  
8 of Detroit would receive.

9 Q And where did you get your information from?

10 A So --

11 MR. STEWART: Well, actually, Your Honor, let me  
12 move the admission of 590. That was intended to be a  
13 foundational question, but I think it could have strayed into  
14 substance. So I'll move it at this point.

15 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I do object, hearsay and  
16 expert opinion. And I believe that part of the numbers in  
17 here were actually calculated by somebody at the state and not  
18 Ms. Sallee.

19 THE COURT: Did you do all of the calculations that  
20 -- that this sheet reflects?

21 A Some of these numbers are coming from the state. So if  
22 -- if you see growth rates, I calculated it. But for part of  
23 this, this is coming from treasury, some of the baseline.

24 THE COURT: Can you identify the lines for example

1 A Yes.

2 THE COURT: Go ahead.

3 A So Line 19 and 20, and 21 are from the state.

4 THE COURT: I'm looking at the screen and it doesn't  
5 -- my screen doesn't have the line numbers on it. So -- so  
6 tell me what the detail is.

7 A Sure. So state revenue sharing amounts, you can see  
8 constitutional EVIP total starting in fiscal year 2012. Okay.  
9 Going up until fiscal year 2025, those are state projections.

10 THE COURT: So what's highlighted here now are from  
11 the state?

12 A That's correct, uh-huh. And the same with the Detroit  
13 revenue sharing, those same categories constitutional EVIP  
14 total.

15 THE COURT: So those are from the state also?

16 A That's right, uh-huh.

17 THE COURT: Would you like to respond to the  
18 objection?

19 MR. STEWART: Yes, Your Honor. Perhaps I can lay a  
20 foundation with this witness that might make -- might clarify  
21 things for us.

22 THE COURT: Go ahead.

23 A In the course of your work in reaching an opinion with  
24 respect to state revenue sharing, Ms. Sallee, did you obtain  
25 information from the State of Michigan?

1 A Yes.

2 Q What information did you obtain from the State of  
3 Michigan?

4 A The Michigan Treasury provided me with their forecasts of  
5 state revenue sharing amounts.

6 THE COURT: Just answer this in response to my  
7 questions.

8 MR. STEWART: Sorry, Your Honor. Your Honor, then I  
9 -- I believe it's answered. I think as an expert witness  
10 she's allowed to rely on materials of the sorts of information  
11 that is given to experts. And I think state data which she  
12 testified earlier she relied upon is a proper source of data  
13 for her to rely upon in her calculations.

14 THE COURT: All right. The Court will admit the  
15 document with the understanding that the projections that she  
16 says she obtained from the state are allowed only to show what  
17 she relied on and not for the truth of them.

18 (City's Exhibit 590 was admitted)

19 MR. STEWART: Yes.

20 Q Okay. All right. So Ms. Sallee, let's start if we could  
21 with the EVIP portion of your -- of your projection. Where do  
22 we see that on your spreadsheet?

23 A Row 29.

24 Q Okay. And what is our first historical or actual number

25 on Row 29?

1 A The -- the first historical is 121.4 million shown in  
2 B-29.

3 Q Okay. I'm sorry. It is for what year again?

4 A So the first actual is fiscal year 2012.

5 Q Okay. And what cell is it?

6 A B-29.

7 Q Okay. And so E-29 is a value of one thirty-six?

8 A No. So the first actual is 2012. And then the last  
9 actual is fiscal year -- shown on this worksheet is fiscal  
10 year 2014 which is 136,000,000.

11 Q And why was one thirty-six chosen as the value for --  
12 pardon me, state revenue, EVIP revenue sharing for that year?

13 A So that's an actual that we then carried through  
14 following current law.

15 Q Okay. And so every value after that is also one  
16 thirty-six?

17 A That's right.

18 Q Why is that?

19 A Because in this worksheet the most recently appropriated  
20 number was the 136,000,000 for Detroit and following standard  
21 procedures for forecasting you include what has been put into  
22 law. In this case it's the 136,000,000 and treasury follows  
23 the same procedure in the forecast.

24 Q Since that time has a different EVIP -- EVIP amount been  
25 appropriated by the Michigan legislature?  
13-53846-tjt Doc 7472 Filed 09/14/14 Entered 09/14/14 10:46:04 Page 245 of 279

1 A Yes.

2 Q When did that happen?

3 A That happened in June.

4 Q And what was the new amount?

5 A 140.5 million.

6 Q And so this chart does not reflect that, correct?

7 A That's right.

8 Q But if you were to follow your methodology, what should  
9 the chart be modified to read?

10 A All right. So this is an older worksheet. And the newer  
11 worksheet would have one forty showing in fiscal year 2015 for  
12 EVIP and then carrying that amount through the rest of the  
13 forecast period.

14 Q Without any change?

15 A Without any change, uh-huh.

16 Q Even if it's a 40 year forecast?

17 A That's right.

18 Q Why would you not change it for the rest of the forecast?

19 A Because this is at the discretion of the legislature and  
20 they can eliminate the program at any time. They can increase  
21 it, but they can also decrease it. And you can see in the  
22 past they have increased and decreased statutory revenue  
23 sharing amounts.

24 And so following the standard forecasting procedure,

1 law EVIP amount of 140.5 million for fiscal year 2015 carrying  
2 it throughout.

3 Q Okay. Let's go down to constitutional revenue sharing.

4 What is the source of constitutional revenue sharing?

5 A The sales tax for the state.

6 Q Okay. And how is the amount Detroit is to receive  
7 calculated under the constitutional formula?

8 A So part of the sales tax is dedicated to revenue sharing.  
9 So that formula is 15% of the first 4% of the sales tax. The  
10 sales tax is 6%. So about 10% of the sales tax is put into  
11 revenue sharing amounts.

12 And then Detroit's share is based on it's share of  
13 statewide population. So you take revenue sharing amount  
14 times, you know, Detroit's share of the population in the  
15 state.

16 Q And how often is Detroit's share of the state population  
17 determined?

18 A It's adjusted with every census, every -- with every  
19 decennial census every ten years.

20 Q So today we're relying on the numbers from 2010?

21 A That's right.

22 Q And in the future, the next census these will change  
23 again?

24 A That's right.

1 A Uh-huh.

2 Q Does your worksheet show the ratio here of what  
3 percentage of the population of Michigan is Detroit's?

4 A It does not show that percentage.

5 Q Okay.

6 A Huh-uh.

7 Q How did --

8 A Oh, I'm sorry, it does. Sorry, Line 35 shows that it's  
9 -- it's 7%.

10 Q Okay. And that's calculated from the census data that --  
11 that you just referred to?

12 A It's -- so that 7% is based on census numbers, yes.

13 Q Okay.

14 A Uh-huh.

15 Q Now what if anything did you do to determine the rate of  
16 growth or not growth of sales tax revenue for the State of  
17 Michigan?

18 A So following the forecasting done by Michigan treasury  
19 there --

20 Q Stop you right there.

21 A Uh-huh.

22 Q You're saying that you relied on someone else's forecast?

23 A I relied upon work done by treasury.

24 Q Okay.

25 A Uh-huh.

1 Q The State Treasury Department?

2 A That's right.

3 Q And what did they tell you?

4 A So they have a forecast of sales tax revenue of 2.9% per  
5 year on average.

6 Q They -- I'm sorry, they're forecasting growth at that  
7 rate per year?

8 A They are forecasting growth at that rate.

9 Q Now let me stop you there. Have you relied before on  
10 forecasts made by the Michigan state government?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Okay. And are forecasts made by the Michigan state  
13 government something that economists rely upon in analyzing  
14 tax revenues?

15 A Yes.

16 Q How reliable have you found the state tax forecast to be?

17 A They're pretty reliable. I mean they -- they do a good  
18 job of taking the most recent information and trying to come  
19 up with the best forecast. So in terms of state level data, I  
20 think it's very good.

21 Q So with the two numbers you told me about which is the  
22 state treasury forecast of sales tax growth and then the  
23 percentage of state population that is in Detroit, what did  
24 you do to forecast state revenue sharing for the city in the

1 A So for the constitutional piece I have the sales tax  
2 growth similar to what the state has forecasted. And I have  
3 Detroit's share of that constitutional revenue declining  
4 because the statewide population stays about the same  
5 throughout the period following projections by the state and  
6 by SEMCOG.

7       And so when Detroit loses population it's losing a share.  
8 And so ever -- after every census, there's an adjustment  
9 downward for state -- for Detroit's constitutional piece.

10 Q      So let's focus on the -- the period up till 2020.

11 A      Okay.

12 Q      The period up till 2020 we're dealing with the census  
13 information from 2010, correct?

14 A      That's right.

15 Q      And do I understand correctly then that that percentage  
16 remains constant until we have a new census?

17 A      That's right.

18 Q      And in all of the years that are covered by the Michigan  
19 treasury forecast you're using a growth rate of sales taxes of  
20 2.9%?

21 A      You can see that the -- the growth for most years is 2.9%  
22 shown on Row 24.

23 Q      Uh-huh. Okay. You have changed it for some years  
24 though?

1 post 2025, the assumption has changed slightly. Here you only  
2 see the --

3 Q You say here, what cell are you pointing to?

4 A Oh, I'm sorry. You do not -- past 2025, there is no --  
5 you can't see the assumption, it's not shown in this  
6 worksheet.

7 Q Let's -- let's focus only on the first ten years.

8 A Okay.

9 Q Okay. So up till 2020 we have the percentage of  
10 population that is Detroit's is 7%, is that correct?

11 A That's right.

12 Q That does not change due to the new census, correct?

13 A Uh-huh.

14 Q And I believe you told us you've been relying on the  
15 growth rates that came to you from the Michigan Treasury  
16 Department, correct?

17 A That's right.

18 Q So up through the year 2020, how have you calculated  
19 projected state revenue, constitutional state revenue sharing  
20 for the City of Detroit?

21 A So in this case it's shown on Line 28.

22 Q Okay. And tell us how you got there.

23 A And that is the growth in sales taxes times Detroit's  
24 share of it.

1 the growth is what cell?

2 A So for these what you're looking looks highlighted. So  
3 these are numbers --

4 Q Just -- just give us -- you're going to have to give us  
5 the cell, the cell number.

6 A Well, so the baseline starts on this in D-28.

7 Q Twenty-eight.

8 A Uh-huh.

9 Q And then from there you apply the annual growth rate that  
10 you told us about, correct?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Now there's a new census in 2020. Then what happens to  
13 your analysis?

14 A In 2020 you can see constitutional drops because of a  
15 loss of population.

16 Q And what makes you conclude there will be a loss of  
17 population in 2020?

18 A Using the population forecasts we looked at earlier.

19 Q The chart we saw?

20 A That's right.

21 Q Okay. And then what do you -- how do you forecast what  
22 is to be the state's population in 2020?

23 A And so the state population is kept around 10,000,000.

24 Q And -- and why?

1 staying about the same.

2 Q Okay. And so in 2020 just to recap, you have the  
3 population forecast of the city we already saw on exhibit --  
4 let's put up 545, please. And then you have projections from  
5 SEMCOG and the state about what the state's population will  
6 be, correct?

7 A That's right.

8 Q And from that what do you -- what do you calculate?

9 A Can you say the question again?

10 Q Well, from that you calculate what the new percentage  
11 will be.

12 A That's right. So once you have a census in 2020 you can  
13 see what Detroit's -- and you can see in cell K-35.

14 Q Uh-huh.

15 A Detroit's share falls from 7.2% to 6.3%.

16 Q Okay.

17 A Yes.

18 Q And did you do the same exercise for its 2030 census?

19 A That's right.

20 Q And the 2040 census?

21 A That's right.

22 Q Using what sources?

23 A Using the EY QUEST -- using SEMCOG up until 2032 and then  
24 EY QUEST post that.

1 those censuses?

2 A So keeping Michigan's population constant according to  
3 SEMCOG.

4 Q Okay. Now so we've now worked out in our constitutional  
5 formula the part about population. What did you do to project  
6 growth rates, or lack of growth, in state sales tax revenue  
7 for the periods after 2025? Did you not tell me the state  
8 projected it up to 2025, correct?

9 A Right, uh-huh.

10 Q And after 2025 what did you do?

11 A That's right. So I kept the total growth rate of  
12 Detroit's revenue sharing shown on Line 30. I kept that at  
13 the same rate except for years following a census. And so the  
14 sales tax growth is not shown here.

15 Q And what growth rate did you apply?

16 A Using usually between 2 and 3%.

17 Q Okay. And how did you choose the rate of between 2 and  
18 3%?

19 A Following what the state had forecasted in recent  
20 experience.

21 Q I'm sorry, I didn't hear you.

22 A Following what the state had used as guidance.

23 Q Okay. And but the state only forecast went to 2025,  
24 correct?

1 Q So what did you -- what was your source for extrapolating  
2 it after 2025?

3 A I used the same growth rate post as you can see up to  
4 2025 going forward. So keeping the growth rate overall about  
5 1% following what the treasury had done.

6 Q Okay. Now so you can describe to us your methodology for  
7 forecasting state revenue sharing?

8 A That's right.

9 Q Under both EVIP and constitutional?

10 A That's right.

11 Q Is there a place on Exhibit 590 you summed the two to get  
12 -- you added them together?

13 A They're shown on Line 30.

14 Q Okay. And now Line 30 doesn't reflect the increase in  
15 EVIP payments that you told us about from one thirty-six to  
16 one forty, is that correct?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q Okay. Let me ask you is that a calculation though that  
19 you have done?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Okay. Let me ask you to look a Exhibit 595, please. And  
22 is there a line on 595 for state revenue sharing?

23 A Yes.

24 MR. STEWART: Okay. And Your Honor, this is the

1 Q Is -- does this line on state revenue sharing reflect the  
2 analysis you did of state revenue sharing that you just  
3 testified about?

4 MR. SMITH: And, Your Honor, I don't know that I  
5 need to object again, but this is -- we don't have an  
6 objection to talking about the state revenue sharing, but it's  
7 the -- it's one of these documents with all these numbers on.

8 THE COURT: The Court will permit this. Go ahead.

9 Q Ms. Sallee, do you see the state revenue sharing line on  
10 Exhibit 595?

11 A I do.

12 Q Now is Exhibit 595 a projection of among other things  
13 state revenue sharing revenues for the period from fiscal 2014  
14 to 2023?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Have you reached an opinion?

17 A I have.

18 Q About the forecast of those revenues for that period of  
19 time?

20 A Yes.

21 Q What is your opinion?

22 A My opinion is that the forecast shown for state revenue  
23 sharing is a reasonable forecast of what the city might  
24 receive from the state revenue sharing program.

1 see --

2 MR. STEWART: And, Your Honor, once again 594 is yet  
3 another one of the documents that I will ask her about but  
4 it's not admitted into evidence.

5 Q Do you see --

6 THE COURT: I'll permit you to question her about so  
7 much of it as she contributed.

8 Q Do you see on Exhibit 594, Ms. Sallee, the line for state  
9 revenue sharing?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And before going further, tell us what periods of time  
12 are reflected in Exhibit 594.

13 A It is the 40 year projections.

14 Q All right. Have you reached an opinion about the -- the  
15 projections as they appear on Exhibit 594 for state revenue  
16 sharing for the -- the four decennial periods running from  
17 2014 to 2053?

18 A Yes.

19 Q What is your opinion?

20 A My opinion is that the forecasts shown for state revenue  
21 sharing are reasonable forecasts of what the city might  
22 receive from the state revenue sharing program.

23 MR. STEWART: Thank you. Your Honor, I'm -- that's  
24 all I have.

1 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I have some binders that I  
2 may use. If I may approach.

3 THE COURT: Yeah.

4 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, if I may approach the  
5 witness?

6 THE COURT: Yes.

7 THE WITNESS: Hi. Thank you.

## CROSS EXAMINATION

9 | BY MR. SMITH:

10 Q Good afternoon, Ms. Sallee. It's good to see you again.  
11 I have some questions about the opinions you discussed today  
12 from your original expert report in this matter.

13 And I know you've done a rebuttal report which I won't be  
14 asking about. We're going to have another deposition when  
15 we'll see each other again. And then maybe we'll have a  
16 chance to talk about that later, all right?

17 A Sounds good.

18 Q And speaking of your deposition, I handed you a binder  
19 and in there I have your deposition and a few documents that I  
20 may refer to. And your deposition is right up front in the  
21 binder.

22 Now you acknowledge that you're not an expert on property  
23 assessment, correct?

24 A Property assessment, correct, uh-huh.

1 correct?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q And this is the first time you have forecasted a taxable  
4 value for property in a city, correct?

5 A Correct, uh-huh.

6 Q And you're not holding yourself out as an expert in real  
7 estate in general, correct?

8 A That's correct.

9 Q And you're not an expert on property tax collection,  
10 correct?

11 A Correct.

12 Q And before the Detroit matter you had never forecasted  
13 the amount of property tax revenue a city would receive in  
14 total, correct?

15 A In total? Correct.

16 Q And before the Detroit matter, you had never forecast  
17 state revenue sharing payments either, correct?

18 A Correct.

19 Q And you're not an expert on financial analysis, correct?

20 A Correct.

21 Q You're not an expert on accounting?

22 A Correct.

23 Q And as you mentioned you haven't been qualified as an  
24 expert by any Court, correct?

1 Q You're not able to explain the methodology used in  
2 assessing property taxes in Detroit, correct?

3 A I understand the methods that are used.

4 Q The -- if you turn to Page 47 of your deposition, Line 25  
5 to 48, Line 4. Did I ask you the following --

6 A I'm sorry, what page?

7 Q Page 47, Line 25 to Page 48, Line 4. And did I ask you  
8 the following question and did you give the following answer?  
9 I mean can you -- are you able to explain the mechanism or  
10 methodology used in assessing property taxes? Answer, I don't  
11 know what the city's assessor office was doing to assess  
12 property. I don't know. Was that your testimony?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And -- and you don't know what factors the city actually  
15 takes into account in assessing property in the city, correct?

16 A I know what methods they are legally able to use.

17 Q But you don't know what factors the city actually uses in  
18 assessing property, correct?

19 A And given from year to year, day to day what they  
20 actually choose to use, you're right, I don't know.

21 Q Yeah.

22 A Yeah.

23 Q And you can't explain the methodology used by the city in  
24 collecting property taxes, correct?

1 Q And when you developed your opinions, you did not know  
2 whether the assessor's office had been the subject of any  
3 reviews by outside consultants, correct?

4 A Does outside consultants mean outside of government,  
5 or --

6 Q Meaning yeah, outside of the City of Detroit.

7 A I knew that there was talk of a review. I don't know if  
8 it happened.

9 Q Okay. But before you developed your opinions in this  
10 case you weren't provided any of the reviews of the assessor's  
11 office in the City of Detroit, correct?

12 A Oh, that's correct.

13 Q And in particular you weren't provided the reviews of the  
14 assessor's office conducted by Plante Moran, correct?

15 A That's correct.

16 Q So when you developed your opinions you weren't aware  
17 that people from the appraiser's office weren't showing up for  
18 hearings on property taxes that were owed, correct?

19 A That came up at some point. Yeah. But it might have  
20 probably come up in my deposition.

21 Q Probably at your deposition, right?

22 A Uh-huh.

23 Q And when you developed your opinions in this matter and  
24 submitted your expert report you weren't aware that people  
25 from the appraiser's office weren't showing up at the

1 hearings, correct?

2 A Correct.

3 Q And you weren't aware that property tax bills were  
4 frequently sent to the wrong address in Detroit before your  
5 deposition, correct?

6 A I don't know about that. There -- there is a number of  
7 press articles and so I monitored what was happening in  
8 Detroit and there are articles about the sort of problems  
9 associated with residential property taxes. So I was aware  
10 of --

11 Q Okay.

12 A -- general problems, yes.

13 Q And if you go to Page 88 of your deposition, Lines 4 to  
14 7.

15 A Okay.

16 Q Did I ask you the following question and did you give the  
17 following answer? Are you there?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Going back to the -- were you aware that property tax  
20 bills were frequently sent to the wrong address in Detroit?

21 Answer, no, I wasn't aware. That was your testimony, correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And you weren't aware that homeowner's exemptions in  
24 Detroit have been granted to people without proof of  
25 eligibility correct?

1 A Correct.

2 Q And you didn't know whether it was important in valuing  
3 real estate to base valuations on arm's length transactions,  
4 correct?

5 A Can you restate that?

6 Q You -- when you developed your opinions in this matter --

7 A Uh-huh.

8 Q You didn't know whether it was important in valuing real  
9 estate to base valuations on arm's length transactions,  
10 correct?

11 A So valuations are done using arm's length transactions  
12 and my analysis put that taxable value.

13 Q And if you turn to Page 79 of your deposition, Line 22 to  
14 Page 80, Line 3.

15 A Okay.

16 Q Question, then if you turn over to Page 21 -- well, let  
17 me ask you this first before we get to the document. Do you  
18 agree with me that in valuing real estate it's important to  
19 base valuations on arm's length transactions? Answer, I don't  
20 know. That was your testimony, correct?

21 A Where are you looking?

22 Q Page 79, Line 22 to Page 80, Line 3.

23 MR. STEWART: Your Honor, I object. I'm not sure  
24 this is impeachment. I'm not sure she gave an inconsistent

1           MR. SMITH: She said she was aware of it when she  
2 developed her opinions.

3           THE COURT: I'll permit it. Go ahead. Was that --  
4 was that your testimony?

5 A        Let me read this. Oh, I see. That was -- yes, I said I  
6 don't know.

7 Q        And when you -- you were deposed you didn't know the  
8 percentage of transactions in the city that are arm's length  
9 transactions, correct?

10 A       I did not, huh-uh.

11 Q       And you did not assess the reliability of the real estate  
12 valuations for property in Detroit that you were provided,  
13 correct?

14 A       Correct.

15 Q       Now Wayne County looks to determine whether property is  
16 properly assessed in the county which includes Detroit,  
17 correct?

18 A       That's right.

19 Q       And is that something called an equalization factor?

20 A       That's right.

21 Q       And you know that an equalization factor of one means  
22 that the county believes property is properly assessed,  
23 correct?

24 A       That's correct.

1 county has always given Detroit an equalization factor of one,  
2 correct?

3 A After adjustments, yes.

4 Q And you have concluded in your opinions the property in  
5 the city is over assessed and the county has concluded that  
6 it's not, correct?

7 A The county after they make adjustments and they lowered  
8 some of the assessments to get that equalization factor of one  
9 says it's properly assessed.

10 Q Okay. And as you discussed in your testimony you're  
11 predicting the taxable values for residential property will be  
12 cut in half over that ten year period you forecasted, correct?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And the drop I think as you mentioned in taxable values  
15 you predict is due in substantial part to a reappraisal study  
16 that has not occurred yet, but will be conducted in the  
17 future, correct? And the city wide reappraisal study is  
18 scheduled to take three to five years as you mentioned,  
19 correct?

20 A That's right.

21 Q And you don't know -- do you know now who is going to be  
22 conducting the planned reassessment?

23 A No.

24 Q You -- you don't know, or at least when you developed

1 the contractor who is going to do the reassessment will  
2 employ, correct?

3 A That's right.

4 Q And you still don't know that?

5 A That's right.

6 Q And while you're predicting that the reappraisal process  
7 will wipe out half the taxable value in the city for  
8 residential property, you don't have the reappraisal process  
9 resulting in a huge drop in commercial and industrial taxable  
10 value, correct?

11 A That's right.

12 Q And now you agree that increasing the housing prices is  
13 one factor in your model that could lead to overall increase  
14 in tax revenue, correct?

15 A Can you say that again?

16 Q Housing prices are one factor in your model that could  
17 lead to an increase in tax revenue?

18 A So increases to taxable value will lead to more revenue  
19 all things being equal.

20 Q Yeah. And in coming up with your opinions, you had  
21 mentioned you looked at average house selling prices from the  
22 Detroit Board of Realtors, correct?

23 A I looked at average prices in doing my analysis.

24 Q And when you submitted your report you used data through

25 December 2013, correct?

1 A When I submitted my report, yes, uh-huh.

2 Q And you know that the Detroit realtor information shows  
3 that housing prices continue to increase from the point you  
4 used December 2013 to the most recent date in 2014, correct?

5 A That's right.

6 Q And then the day that you looked at, there was a 281.03%  
7 increase in the average price of existing home sales, correct?

8 A Between what?

9 Q In the data you looked at up till December 2013, there  
10 was about a 28% increase in average home prices, correct?

11 A Between what two years is the 28%?

12 Q In the -- in the total -- all the data that you looked  
13 at. Or do you recall what the actual numbers were for  
14 increases during the period that you looked at?

15 A Well, the -- I looked at historical information which had  
16 the home prices plummeting. And then --

17 Q Oh, fair enough.

18 A So then going forward --

19 Q And then they're coming back up, right?

20 A So there has been some increases. There's been, you  
21 know, average home prices -- I'm going off of memory, have  
22 gone from, you know, 17,000 up to I think it's most recently  
23 around 26,000. So --

24 Q And -- and one reason there's such wide variety of

1 you're looking at average home sales, correct?

2 A I looked at average home sales.

3 Q Okay.

4 A Uh-huh.

5 Q And -- and the -- and the Detroit Board of Realtors data  
6 shows that there was a 42.13% increase in home prices in  
7 Detroit from 2013 to the most recent data in 2014, correct?

8 A I would have to look at the data, is that okay?

9 Q Okay. If you look at -- turn to Tab 6. Syncora Exhibit  
10 4220. That, I believe, is the -- the data.

11 A Okay.

12 Q If you want to refresh your recollection and take a look  
13 at that.

14 MR. STEWART: And, Your Honor, should this then be  
15 put into evidence or somehow the foundation laid?

16 MR. SMITH: I'm just -- she's said she would have to  
17 look at the data and I'm just refreshing her recollection.

18 THE COURT: Well, let's -- let's ask. Does this  
19 document refresh your recollection in response to counsel's  
20 question?

21 A I see what he's referencing, yes.

22 THE COURT: Okay. But the question is not what the  
23 document says. The question is what do you remember after  
24 having seen it.

1 remember that it was this large of an increase. And so I'm  
2 looking at it now and seeing that that's what that says.

3 THE COURT: Okay. But is that what you remember?

4 A I guess I don't remember this large of an increase, but  
5 -- but that's what this shows. If that makes sense.

6 MR. STEWART: I'm going to object --

7 MR. SMITH: Maybe -- maybe I could just ask her the  
8 question now, Your Honor.

9 MR. STEWART: I'd move to strike her answer in that  
10 case because it's not refreshed recollection, it is something  
11 else.

12 THE COURT: The motion is granted.

13 Q Now you agree with me, Ms. Sallee, that the Detroit Board  
14 of Realtors data shows that there was a 42.13% increase in  
15 home prices in Detroit from 2013 to 2014, correct?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And in all the data that you looked at from 2001 forward,  
18 there was never a period of time where home prices have  
19 increased by as much as 40%, correct?

20 A I don't understand your question. What?

21 Q And you looked at data going back to 2001 from this  
22 Detroit Board of Realtors, correct?

23 A Uh-huh, that's right.

24 Q And in all that time period there was never a period of  
25 time where home prices have increased by as much as 40%

1 correct?

2 A That's probably correct.

3 Q Okay.

4 A Uh-huh.

5 Q And there's also another index of home prices that's  
6 created by economists that you looked at, the case Shiller  
7 index, correct?

8 A Right.

9 Q And the case Shiller home price index is a widely used  
10 measure of housing prices, right?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Many people in government, business, and academia rely on  
13 the case Shiller index for housing prices?

14 A That's right.

15 Q And it's viewed as a reputable source of trends in  
16 housing prices, correct?

17 A Uh-huh.

18 Q And the creators of the case Shiller housing --

19 THE COURT: Yes?

20 A My answer is yes. Thank you.

21 Q And the creators of the case Shiller housing price index  
22 received the Nobel prize, correct?

23 A One of them, yes.

24 Q Okay. And they're widely respected economists, correct?

25 A That is correct.

1 Q And if you could turn to Syncora Exhibit 4207 which is  
2 Tab 3 in your binder. Is that the case Shiller Detroit home  
3 price index there?

4 A Yes, for the Detroit Metro area.

5 Q Okay. And -- and there's a graph that's now behind the  
6 projection that shows the housing prices, right? Under the  
7 case Shiller index, correct?

8 THE COURT: Is this in evidence?

9 MR. SMITH: Good point, Your Honor.

10 Q You're familiar with this document, it's the type of data  
11 that you've looked at in preparing your opinions, correct?

12 A That's correct.

13 MR. SMITH: Okay. And I'd like to move it into  
14 evidence, Your Honor.

15 MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor, I want to voir  
16 dire the exhibit.

17 THE COURT: I'm sorry, sir, I didn't hear you.

18 MR. STEWART: I'd like to voir dire the exhibit for  
19 reliability because I think it's inherently unreliable and I  
20 don't think --

21 THE COURT: I'll permit your voir dire. Go ahead.

22 MR. STEWART: Ms. Sallee, do you see --

23 THE COURT: You need to get by a -- a microphone  
24 though.

1           THE COURT: Will you yield the lectern for him,  
2 please?

3           MR. STEWART: Ms. Sallee, Exhibit 4207 has been put  
4 on the screen. Do you have it before you?

5 A       Yes.

6           MR. STEWART: And you understand this is a depiction  
7 of the case Shiller Detroit home price index?

8 A       Yes.

9           MR. STEWART: Is this a -- an index of houses in  
10 Detroit only?

11 A       No.

12           MR. STEWART: What is it an index of?

13 A       The Metro area.

14           MR. STEWART: How many counties are in this Metro  
15 area?

16 A       There are seven counties, I believe.

17           MR. STEWART: Do you -- would you happen to know  
18 which counties those are that would include Wayne County?

19 A       Wayne County, Oakland, Macomb, I'm having to go off  
20 memory, sorry.

21           MR. STEWART: And that would be all the counties  
22 surrounding Detroit?

23 A       Basically.

24           MR. STEWART: In that metropolitan area do you know

1 percent in terms of population Detroit is in the metropolitan  
2 area?

3 A I think Detroit's population is around 12%.

4 MR. STEWART: Okay. Okay. And so is this -- this  
5 index, is this a -- in -- in your experience, is this an index  
6 of housing prices for the City of Detroit?

7 A No, it's a index of the Metro area.

8 MR. STEWART: Okay. Thank you. Your Honor, we  
9 would object on the ground this is an inherently misleading  
10 document. It is not what it purports to be.

11 MR. SMITH: Your Honor --

12 THE COURT: One second. Have -- have you seen this  
13 before?

14 A I have.

15 THE COURT: When you were preparing your report and  
16 your projections and your opinions --

17 A Uh-huh.

18 THE COURT: Did you rely on the information in here  
19 or not?

20 A I looked at it so if that's what you mean by rely, yes.

21 THE COURT: You told me you saw it before?

22 A I have seen it.

23 THE COURT: My question is --

24 A Uh-huh.

1 your opinions, or did you just reject it because it's not  
2 Detroit, it's the metropolitan area, or for whatever reason.

3 A That's right. I reviewed it and I did not rely on it.

4 THE COURT: All right. Then the objection is  
5 sustained.

6 BY MR. SMITH:

7 Q Ms. Sallee, all the data that you've looked at whether  
8 you're relying on it or not, shows that residential real  
9 estate values are increasing in 2014, correct?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And you agree that home prices will continue to increase  
12 over the next ten years in Detroit, correct?

13 A I wouldn't -- I wouldn't agree with that.

14 Q Well, aren't you forecasting home prices to increase over  
15 the next ten years?

16 THE COURT: She said yes.

17 MR. SMITH: Oh -- oh, she did?

18 THE COURT: Did you? You said no?

19 A I said no.

20 THE COURT: Oh, let's -- let's clarify this.

21 A Yeah.

22 THE COURT: Did you say I would agree with that, or  
23 I would not agree with that?

24 A I would not agree with that.

1 A Yeah. So I have forecasted taxable value which looks at  
2 what is happening to assessments. And I -- you can have home  
3 prices going up, and I didn't -- through some of my -- to be  
4 clear, through some of the exercises I did, I do think home  
5 prices may continue to grow, but what I specifically looked at  
6 was taxable value for residential property which I have  
7 falling.

8 Q Okay. And my question is about home prices. And isn't  
9 it true that you're forecasting home prices to increase over  
10 the next ten years, correct?

11 A I haven't forecasted home prices.

12 Q And could you turn to Page 141 of your deposition,  
13 please? Lines 13 to 18. Were -- were you asked these  
14 questions and did you give these answers?

15 Okay. So you're forecasting home prices to increase over  
16 the next ten years, correct? I am. And that would include in  
17 Detroit? That's just for Detroit, I didn't look at the entire  
18 area. That was your testimony?

19 A So there is part of the --

20 THE COURT: The question is, was that your  
21 testimony?

22 A Yes, that's my testimony, sorry.

23 Q Okay.

24 THE COURT: All right. And when you're reading from

1 question and which is the answer.

2 MR. SMITH: Okay. Sorry, Your Honor. I'll -- I'll  
3 try to remember that in the future.

4 Q I'd like to ask you a couple questions about Exhibit 562  
5 which you showed the Court and there should be a copy of it in  
6 Tab 2 in your binder if you want to refer to it.

7 Now Exhibit 562 only shows the percentage change in  
8 average prices, taxable value, and state equalized value  
9 compared to the base year of 2007, correct?

10 A That's right.

11 Q And as we discussed, you've looked at some data for  
12 example for the Detroit home prices that went back to 2001,  
13 correct?

14 A Uh-huh.

15 Q And data is available for the other items on there going  
16 back to at least that far, correct?

17 A That's right.

18 Q And the -- we discussed about how the -- the price data  
19 that you used is not based on home value, but it's based on  
20 home sales, correct?

21 A Home sales, uh-huh.

22 Q As you might expect because it comes from realtors who  
23 are selling the houses, correct?

24 A That's right.

1 given time, correct?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q And it also -- the sales include sales that aren't arm's  
4 length transactions, correct?

5 A They shouldn't.

6 Q Okay. Is it possible that they do include sales that are  
7 not arm's length transactions because people are under for  
8 example financial distress and have to sell their homes?

9 A They're usually flagged and not included in the  
10 reporting.

11 Q Okay. And did you undertake an inquiry to figure out  
12 what percent of sales would be arm's length transactions in  
13 the Detroit data you used?

14 A I spoke to the Detroit realtors to try to have that  
15 question answered. Houses that are -- and so to answer your  
16 question, I don't know exactly, but I know they're usually  
17 excluded.

18 Q Okay. But you don't know what --

19 A I don't know for sure.

20 Q You don't know what the percent would be, correct?

21 A Right.

22 THE COURT: All right. We're going to stop now and  
23 reconvene at our usual 8:30 time tomorrow morning. And we'll  
24 be in recess.

1 THE CLERK: All rise. Court is adjourned.

2 (Court Adjourned at 5:04 p.m.)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6

7 We certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the  
8 electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the  
9 above-entitled matter.

10

11 /s/Deborah L. Kremlick, CER-4872  
Kristel Trionfi

Dated: 9-13-14

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25